

27/10/80

Dear Harlan,

Enclosed is the progress report for B.C.D.M. There are a few cases in the manuscript where numbers may not agree with data that you have access to. The thickness of the chert bearing sections of green andesite are taken from memory and my field notes, as I have not seen the completed records for core logging of the Dago etc. If these numbers are way off base, please feel free to change them. The thicknesses of stratigraphic units are only approximate, and do not take into account structural duplication and true thicknesses perpendicular to bedding. Thesis numbers will be more accurate. It is for this reason that Figure 4 is a "rock succession" and not a "stratigraphic column". These points would have been noticed by yourself and Shaun I'm sure.

I hope this summary report is a fairly accurate account of the work we have done to date. I'll take full responsibility for the conclusions! If it is possible, could you send me the drill log data from last summer, especially of the Province zone? Assay numbers are not important, but rather an idea as to how the drilling compared to the surface geology,

Take care, and give Uncle Art a big kiss for me.

Yours  
Alan