

884985

I N T E R O F F I C E M E M O R A N D U M

Created: 21-May-1998 11:34am PDT
Sent: 21-May-1998 11:34am PDT
From: Conte, Rick EI:EX
Rick.Conte@gems4.gov.bc.ca@GEMS@v

ENUS

Title.
Dept:
Tel No:

TO: TSCHROETER

(TSCHROETER@A1)

Subject: Lodestone Mtn. Tulameen Porject

Tom, I left a message for Lorne Sivertson's exac asst. to call me about any work or studies done by Columbia Power Corp. Lorne is out of office today, but she will get back to me Friday or monday.
rick

I N T E R O F F I C E M E M O R A N D U M

Created: 29-May-1998 02:44pm PDT
Sent: 29-May-1998 02:44pm PDT
From: McMillan, Bill EM:EX
Bill.McMillan@gems7.gov.bc.ca@GEM

S@VENUS

Title.
Dept:
Tel No:

TO: TSCHROETER (TSCHROETER@A1)

Subject: Re: Van. MDO (GSB): Week Ending May 29/98

Tom

Don't forget that Nixon spent a lot of field time on the Tulameen. If he can help (or the Ultramafic Bulletin) give him a call.

Bill

> -----
> From: Schroeter, Tom EI:A1
> Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 1998 3:03 PM
> To: Anderson, Duane EI:EX; Bergen, Wally EI:EX; Beswick, Ed EI:EX;
> Bhagwanani, Bish EI:EX; Cathro, Mike EI:A1; Errington, John EI:EX;
> German, Gerald EI:EX; Hall, Ted J EI:A1;
> "Fred.Hermann@gems9gov.bc.ca%GEMS%VENUS
> Fred.Hermann@gems9.gov.bc.ca%GEMS%VENU"@mr.gov.bc.ca; Koncohrada,
> Karen EI:EX; Lane, Bob A EI:A1; Lefebure, Dave EI:EX; Lewis, Jim E
> EI:EX;
> "Denis.Lieutard@gems2.gov.bc.ca%GEMS%VENUSnis.Lieutard@gems2.gov.bc.ca
> %GEMS%VE"@mr.gov.bc.ca; McArthur, Gib EI:EX; McMillan, Bill EI:EX;
> Meyers, Rick E EI:A1; Smyth, Ron EI:EX; Whale, Andrew EI:EX; Wilton,
> Paul EI:A1; Wojdak, Paul EI:A1; Jakobsen, Dorthe EI:EX; Pinsent,
> Robert EM:A1; Woodworth, Judy EI:A1; Jones, Larry EI:EX; Kilby, Ward
> EI:EX; Conte, Rick EI:EX; McLaren, Graeme EI:EX
> Subject: Van. MDO (GSB): Week Ending May 29/98

> 1) Priorities For Coming Week:

> * Continue Exploration Monitoring/Tracking/Trends: CRISIS! -
> preliminary
> forecasts from this office (and I'm sure the regions) look dismal,
> probably the
> lowest in modern history. At present, my OPTIMISTIC tracking list
> totals approx.
> \$46m. If financing continues to be a serious problem, this number
> could easily
> be downsized by 20% or more. Bottomline is: best guesstimate = \$50M
> [Range =
> \$40M to \$60M]. My list is 'topped' by Eskay Creek (\$4.9M) and Myra
> falls
> (\$3.4M). There are 22 projects with expenditures forecast at >\$0.3M,
> totalling
> approx. \$18.8M, or 42% of total expenditures. Good news is, I guess,
> that more \$
> will probably be spent on grassroots projects.

> * BCYCM Monthly Luncheon Meeting: (1st)

I N T E R O F F I C E M E M O R A N D U M

WGS → Lodestone Mtn.

Created: 17-Jun-1998 01:47pm PDT
Sent: 17-Jun-1998 01:47pm PDT
From: Conte, Rick EM:EX
Rick.Conte@gems4.gov.bc.ca@GEMS@v

ENUS

Title.
Dept:
Tel No:

TO: MCATHRO (MCATHRO@A1)
CC: RMEYERS (RMEYERS@A1)
CC: TSCHROETER (TSCHROETER@A1)

Subject: Re: Lodestone Mtn.

Mike, thanks for the comments and summary info. Nothing further needed.

If William Warke of Empire pushes the PGE within the coal, my response will be; bring me proof, then allow gov't to evaluate this proof.

I added Tom to cc as he was part of meeting with Mr. Warke and ron Wickstrom of the Premier's office.

Rick Conte
Assistant Director, Operations

> -----

> From: Cathro, Mike EI:A1
> Sent: June 17, 1998 12:56
> To: Conte, Rick EM:EX
> Cc: Meyers, Rick E EI:A1
> Subject: Lodestone Mtn.

> Hi Rick,

> R. Meyers asked me to look into the possibility of conflict between the
> coal mining proposal and the iron at Lodestone Mountain.

> The two deposits are about five km apart and in totally different rock
> types. There is no chance of the iron being affected by the coal mining.
> The potential for precious metals in the coal is very low. If there were
> any PGE, gold or silver it would be a miracle! In any event, it doesn't
> really concern Empire Minerals since they do not control the mineral
> rights
> in the area proposed for coal mining. Pacific West Coal owns both mineral
> (Roy 3-14 claims) and coal rights (coal leases) in that area.

> As for "destruction of infrastructure", all there is in this area is the
> mainline Lodestone Mountain Forest Service Road. This road crosses the
> coal
> deposit at about the 10 km mark. If and when mining gets to this area,
> perhaps about 200 m of the road would have to be relocated. As it is a
> well used mainline forestry road, Pacific West Coal would be responsible
> for moving the road and maintaining access for other users.

> Eric Beresford is very familiar with the coal project if you have any
> questions about the details of the mining proposal.

>