



June 27/89

Mr. F. Hewitt
Northair Group
860 - 625 Howe Street
Vancouver, BC
V6C 2T6

Dear Fred:

I just received better constrained results from dating of quartz latite porphyry from the Aylwin Creek deposit.

The zircons date at about 183 million years; the cores of the zircons are Proterozoic (about 2195 million years)

I attach covering documentation for the results.

Yours sincerely,

WJ

W.J. McMillan
Manager
Mineral Deposits,
Regional Mapping
and Applied Geochemistry
Geological Survey Branch

WJM/bm

Attachments.

cc - W.R. Smyth
✓ T.G. Schroeter
- T. Höy

LOG NO: JUN 29 1989. VAN
ACTION:
<i>WGS</i>
FILE NO: <i>WILLA</i>



Energy, Mines and
Resources Canada
Geological Survey of Canada
100 West Pender, Vancouver
V6B 1R8

Énergie, Mines et
Ressources Canada
Commission géologique du Canada
100, ouest, rue Pender, Vancouver
V6B 1R8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

6 June 89

Dear Bill:

Please find enclosed the long-awaited U/Pb data from Aylwin Creek. My apologies for the length of time since the running of the data and the reporting of it; too many things on the go seems to be a common trait amongst geochronologists, especially those in transition mode like myself.

The data are quite acceptable on this rock as is; however, as this rock places important constraints on the timing of obduction of Quesnellia (as far as I know, it's the oldest rock intruding Quesnellia after it was emplaced onto North America), an abraded point or two wouldn't hurt. In fact, the presence of a point above the chord indicates that some lead may have been lost by the other points and the rock could be even a bit older than the 183 indicated. Unfortunately, I'm not going to be able to run them unless I find time in the fall. I'll take a look at the remaining sample when I return in the fall to see if there is enough to run more points and give you a call then to see if you're interested.

Anyway, have a good summer.

Cheers,

Don Murphy

LOG NO:	June 13	GEO 5
ACTION:	TK Contact Dick A.	
FILE NO:		

Canada

U-Pb

(NTS _____)

- Mineral analysis
- Concordia interpretation
- Mineral or rock isochron

Sample Number(s) and Reference(s)

Lab No: _____

Ref: _____

Record No: _____

Suite No: _____

Sample Name: _____

Upper Intercept 2195 2σ error
 Computed +170
 Assumed 2330 ± -164 Ma

Lower Intercept 182 2σ error
 Computed +8.5
 Assumed 194 ± -8.5 Ma

²³⁸U-²⁰⁶Pb date _____ ± _____ Ma

AYLWIN CREEK MAFIC PLAGIOCLASE PORPHYRY

²³⁵U-²⁰⁷Pb date _____ ± _____ Ma

decay constant

old: 0.1537/0.9722/0.0499/137.8

new: 0.155125/0.98485/0.049475/137.88

other: _____

not reported

²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb date _____ ± _____ Ma

²³²Th-²⁰⁸Pb date _____ ± _____ Ma

Number of Points: n= 34

Latitude: _____ Longitude: (X° Y' Z" or X° Y.Y')
 (49° 53' " N, 117° 22' " W (± _____)); Elevation: _____

UMT Zone 11 474000 E 5525500N; Province: B.C.

Sec. _____, T. _____, R. _____; _____ Co., State _____

(NTS 82 F/8) _____ Map Area (1:250,000)

Location: EXPLORATION ADIT NORTH OF AYLWIN CREEK

Source Type: _____

Rock Types: ALTERED 'QUARTZ LATITE' PORPHYRY

Geologic Unit: _____

Geologic Setting: INTRUDES ROSSLAND (?) VOLCANICS + SEDIMENTS IN A ROOF PENDANT

Material Analysed: ZIRCON w. COMMON XENOCRYSTIC CORES

Comment on Analyses: 3 POINT DISCORDIA DOES'NT GIVE MEANINGFUL RANGE
OF INTERCEPT ERRORS. NEED ANALYSIS OF 1 MORE FRACTION FOR BETTER
ERROR CONTROL

Interpretation: EARLY JURASSIC INTRUSION. ZIRCONS CONTAIN LARGE AMOUNT
OF INHERITED (XENOCRYSTIC) Pb OF EARLY PROTEROZOIC AGE

Collected by: W.J. McMILLAN

Dated by: P. VAN DER HEYDEN

Date of listing: _____

D. Murphy

Sample Name or Number:

Sheet

Split-Mineral	ppm U	ppm Pb	206	207	208	204	Meas. $\frac{206}{204}$	Mole % Blank Pb	Rad. Pb Rad+ComPb	Common Pb Age
70-100m NM 2/10	440.8	22.6	100	9.3794	9.8839	0.0315	2568	0.4	0.981	300
2.4mg	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ date } \pm$				R
	0.04970 ± 0.00040	0.6126 ± 0.0051	0.08939 ± 0.00017	312.7 ± 2.5	485.2 ± 3.2	1412.5 ± 3.7				.98
Split-Mineral	ppm U	ppm Pb	206	207	208	204	Meas. $\frac{206}{204}$	Mole % Blank Pb	Rad. Pb Rad+ComPb	Common Pb Age
100-200m NM 2/10	408.0	18.3	100	9.2834	11.5007	0.0716	1146	0.9	0.957	300
1.0mg	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ date } \pm$				R
	0.04252 ± 0.00030	0.4851 ± 0.0037	0.08274 ± 0.00019	268.5 ± 1.9	401.6 ± 2.5	1263.0 ± 4.4				.97
Split-Mineral	ppm U	ppm Pb	206	207	208	204	Meas. $\frac{206}{204}$	Mole % Blank Pb	Rad. Pb Rad+ComPb	Common Pb Age
7200m M 1.8/20	474.2	22.1	100	9.5703	12.8828	0.1209	714	1.1	0.928	300
0.6mg	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ date } \pm$				R
	0.04332 ± 0.00031	0.4692 ± 0.0034	0.07856 ± 0.00013	273.4 ± 1.9	390.7 ± 2.4	1161.2 ± 3.3				.98
Split-Mineral	ppm U	ppm Pb	206	207	208	204	Meas. $\frac{206}{204}$	Mole % Blank Pb	Rad. Pb Rad+ComPb	Common Pb Age
74-149 M 1.8A/2	319	11	100	7.2003	11.3658	0.0535		4.29	0.967	185 ± 20
0.2mg	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ date } \pm$				R
	0.03384 ± 0.00008	0.29496 ± 0.0014	0.06428 ± 0.00022	214.5 ± 0.5	266.4 ± 1.1	751 ± 7.2				.92
Split-Mineral	ppm U	ppm Pb	206	207	208	204	Meas. $\frac{206}{204}$	Mole % Blank Pb	Rad. Pb Rad+ComPb	Common Pb Age
	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ ratio } \pm$	$\frac{206 \text{ Pb}}{238 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{235 \text{ U}} \text{ date } \pm$	$\frac{207 \text{ Pb}}{206 \text{ Pb}} \text{ date } \pm$				R
	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm				

Statement of Uncertainties: ERRORS ARE 1 σ

Isotopic composition of blank: S-K Modern Pb (6/4:18.7, 7/4:15.63, 8/4:38.63) or Other (6/4:17.75/7/4:15.50 8/4:37.30)
 Isotopic composition of common Pb based on S-K growth curve: 6/4=11.152, 7/4=12.998, 8/4=31.23 at 3.7Ga with
 238U/204Pb=9.74, 232Th/204Pb=37.19; decay constants 0.155125, 0.98485, 137.88; or Other (6/4: 7/4: 8/4:)

