



Province of
British Columbia

Ministry of Consumer
and Corporate Affairs

Corporate Affairs
Superintendent of Brokers,
Insurance and Real Estate
1100, 865 Hornby Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6Z 2H4
Telephone: (604) 682-7031
Telex: 04-54599

JUN 19 1985

895230

June 18, 1985

File: #X01-4250-4

Speton & Company
Barristers & Solicitors
960 - 789 West Pender Street
Vancouver, B. C.
V6C 1H2

Attention: B. D. Speton

Dear Sirs:

Re: MERINA MOLY ENERGY CORP.

In your letter of May 7, 1985, filed in response to our letter of April 30, 1985 you stated Mr. Timmins is on a field trip this week and will be forwarding a response to our letter sometime next week (May 13, 1985). Please be advised as more than 30 days has passed and we still have not received a reply to our letter of April 30, 1985, we now consider the Company's prospectus submission to be withdrawn.

Yours truly,

W. Redwick
W. Redwick, C.G.A.
Supervisor, Filings

WR:ag

SPETON & COMPANY

Barristers
Solicitors &

Sulte 960
789 West Pender Street
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 1H2
(604) 682-3655

May 7, 1985

Office of the Superintendent
of Brokers
1100-865 Hornby Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6Z 2H4

Attention: Wayne Redwick, C.G.A.
Supervisor, Filings

Dear Sirs:

Re: Orerich Resources Ltd. (formerly
Merina Moly Energy Corp.)

Thank you for your letter of April 30.

Your comments on the reports by W.G. Timmins P. Eng. were discussed with Mr. Timmins last Friday and he assured me that he will attend to drawing a response to your Committee's reasons for recommendation. However, Mr. Timmins is on a field trip this week and will be unable to get his response together until sometime next week. We trust you will bear with us under these circumstances if a "specific reply" is not in your hands exactly within the 15 days specified.

Yours truly,

SPETON & COMPANY

B. D. Speton

BDS/ftl

c.c. Orerich Resources Ltd.



Province of
British Columbia

Ministry of Consumer
and Corporate Affairs

APR 30 1985
Corporate Affairs
Superintendent of Brokers,
Insurance and Real Estate
1100, 865 Hornby Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6Z 2H4
Telephone: (604) 682-7031
Telex: 04-54599

April 30, 1985

File No. X01-4250-4

Speton & Company
Barristers and Solicitors
1202 - 789 West Pender Street
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 1H2

Attention: Mr. B.D. Speton

Dear Sirs:

RE: MERINA MOLY ENERGY CORP.

Please be advised that the Superintendent of Brokers is presently considering a recommendation from its Mining Committee to refuse a receipt for the prospectus of Merina Moly Energy Corp. dated December 28, 1984 and filed on January 2, 1985.

The reports by W.G. Timmins, P.Eng on the St. Clair Claim Group, Slocan M.D., B.C. and the Polestar Claim Group, Kamloops M.D., B.C. were forwarded to the Superintendent's Mining Committee for their review. The Mining Committee has recommended the Superintendent refuse a receipt for Merina Moly's Prospectus on the grounds that its properties does not justify public financing based on the information presently available.

The reasons for the Mining Committee's recommendations are as follows:

A. POLESTAR CLAIM GROUP

1. The property consists of only one reverted Crown grant claim, approximately 1500' x 1500' in size. The small size is compensated for, to some extent, by the fact that there is a vein or shear zone on it. However, there is no map showing the position of the vein with respect to the claim boundary.

The company previously had some surrounding ground, but it lapsed and was staked by someone else. From the Timmins report and the claim map, it appears that no land is available for staking on the potential extensions of the vein which strikes N20°E and dips 45°E.

2. There are low values in gold and silver in samples taken by Mr. Timmins in the shaft (up to 0.06 oz. gold and 0.32 oz. silver per ton across 2 feet) and in a trench 70 feet along strike (0.02 oz gold and 1.12 oz silver per ton in a sulfide-mineralized grab sample).
3. The work done on the property has not enhanced the aspect of the original quartz vein showing. The inclined shaft was reportedly abandoned at a distance of 50 feet, probably because the vein did not continue or did not carry values. The test pits north and south of the shaft were not supportive as Timmins relates on P.5 of his report that "No significant mineralization was encountered".
4. The property has apparently lain idle from prior to 1913 until it was acquired by Larry Sostad, a prospector, in 1979. It was sold to Merina Moly Energy Corp. in 1981. Virtually nothing has been done by the recent owners from 1979 to the present.
5. The property is of some general interest as a gold occurrence. However, the past work has given no indication to economic grades or of a sizeable exploration target.
6. The name Polestar Claim Group is misleading in that there is just one two-post claim.

CONCLUSION:

The issuer should be required to at least carry out the proposed Phase I costs in the amount of an estimated \$13,750 using private funds in order to ascertain if an exploration target of merit exists on the property that would possibly justify public funding. It is noted that the Phase I programme indicates that the shaft could be rehabilitated and sampling and trenching carried out for about \$5,000. This should determine if further work is warranted. The modest average values obtained by Timmins across the vein structure at the shaft of 7.5' of 0.03 oz/ton gold and 0.20 oz/ton silver are certainly far from ore grade and would need to improve.

J. P. Timmins

B. ST. CLAIR CLAIM GROUP

1. This report is a re-write of an examination, sampling and report by Mr. Timmins' firm in 1981. However, only minor work (which is reported by Mr. Timmins in the current report) has been done in the intervening time.
2. Mr. Timmins mentions EM conductors from a 1970 survey (page 6). He says the surveyed area was subsequently partly covered by Merina's ground, but provides no map showing the location of the conductors relative to Merina's ground.

Three moderately good conductors strike 70°; one strong conductor strikes 20°. Cairns reported that the Mowich vein-lode strikes N 40° W, and the Rosemarie lode N 20° E. (page 5). The maps in Appendix II show veins that might be parallel to the conductor striking at 20°.

3. The work by the owner consists mostly of providing better road access to the property. While this is useful, it does nothing for an evaluation of the merits of the property with respect to public financing. The four bulldozer trenches described on page 6 did not reach bedrock and so did not add any positive information. The fact that overburden is greater than 2 to 3 metres deep, and that there is a "clay horizon" may preclude the use of soil geochemical sampling as an effective exploration technique.
4. The samples taken underground by Mr. Timmins generally contain minor to modest amounts of silver over narrow widths. Only sample 3912, containing 17.68 oz. silver per ton over 2.0 feet, is approaching economic interest.
5. The old workings explored two silver occurrences without particular success. The limited work done by the present owners has added no new geological information during the five years that Merina has held the ground.
6. Timmins stresses the possibility of finding larger deposits comparable to those at the Lucky Jim and Whitewater Mines which were relatively large producers. However, this possibility seems remote as their deposits occurred in massive limestone beds that were brecciated and the mineralization introduced. The geology of the St. Clair Group does not mention limestone; only siltstone, argillite and quartzite.

7. The report does not present any new targets or areas of interest other than the old ones which presumably were adequately explored. This, of course, is to be expected as the ~~Issuer~~ does not evidence that a proper work program has been carried out with private funds prior to inviting public risk capital.

CONCLUSION:

The proposed Phase I programs may reveal some indication of economic potential, but at present there is not sufficient merit to justify public funding of it.

Based on the above we would invite the Issuer to either

1. Respond in writing to the Mining Committee's reasons for their recommendations, or
2. Withdraw their prospectus submission.

If a specific reply is not received within 15 days of the date hereof the Superintendent will consider the prospectus submission to be withdrawn.

Yours truly,

Wayne Redwick
Wayne Redwick, C.G.A.
Supervisor, Filings

WR/mc

c.c./Merina Moly Energy Corp.
812 - 475 Howe Street
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 2B3



Province of
British Columbia

Ministry of Consumer
and Corporate Affairs

JAN 29 1985
Corporate Affairs
Superintendent of Brokers,
Insurance and Real Estate
1100, 865 Hornby Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6Z 2H4
Telephone: (604) 682-7031
Telex: 04-54599

January 28, 1985

File #X01 4250 -4

Speton & Company
Barristers & Solicitors
960 - 789 West Pender Street
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 1H2

Attention: Barry D. Speton

Dear Sirs:

RE: MERINA MOLY ENERGY CORP.

We acknowledge receipt of your letter dated December 28, 1984, together with a prospectus submission for the above-noted Company.

An initial review of the submission reveals that the Company has complied with our Notice of August 16, 1984, in that \$25,000 has been spent by the Company on exploration and development of the financing's subject property, however, we are concerned that the Company has not fulfilled the spirit or intent of the Notice which is to reduce the risk to the public as a result of the expenditure.

Our review indicates that the nature of the exploration funded by the Company and the proposed exploration to be funded by the public is the same; that is, very basic. The intent of the Notice is for the basic exploration to be funded by the founders and seed shareholders. The engineering report submitted has been referred to our consultant engineer for comment and upon receipt of his comment, the file will either be referred to the Superintendent for his review or to a filings analyst for preparation of a definitive set of deficiencies.

Yours truly,

Wayne Redwick
Wayne Redwick, C.G.A.
Supervisor, Filings

WR/bs