School

# MINERALOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY

534 ELLIS STREET, NORTH VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA V7H 2G6

Harris

**EXPLORATION SERVICES** 

TELEPHONE (604) 929-5867

888333

Report for

TRM ENGINEERING LTD.

# PRELIMINARY REPORT ON THE LITHOGEOCHEMISTRY OF THE SURF INLET SHEAR ZONE

by

# J.F. HARRIS Ph. D.

MAAnnis Excellent!



April 27th, 1986

# CONTENTS

|                 | Page |
|-----------------|------|
| INTRODUCTION    | 1    |
| OBJECTIVES      | 1    |
| WORK DONE       | 2    |
| DISCUSSION      | 2    |
| RECOMMENDATIONS | 5    |
| REFERENCES      | 6    |
| APPENDIX        | 7    |

# TABLES

| COMPARISON OF ENRICHMENT FACTORS | , 4A     |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| LIST OF SAMPLES                  | Appendix |
| ANALYTICAL RESULTS               | Appendix |

# PRELIMINARY REPORT ON THE LITHOGEOCHEMISTRY OF THE SURF INLET

SHEAR ZONE

## J.F. HARRIS

### Introduction

Past production from the Surf Inlet gold camp has come from two ore bodies, the Surf and the Pugsley, within a major shear zone. This structure, ranging from a few metres up to some 60 m. in width, is known to continue over several miles of strike. It is distinguished by varying degrees of alteration and shearing of the gneiss or diorite country rocks, accompanied by intermittent development of quartz veins. Au mineralization tends to show a close correlation with abundance of pyrite but is typically erratic in its distribution.

Numerous vein quartz showings occur along the shear, some of them yielding encouraging Au values over narrow widths.

Although the shear is well exposed and accessible (within the limitations of the terrain) to surface examination and sampling, the highly variable nature of vein widths and grades in both horizontal and vertical senses, makes conventional methods of evaluation (assaying of channel samples or core from scattered diamond drill holes) less than reliable.

#### Objectives

The purpose of the study currently in progress is to investigate the possible existence within the shear zone of broader, more consistently measurable compositional variations which could be used as indicators of proximity to additional centres of significant Au mineralization comparable with the Surf and Pugsley.

Several cases of lithogeochemical studies of gold deposits exist in the literature (see References, p.6 ), but these are mostly more regional in scale - investigating broad halos in the (more or less unaltered) hosting lithologies, rather than variations within a confined structural zone.

Some elements which have been reported as useful in the halo-forming context are Te, Hg, As, Sb, Ag, Mo, Pb, Rb and  $CO_2$ . Naturally the elements exhibiting the best responses differ from camp to camp.

The objectives of the present study are to find out:

a) which mineralization or alteration-related elements show interesting levels of variation within the Surf Inlet shear zone b) whether any elements showing enrichment in the actual mineralized veins also show a more dispersed enrichment in the adjacent altered/shear zone material.

c) what scale of variation is exhibited by the elements of interest, and how the shear must be sampled in order to detect the patterns sought.

### Work done

As a first step (directed towards objective (a) above) a small suite of 16 samples was analyzed for 35 elements. These samples are part of a fairly extensive suite collected from surface showings, underground workings, diamond drill holes and ore dumps by Cominco Ltd. during their 1981 programme of exploration on the property, and kindly made available for use in this study.

Analytical results are tabulated in Appendix A.

Trace elements found to show substantial variation are Au, Ag, Cu, Hg and Te. Somewhat lesser variation is shown by Ba, Mn, Sr, C and, possibly, Mo.

Trace elements showing notably flat responses (in some cases below analytical detection limit) are As, Be, Bi, Cd, Ga, La, Pb, Sb, Tl U, W, Zn, Rb and Se.

Major elements are considered to be generally inapplicable to this study in that their distribution is likely to be more strongly influenced by lithological variations in the rocks traversed by the shear than by mineralization-related processes. Likewise such trace elements as Co, Ni and Cr are downgraded because of their likely dependence on variations in the proportions of primary mafic minerals.

Of the five elements showing the most initial promise (Au, Ag, Cu, Hg and Te), data for the first three already exist for all samples by virtue of the Cominco work. Analyses for Hg and Te have been done on an additional suite of 53 more samples. These include Au-bearing veins, barren veins, and altered wall rocks. Together with the corresponding data from the initial 16 samples, these results form the basis for the preliminary findings discussed in the following section.

#### Discussion

The frequency distribution of concentrations of all the five elements under consideration is strongly logarithmic in character, showing ranges of several orders of magnitude.

As a means of looking at inter-relationships in a semi-quantitative sense, a three-fold classification of concentrations was made for each element. The cut-offs adopted are as follows:

|          | Low         | Medium      | High   |  |
|----------|-------------|-------------|--------|--|
| Au (ppb) | <10 - 290   | 300 - 3,000 | >3,000 |  |
| Ag (ppm) | <0.4 - 1.9  | 2.0 - 20    | >20    |  |
| Cu (ppm) | 2 - 99      | 100 - 1,000 | >1,000 |  |
| Hg (ppb) | 10 - 90     | 100 - 500   | >500   |  |
| Te (ppm) | 0.05 - 0.45 | 0.5 - 5     | >5     |  |

The above provides a reasonably even division of the 69 samples, which are distributed as follows:

| Number | of | Samples |
|--------|----|---------|
|--------|----|---------|

|    | Low | Medium | High |
|----|-----|--------|------|
| Au | 37  | 11     | 21   |
| Ag | 45  | 16     | 8    |
| Cu | 42  | 15     | 12   |
| Hg | 39  | 18     | 12   |
| Te | 26  | 19     | 24   |

The following diagrams show the degree of correlation of the various elements with Au, in terms of the numbers of samples in which, for example, high, medium and low Te values are respectively associated with high, medium and low Au.

|    |      |         |     |      |   |    |      |          |     | the second s |
|----|------|---------|-----|------|---|----|------|----------|-----|--|
|    | High | 2       | 1   | 21   |   |    | High | 4        | 1   | 8  |
| Te | Med. | 9       | 10  | -    |   | Hg | Med. | 5        | 2   | 10   |
|    | Low  | 26      | -   | -    |   |    | Low  | 27       | 9   | 3  |
|    |      | Low     | Med | High |   |    |      | Low      | Med | High   |
|    |      |         | Au  |      |   |    |      |          | Au  |  |
|    |      | <u></u> |     |      |   |    |      | <b>4</b> |     |  |
|    | High | -       | 1   | 7    |   |    | High | 1        | 3   | 8  |
| Ag | Med. | 1       | 3   | 12   |   | Cu | Med. | 5        | 3   | 7  |
|    | Low  | 36      | 7   | - 2  |   |    | Low  | 31       | 5   | 6  |
|    |      | Low     | Med | High | - |    |      | Low      | Med | High   |
|    |      |         | Au  |      |   |    |      |          | Au  |  |

General conclusions to be drawn from the above are that all four elements show strong to moderate positive correlations with Au content. Details of the pattern differ somewhat. Low Au values can be seen to have associated high Hg and medium to high Te and Cu in a significant percentage of cases; this is essentially never the case with Ag.

On the other hand, high Au values never show associated low or even medium Te values, but frequently coincide with low and medium Cu values. Ag and Hg show intermediate features in this respect.

In this study we are looking not for an element which correlates perfectly with Au (and hence presents the same high variance and difficulties of sampling), but one which, whilst being genetically associated with the mineralizing process, shows a significant degree of dispersion into the shear zone rocks around and above the immediate Au-bearing veins, or sections of veins. Those elements in which the association diagrams show an overlap of high values from the high Au into the low Au samples are believed to show promise in this respect. Hg shows the most favourable distribution, followed by Te and, in turn, Cu. Ag appears to completely lack dispersive characteristics.

It is possible that Au itself also offers some possibilities as a pathfinder. Although it shows a highly erratic (segregated) distribution on the basis of conventional assays, there are grounds for expecting some dispersion in the very low trace range. This may well be obscured in the existing Cominco data (rock geochemical determinations by acid digestion/solvent extraction/atomic absorption to a nominal detection limit of 10 ppb) in which discrimination in the range 0 - 30 ppb is likely to be poor. Currently available geochemical techniques (fire assay/ neutron activation or fire assay/AA) yield a detection limit of 1 ppb and should be better capable of displaying low-level halos of Au distribution. These may be manifested by significantly higher abundances of 10 - 30 ppb concentrations compared with 0 - 10 ppb concentrations in certain sections of the shear.

Although the sample suite so far studied is by no means a balanced representation of the various showings, shear intersections, etc. and the mineralized veins and associated shear zone material which jointly compose them, it may nevertheless prove intructive to see how the present data stand up in use as a lithogeochemical guide.

For this purpose it is necessary to rate the various groups of samples in terms of how close each comes to representing the situation we hope to be able to characterize i.e. a portion of the shear zone which contains, or is closely proximal to, a major concentration of mineralization.

The samples from the underground workings in the Pugsley Mine must presumably be rated as most closely representing the target 'productive' situation. The samples from the Homestake adit, being spatially related to auriferous veins in the East Shear system in the vicinity of the Pugsley, perhaps rate close to them in favourability. The diamond drill hole, 81-4, intersects the shear only a few hundred feet along strike from the southern limits of the Pugsley zone, so it may exemplify an 'adjacent to productive' situation.

Of other locations represented in the suite, the Sadie's showings are relatively close to the northern end of the Pugsley, and the Bluff showing is in the general vicinity of the Surf ore body: however, neither may be sufficiently close to reflect the influence of the respective ore zones.

The remaining locations (Cassie, Bonanza, Diabase, Summit, DDH-2 and DDH-7) are not close to any known ore concentrations and, provisionally, can be considered as less favourable situations.

Parameters used in comparing the various locations are relative enrichment of Hg, Te, Ag and Cu in mineralized material (arbitrarily defined, for this purpose, as samples containing >1,000 ppb Au) and the relative enrichment of the same elements in unmineralized vein and shear zone material (samples containing <1,000 ppb Au). The relative enrichment factors are simply the ratios of average element contents (in ppb) vs average Au contents (in ppb) for the 'mineralized' and 'unmineralized' samples from each location. Because of the preliminary status of the study, and the rather sketchy sample coverage, these are, in some cases, averages of one!

The calculated factors are compiled in Table 1.

The enrichment factors which best distinguish the two ore-related locations (Pugsley and Homestake) from the others appear to be Hg/Au and Cu/Au, in both

| Location     | Category     | Enrichment factors:<br>Mineralized samples |       |       | Enrichment factors:<br>Unmineralized samples |       |       |       |       |
|--------------|--------------|--|-------|-------|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|              |              | Hg/Au                                      | Te/Au | Ag/Au | Cu/Au  | Hg/Au | Te/Au | Ag/Au | Cu/Au |
| <u></u>      |              |  |       |       |  |       |       |       |       |
| u/g Pugsley  | Ore related  | 0.5  | 1.4   | 2.6   | 2,052  | 11.1  | 3.1   | 3.4   | 8,983 |
| u/g Homestal | ke "         | 0.3  | 2.8   | 0.6   | 411  | 8.6   | 10.5  | 1.5   | 446   |
|              |              |  |       |       |  |       |       |       |       |
| DDH-81-4     | Near to ore? | 0.02                                       | 1.7   | 1.0   | 381  | 1.2   | 4.4   | 12.5  | 2,937 |
| Sadies       | 11           | 0.01                                       | 0.7   | 0.4   | 166  | 0.4   | 6.3   | 1.9   | 2,298 |
| Bluff        | "            | 0.02                                       | 1.0   | 3.2   | 8  | 0.3   | 6.8   | 2.9   | 321   |
|              |              |  |       |       |  |       |       |       |       |
| Cassie       | Unknown      | 0.03                                       | 0.7   | 1.5   | 1  | 0.2   | 3.6   | 3.4   | 365   |
| Bonanza      | **           | 0.3  | 2.7   | 7.3   | 1,663  | 0.3   | 75.8  | 6.8   | 720   |
| Diabase      | **           | 0.02                                       | 3.4   | 5.5   | 6  | 0.5   | 5.8   | 17.3  | 1,059 |
| Summit       | **           | 0.04                                       | 2.2   | 2.2   | 23   | 0.2   | 3.6   | 2.2   | 145   |
| DDH-81-2     | **           | 0.05                                       | 2.7   | 7.4   | 1  | 0.3   | 4.8   | 15.4  | 20    |
| DDH-81-7     | **           | 0.01                                       | 0.7   | 0.2   | 2  | 0.2   | 1.8   | 1.0   | 137   |
|              |              |  |       |       |  |       |       |       |       |
| Dumps        |              | 0.01                                       | 1.0   | 0.4   | 268  | 0.2   | 3.4   | 1.5   | 229   |
| -            |              | •  |       |       |  |       |       |       |       |

mineralized and unmineralized material. Te/Au in unmineralized samples is also perceptibly higher in these areas.

The mean values of these factors for the two ore-related locations are:

Hg/Au (min) 0.4 Hg/Au (unmin) 9.8 Cu/Au (min) 1,231 Cu/Au (unmin) 4,714 Te/Au (unmin) 6.8

The locations classed as possibly 'near to ore' show no tendency for elevated values in Hg/Au (min), though DDH-81-4 is somewhat elevated in Hg/Au (unmin). It also shows values of Cu/Au (min and unmin) resembling those of the ore-related areas.

Sadie's shows a high score in Cu (unmin) and a marginal one in Cu/Au (min), but is not distinctive in terms of Hg/Au. Sadie's and Bluff both show relatively high Te/Au (unmin).

Among the locations of unknown potential, the Bonanza stands out as having values of Hg/Au (min), Cu/Au (min) and Cu/Au (unmin) similar to those of the ore-related examples. It also shows a uniquely high score in Te/Au (unmin).

The Diabase showing has high Cu/Au (unmin) and somewhat high Te/Au (unmin).

In summary, based on scores in the five factors which best distinguish the known ore-related areas, the three possible near-ore situations all show partial ore-related characteristics.

Among the unknowns, the Bonanza and, to a lesser degree, the Diabase show partially favourable lithogeochemical features.

The failure of the Dump samples to show any resemblance to the ore-related group is somewhat puzzling. One assumes that these represent material which came from old workings and should hence exhibit strongly the chemical characteristics tentatively ascribed as 'favourable'. It is possible that weathering and oxidation affecting the broken rock over a period of several years has resulted in depletion of the mobile elements Hg and Te.

#### Recommendations

Results of the study to date are promising. In order to confirm (or modify) the tentative findings, further work is required.

1. The second group (of 53 samples) should be run for multi-element ICP analysis to ascertain whether any other elements (notably Ba, Mn, Mo and Sr) show potential for discriminating ore-related environments within the shear. Au analysis to 1 ppb detection limit should also be considered, to check out the low-level dispersion hypothesis.

2. Following this, all remaining shear-zone samples from the Cominco programme (estimated at about 100) should be analyzed for Hg, Te and any other element(s) found to exhibit discriminatory potential.

The resultant data, combined with that already acquired, will permit a more reliable and refined derivation of lithogeochemical discriminants.

3. Given success in stage 2 (above), plans for the collection of new samples and a systematic evaluation of the Surf Inlet Shear Zone can be made.

# APPENDIX

LIST OF SAMPLES AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY ON TRACE ELEMENT DISPERSIONS AROUND GOLD DEPOSITS

- WEBER, W and J.F. STEPHENSON, 1972. The content of mercury and gold in some Archean rocks of the Rice Lake area.
- AFTABI, A and L.M. AZZARIA, 1983. Distribution of mercury compounds in ore and host rocks at Sigma Gold Mine, Val, D'Or, Quebec. Journ. Geochem. Expl., pp. 447 - 464.
- SMITH, T.J. and S.E. KESLER, 1985. Relation of fluid inclusion geochemistry to wallrock alteration and lithogeochemical zonation at the Hollinger-McIntyre gold deposit, Timmins, Ontario. C.I.M. Bull., April 1985, pp. 35 - 46.
- GOTT, G.B., McCARTHY, J.H., VANSICKLE, G.H. and J.B. McHUGH, 1969. Distribution of gold and other metals in the Cripple Creek district, Colorado. U.S.G.S. Prof. Pap. 625-A pp., A1 - A7.
- WATTERSON, J.R., G.B. GOTT, G.J. NEUERBURG, H.W. LAKIN and J.B. CATHRALL, 1977. Tellurium, a guide to mineral deposits. Jour. Geochem. Expl., 8, pp. 31 - 48.

# LIST OF SAMPLES

| Sample No.  | Lab. No.  | Location   | Description  |
|---|---|--|--|
| SR 81-152<br>153<br>158<br>163<br>165<br>168        | R81-12486<br>12487<br>12492<br>12497<br>12499<br>12502            | Pugsley 907 drive, S.<br>"""C.<br>"""N.<br>"N.     | 2.5m. channel, vein and shear<br>2.0m. ", shear with quartz<br>3.0m. ", vein and shear<br>2.0m. ", vein and shear<br>3.0m. ", quartz vein                |
| SR 81-103<br>105<br>108<br>109<br>110<br>111        | R81-10203<br>10205<br>10208<br>10209<br>10210<br>10211            | Homestake adit, N.<br>"""C.<br>"""S.               | <pre>1m. channel, HW to quartz</pre>   |
| SR 81- 79<br>80<br>81<br>15<br>86<br>87<br>89<br>91 | R81- 9976<br>9977<br>9978<br>9982<br>9983<br>9984<br>9986<br>9988 | Sadie's Ck. 195m.<br>"""145m.<br>"""""<br>"""125m. | Shear, HW to vein<br>Quartz vein<br>Shear, FW to vein<br>Shear, HW to vein<br>Quartz vein<br>Shear, FW to vein<br>Shear, HW to vein<br>Shear, FW to vein |
| SR 81- 71<br>72                                     | R81- 9882<br>9883   | Bluff, 200L Adit                                   | Shear, HW to quartz vein<br>Quartz vein  |
| SR 81- 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | R81- 8523<br>8524<br>8525<br>8526<br>8527<br>8528                 | Cassie Showing<br>"<br>"<br>"<br>, "               | Grabs from ore dump<br>Shear, HW to upper quartz vein<br>Upper quartz vein<br>Shear between veins<br>Lower quartz vein<br>Shear, FW to lower quartz vein |
| SR 81- 28<br>29A<br>30                              | R81- 9431<br>9432<br>9434   | Summit Showing                                     | Shear, HW to quartz vein<br>Quartz vein<br>Shear, FW to quartz vein  |
| SR 81- 23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27                   | R81- 8617<br>8618<br>8619<br>8620<br>8621                         | Bonanza Showing                                    | Shear, HW to quartz vein<br>Upper quartz vein<br>Shear between veins<br>Lower quartz vein<br>Shear, FW to lower quartz vein                              |
| SR 81- 31<br>32<br>33<br>34                         | R81- 9435<br>9436<br>9437<br>9438                                 | Diabase Showing<br>"<br>"                          | Shear, HW to quartz vein<br>Quartz vein<br>Shear FW to quartz vein<br>Diabase dyke cutting shear   |

List of Samples cont.

•

| SR 81-125<br>129<br>130<br>142<br>145<br>148<br>118<br>119<br>121 | R81-10605<br>10609<br>10610<br>10622<br>10625<br>10628<br>10218<br>10219<br>10221 | Surf Dumps, W. Dump<br>""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""  | Composite grab                                 |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | R81- 8555<br>8556<br>8557<br>8558<br>8559<br>8560<br>8561<br>8562<br>8563         | DDH 81-2 116.0 - 116.6<br>" 116.6 - 117.6<br>" 117.6 - 118.6<br>" 118.6 - 119.6<br>" 119.6 - 120.6<br>" 120.6 - 121.6<br>" 121.6 - 122.6<br>" 122.6 - 123.2<br>" 123.2 - 124.0 | Shear zone<br>""<br>""<br>""<br>""<br>""<br>"" |
|   | R81- 9852<br>9853<br>9854<br>9855   | DDH 81-4 117.9 - 118.9<br>116.9 - 117.9<br>116.3 - 116.9<br>115.3 - 116.3  | Shear zone<br>"<br>"                           |
|   | R81-12507<br>12508<br>12510<br>12511<br>12512<br>12514<br>12515                   | DDH 81-7 58.6 - 60.8<br>" 60.1 - 60.8<br>" 61.4 - 61.5<br>" 61.5 - 62.6<br>" 62.6 - 63.8<br>" 64.8 - 65.8<br>" 65.8 - 66.8   | Shear zone<br>""<br>"<br>"<br>"<br>"           |

# ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Ą

ļ

| Location      | Lab. No   | Chemex                                  | <b>,</b> 1986                                    | 6 Cominco, 1981                              |   |   |   |
|---------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
|               |   | Hg<br>(ppb)                             | Te<br>(ppm)                                      | Au<br>(ppb)                                  | Ag<br>(ppm)                               | Cu<br>(ppm)                                   |   |
| Pugsley u/g   | 12486<br>12499<br>12502                               | 3,400<br>5,600<br>320                   | 18.00<br>3.80<br>1.55<br>7.80                    | 14,000<br>2,030<br>1,140                     | 29.9<br>1.3<br>14.0                       | 2,340<br>1,586<br>31,300                      |   |
|               | 12487<br>12492<br>12497<br>Means (lo Au)              | 4,000<br>1,420<br>2,400<br>2,607        | 0.75<br>0.55<br>0.85<br>0.72                     | 124<br>124<br>456<br>235                     | 0.4<br>1.8<br>0.4<br>0.87                 | 11,742<br>168<br>6,100<br>65<br>2,111         |   |
| Homestake u/g | 10208<br>10210<br>10211<br>Means (hi Au)              | 3,000<br>1,640<br>820<br>1,820          | 30.00<br>13.00<br>9.50<br>17.50                  | 10,500<br>4,630<br>3,860<br>6,330            | 3.6<br>5.8<br>2.3<br>3.9                  | 1,890<br>5,150<br>763<br>2,601                |   |
|               | 10203<br>10205<br>10209<br>Means (lo Au)              | 240<br>100<br>3,000<br>1,113            | 1.25<br>0.55<br>2.30<br>1.37                     | 160<br>140<br>90<br>130                      | <0.4<br><0.4<br><0.4<br><0.4              | 81<br>38<br>56<br>58                          |   |
| Cassie        | 8523<br>8525<br>8527<br>Means (hi Au)                 | 1,760<br>120<br>100<br>660              | 22.00<br>13.00<br>13.50<br>16.20                 | 63,000<br>4,300<br>6,000<br>24,330           | 93.2<br>7.4<br>11.1<br>37.2               | 26<br>11<br>11<br>16                          |   |
|               | 8524<br>8526<br>8528<br>Means (lo Au)                 | 20<br>40<br>20<br>27                    | 0.40<br>1.10<br>0.05<br>0.52                     | 110<br>300<br>24<br>145                      | <0.4<br>0.8<br>0.4<br>0.5                 | 138<br>2<br>19<br>53                          |   |
| Bonanza       | 8618<br>8620<br>Means (hi Au)<br>8617<br>8619<br>8621 | 240<br>4,600<br>2,450<br>20<br>90<br>60 | 13.50<br>32.50<br>23.00<br>26.00<br>9.00<br>1.50 | 4,020<br>12,800<br>8,410<br>238<br>90<br>156 | 36.9<br>86.0<br>61.5<br>1.0<br>1.2<br>1.2 | 10,780<br>17,200<br>13,990<br>25<br>70<br>252 |   |
|               | Means (lo Au)   | 57                                      | 12.20  | 161  | 1.1                                       | 116   | ļ |

| Sadie's Ck.    | 9977<br>9983                                       | 200<br>240                         | 13.50<br>33.00                                   | 19,000<br>45,000                                      | 10.0<br>15.1                               | 403<br>10,200                                  |
|----------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
|                | Means (hi Au)                                      | 220                                | 23.20  | 32,000  | 12.5                                       | 5,301  |
|                | 9976<br>9978<br>9982<br>9984<br>9986<br>9988       | 100<br>40<br>20<br>40<br>20<br>10  | 0.05<br>0.25<br>3.10<br>0.40<br>0.15<br>0.05     | <10<br>100<br>400<br>80<br>20<br>20                   | <0.4<br><0.4<br><0.4<br><0.4<br>0.4<br>0.4 | 14<br>47<br>782<br>549<br>21<br>22             |
|                | Means (lo Au)                                      | 38                                 | 0.66   | 104   | <0.4                                       | 239  |
| Summit         |  |                                    |  |   |  |  |
|                | 9432   | 260                                | 15.00  | 6,670   | 14.5                                       | 152  |
|                | 9431<br>9434                                       | 60<br>40                           | 0.60<br>1.35                                     | 170<br>380  | <0.4<br>1.0                                | 18<br>63                                       |
|                | Means (lo Au)                                      | 50                                 | 1.00   | 275   | 0.6  | 40   |
| Diabase        |  |                                    |  |   |  |  |
|                | 9436   | 100                                | 15.00  | 4,400   | 24.4                                       | 28   |
|                | 9435<br>9435<br>9438                               | 20<br>20<br>40                     | 0.10<br>0.30<br>0.50                             | 22<br>60<br>74  | <0.4<br>2.0<br>0.6                         | 48<br>47<br>71                                 |
|                | Means (lo Au)                                      | 27                                 | 0.30   | 52  | 0.9  | 55   |
| D1., <i>ff</i> |  |                                    |  |   |  |  |
| DIUII          | 9983   | 660                                | 29.00  | 30,000  | 97.7                                       | 224  |
|                | 9982 •   | 40                                 | 0.95   | 140   | 0.4  | 45   |
| Dumps          |  |                                    |  |   |  |  |
|                | 10219<br>10221<br>10605<br>10609<br>10610<br>10622 | 60<br>120<br>20<br>20<br>40<br>100 | 13.50<br>11.50<br>8.00<br>2.25<br>10.00<br>12.50 | 3,250<br>18,000<br>7,170<br>1,820<br>14,800<br>10,200 | 1.0<br>8.0<br>0.8<br>2.2<br>5.3<br>5.9     | 750<br>8,330<br>117<br>2,510<br>1,626<br>3,490 |
|                | Means (hi Au)                                      | 68                                 | 9.50   | 9,207   | 3.9  | 2,804  |
|                | 10218<br>10625<br>10628                            | 60<br>60<br>100                    | 2.50<br>0.40<br>1.20                             | 230<br>272<br>690                                     | <0.4<br><0.4<br>1.2                        | 60<br>13<br>200                                |
|                | Means (lo Au)                                      | 73                                 | 1.37   | 397   | 0.6  | 91   |
|                | •  |                                    | 1  | i   |  | •  |

1

| DDH 81-2 |               |          |       |        |            |        |
|----------|---------------|----------|-------|--------|------------|--------|
|          | 8555          | 430      | 19.80 | 10,400 | 69.7       | 18     |
|          | 8563          | 1/0      | 14.60 | 2,190  | 23.9       | 6      |
|          | Means (hi Au) | 300      | 17.20 | 6,295  | 46.8       | 12     |
|          | 8556          | 50       | 0.70  | 14     | 1.3        | 3      |
|          | 8557          | 100      | 0.60  | 128    | 5.0        | 6      |
|          | 8559          | 40<br>60 | 2.50  | 704    | 1.3<br>4.8 | 2<br>4 |
|          | 8560          | 30       | 0.75  | 140    | 1.9        | 2      |
|          | 8561          | 20       | 0.25  | 22     | 0.9        | 2      |
|          | 8562          | 20       | 0.10  | 12     | 0.6        | 1      |
|          | Means (lo Au) | 46       | 0.71  | 149    | 2.3        | 3      |
|          |               |          |       |        |            |        |
| DDH 81-4 | 0952          | 20       | 2 50  | 1 600  | 1 /        | 510    |
|          | 9854          | 20<br>20 | 2.25  | 1,000  | 1.4        | 510    |
|          | Means (hi Au) | 20       | 2.35  | 1,350  | 1.3        | 514    |
|          | 9852          | 20       | 0.10  | <10    | <0.4       | 61     |
|          | 9855          | 20       | 0.05  | 28     | <0.4       | 34     |
|          | Means (lo Au) | 20       | 0.07  | 16     | <0.4       | 47     |
|          |               |          |       |        |            |        |
| DDH 81-7 | 10510         | 200      | 10.00 |        | <b>F</b> 1 | 11     |
|          | 12510         | 260      | 19.00 | 26,000 | 5.1        | 41     |
|          | 12507         | 80       | 0.05  |        | <0.4       | 12     |
|          | 12508         | 60<br>40 | 0.65  | 64     | <0.4       | 13     |
|          | 12512         | 20       | 1.10  | 702    | <0.4       | 6      |
|          | 12514 .       | 20       | 0.10  | 60     | <0.4       | 18     |
|          | 12515         | 20       | 0.05  | 22     | <0.4       | 23     |
|          | Means (lo Au) | 40       | 0.35  | 190    | <0.4       | 26     |
|          |               | •        |       |        |            | -      |

.



## MINERALOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY

534 ELLIS STREET, NORTH VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA V7H 2G6

TELEPHONE (604) 929-5867

Job #85-75 January 21st, 1986

Report for: Murray McLaren, Fleet Developments Ltd., 701-744 West Hastings St., VANCOUVER, B.C. V6C 1A5

### Samples:

5 samples of products from metallurgical test work on material from Surf Inlet, B.C., as follows:

| Sample                  | Slide No.        | Au assay (oz/ton) |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Plant tailings          | 85 <b>-</b> 231X | 0.061             |
| E. Surf Dump, compo     | 85 <b>-</b> 232X | 0.067             |
| W. Surf Dump, compo     | 85-233X          | 0.151             |
| E. Surf flotation conc. | 85-234X (A & B)  | 1.03              |
| W. Surf flotation conc. | 85-235X (A & B)  | 3.33              |

Samples were prepared as polished thin sections. Two sections of each of the flotation concentrates were prepared so as to maximize the chances of observing particulate Au.

### Descriptions:

1. Plant Tailings

This material consists of angular particles, mainly in the size-range 0.05 - 0.25mm (50 - 250 microns).

The particles consist chiefly of quartz, with minor plagioclase, sericite and carbonate, and occasional hornblende.

Opaques are sparse. They consist dominantly of pyrite, at an estimated concentration of 0.1 - 0.3%. Rare specks of chalcopyrite and iron oxides were also seen.

The sulfides are as liberated grains.

2. E. Surf Dump: composite heads

The material mounted is a -10+20 mesh fraction sieved from the crushed, homogenized head sample. It consists of rock and mineral fragments, mainly in the size range 0.2 - 2.0mm.

The dominant particle type is a more or less altered, fine-grained, intrusive-

textured rock of quartz diorite to granodiorite composition. This is composed of quartz, plagioclase (variably replaced by sericite and carbonate), minor K-feldspar and hornblende, and a little biotite. The mafics are partially altered to chlorite and epidote.

A considerable proportion of grains (30 - 40%) consist totally, or largely, of quartz. This is probably indicative of a phase of veining or silicification affecting the intrusive.

The sulfide content is estimated at approximately 0.5% and consists almost entirely of pyrite. The majority of this is in the form of a few relatively coarse, free grains, up to 2.0mm in size. A very minor proportion is as individual smaller grains (0.01 - 0.05mm) or small clumps within silicate rock fragments.

The great majority of fragments (both intrusive and quartz) are devoid of sulfides.

3. W. Surf Dump: composite heads

This material was prepared as for the E. Surf compo and presents a generally similar appearance under the microscope.

The intrusive material appears to be dominantly quartz dioritic in composition (K-feldspar is not seen) and may be slightly more altered (fresh hornblende or biotite are rare, and sericite and carbonate are possibly more abundant than in the E. Surf sample, sometimes making up descrete particles). A high proportion of the grains (perhaps as much as 50%) consist of essentially monomineralic quartz,

The sulfide content, though still low (estimated at about 1%), is noticeably higher than in the E. Surf compo. Again it consists essentially of pyrite, though very minor accessory chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite were also noted.

The pyrite is dominantly in the form of free grains, 0.5 - 2.0mm in size. A small proportion occurs as grains 0.01 - 0.1mm in particles of quartz diorite or quartz. There is no observable tendency for the sulfides to occur preferentially in the free quartz. Most of the quartz particles are quite devoid of sulfides.

4. E. Surf Flotation Concentrate

This material consists of angular grains showing a size range of about 2 - 150 microns.

The estimated mode is as follows:

| Quartz )        | 50 |
|-----------------|----|
| Feldspars )     | 50 |
| Sericite        | 8  |
| Carbonate       | 10 |
| Mafic silicates | 10 |
| Pyrite          | 20 |
| Chalcopyrite    | 1  |
| Iron oxides )   | 1  |
| Metallic iron ) | Ŧ  |

The sulfide particles show a remarkable degree of liberation, approaching 100%. Pyrite and chalcopyrite also show essentially complete liberation one from the other. The pyrite appears homogenous and free of inclusions of other minerals. The majority of the sulfide particles (estimated >80%) fall in the upper part of the size range (>40 microns).

The majority of the silicate particles appear free of included or attached sulfides and it appears that considerable upgrading of the concentrate would be possible by reflotation.

5. W. Surf Flotation Concentrate

This material shows a similar size range to the E. Surf concentrate.

Estimated mode

| Quartz )        | 20 |
|-----------------|----|
| Feldspars )     | 20 |
| Sericite        | 7  |
| Carbonate       | 10 |
| Mafic silicates | 5  |
| Pyrite          | 45 |
| Chalcopyrite    | 4  |
| Iron oxides )   | 1  |
| Metallic iron ) | T  |

Significant differences from the E. Surf concentrate are notably higher overall sulfide content and a somewhat higher ratio of chalcopyrite to pyrite.

As in the other product, liberation of sulfides from silcates and of chalcopyrite from pyrite appears essentially complete. It therefore appears that differential flotation to produce a separate copper concentrate would be quite feasible if economically justified.

### Mode of Occurrence of Gold

Intensive microscopic examination of both the East and West Surf concentrates has failed to provide an explanation for the relatively high assay values (1.0 and 3.3 oz/ton respectively).

The extreme homogeneity of the pyrite in both concentrates and the rarity of any inclusions is a striking feature.

Only one example of native Au was seen (in the W. Surf concentrate). This was in the form of a small cluster of minute grains (1 - 2 microns in size) intergrown with chalcopyrite and an unidentified phase (probably petzite).

Prompted by the apparent lack of Au in the metallic form, and by reports of the occurrence of <u>gold tellurides in</u> a sample from the property (J.A. McLeod, Cominco Ltd, 1981), the two concentrates were submitted for analysis for Te.

Results were as follows:

| Ε. | Surf | concentrate | Te | 14 | ppm  |
|----|------|-------------|----|----|------|
| W. | Surf | concentrate | Te | 68 | ppm. |

The presence of Te is thus confirmed. Moreover, the Te contents show a general correlation with the S and Au levels in the respective products.

In light of the above, the products were re-examined under the microscope to make sure that gold in the form of tellurides had not been overlooked. Nothing was found that would account for these Te values in terms of gold tellurides. A number of examples of optically unidentifiable phases in the slides of the W. Surf concentrate were marked and checked for composition by scanning electron microanalysis. Of 8 such grains examined, 2 proved to be metallic iron (a contaminant from grinding); 3 were too small to be locatable under the SEM (they were inclusions, 1 - 2 microns in size, in pyrite); and 3 proved to be tellurides.

Of the latter, one grain (a 6 micron inclusion in pyrite) is a composite of Pb telluride and Ag telluride and the other two (free grains, 25 and 30 microns in size) are a Ag-Au telluride, probably petzite (AgAu)<sub>2</sub>Te.

Although the existence of telluride minerals in the Surf Inlet mineralization is thus confirmed, the extreme rarity of the phases fails to account for the analysed Te levels, or for the Au values in terms of previous metals tellurides.

It appears, therefore, that the Au in these samples must be held in submicroscopic form within another mineral - most likely the pyrite. Numerous examples of this mode of occurrence exist in the literature. The fact that exploitation of the Surf Inlet deposit in the past has always been via production and sale of an auriferous sulfide concentrate rather than by gravity and/or cyanidation, adds weight to this possibility, as does the strong correlation of Au values with S contents in the present products:

|    |                  | S (%) | Au (oz/ton) |  |
|----|------------------|-------|-------------|--|
| Ε. | Surf concentrate | 8.3   | 1.03        |  |
| W. | Surf concentrate | 22.5  | 3.33        |  |

As an additional check on this probability, 5 separate analyses for Au on very small portions (0.1g) of the W. Surf concentrate were done. Results are as follows:

|         |   | Au (ppb)  | Equiv. oz/ton |
|---------|---|-----------|---------------|
| Portion | 1 | 116,000   | 3.31          |
| 11      | 2 | 121,000   | 3.46          |
| 11      | 3 | 110,000   | 3.14          |
| **      | 4 | . 117,000 | 3.34          |
| 11      | 5 | 108,000   | 3.10          |

The extremely low variance of these replicate micro-analyses is a striking confirmation of the hypothesis that the Au is present in a homogenously distributed (possibly molecular or solid solution) form in the pyrite.

Agreement of this order between analyses is hard to obtain on most Au-bearing materials even by conventional assays using weights in the order of 20g. To obtain it on replicate portions 1/200th of this size would be essentially inconceivable if any significant proportion of the Au existed in discrete particulate form. It is also significant that every one of the 0.1g portions analysed very close to the official assay of 3.33 oz/ton for this material.

A comparable experiment was then carried out with regard to the Te. Four replicate analyses on 0.2g portions of the W. Surf concentrate gave the following results:

|         |   | Te (ppm) |
|---------|---|----------|
| Portion | 1 | 100.0    |
| **      | 2 | 97.5     |
| 11      | 3 | 97.5     |
| 11      | 4 | 122.5    |

These data (coupled with the rarity of microscopically visible tellurides) suggest that most of the Te in these materials is also held in solid solution in the sulfides.

The discrepancy between these figures and the original analysis of 68 ppm is considered by the analyst to be a function of superior digestion achieved in the later work.

### Conclusions:

1. The sulfide mineralogy at Surf Inlet (insofar as it is represented by the dump material) is very simple, consisting of major pyrite and minor chalcopyrite.

2. Liberation of the sulfides is essentially complete at the grind used for the present tests. The bulk of the sulfides occur in the upper part of the size range, suggesting that adequate liberation may still be achieved at coarser grinds.

3. Only a very minor proportion of the Au occurs in particulate form. The bulk of it is indicated as being in a homogenously dispersed, sub-microscopic form in pyrite.

4. The poor results obtained in cyanidation tests (around 20% recovery) fit the above conclusion. Total decomposition of the sulfides (by chemical, biohydro-metallurgical or pyrometallurgical means) would appear necessary to liberate the Au.

5. Te contents of the Surf concentrates are substantial. Only traces of tellurides can be seen, so this element too may exist dominantly in dispersed form in the sulfides. It is therefore unlikely to be a factor in Au recovery.

6. Exploration at Surf Inlet should be geared to locating bodies of rock enriched in pyrite.

J.F. Harris Ph.D.