

NEWSLETTER

The Huckleberry Copper Project P R O P O S A L

Environmental
Assessment Office



Province of
British Columbia

September 1995

Number 1

THE HUCKLEBERRY COPPER PROJECT PROPOSAL

SEP 6 1995

Geological Survey Branch
MEMPR

Huckleberry Mines Ltd. (formerly New Canamin Resources Ltd.) proposes to develop the Huckleberry Property, a porphyry-copper deposit located in west-central B.C., approximately 86 km southwest of Houston.

Current mineable reserves are estimated at 93.9 million tonnes containing 0.50 per cent copper, with minor recoverable amounts of gold, silver and molybdenum. The deposit would be accessed by two open pits, the "Main Zone" and the "East Zone". Mill design capacity has been set at 15,500 tonnes per day for the East Zone and 14,000 tonnes per day for the Main Zone, to produce a total of 27,300 tonnes of copper annually over the anticipated 17-year mine life.

Bulk copper concentrate from the proposed mine will be shipped at a rate of eight 40-ton trucks per day, seven days per week via an upgraded and extended forest service road to

Houston and then by Highway 16 to either Prince Rupert or Kitimat.

The company estimates that the construction phase will create 220 jobs for a period of about 18 months, followed by between 180 and 200 full-time positions over the 17-year life of the mine. Approximately 100 of these employees will be on site at any one time during regular operations. The total budget for the project including start-up costs is estimated at more than \$650 million for the 17-year life of the mine. Of this total, the company estimates \$196.5 million will be paid in direct wages to residents, creating roughly 3,000 person years of direct employment.

Huckleberry Mines Ltd. has indicated that once approval for the project is received, they would begin site preparation, construction of road access and powerline installation.

THE REVIEW PROCESS TO DATE

May 1995—Huckleberry Mines Ltd. submitted an application (Project Report) for the development of a copper-gold mine near Houston for review in B.C.'s Mine Development Assessment Process (MDAP).

A Project Committee was created to ensure a smooth transition of this project from MDAP to the new environmental assessment process. An invitation for membership on the committee was extended to all local,

provincial and federal government agencies, plus First Nations whose jurisdictions or interests could potentially be affected by the project.

May 19, 1995—On receipt of the project report, the Environmental Assessment Office (EAO) requested that the company distribute copies to the Project Committee. To facilitate broad public review of the project report, copies were placed on the EAO Project Registry in Victoria and

at satellite repositories in Houston, Burns Lake, Smithers and Terrace. A July 7 deadline was set for the Project Committee and the public to review the project report.

June 13, 1995—The Huckleberry Project Committee met with the company to discuss outstanding issues.

June 30, 1995—B.C.'s *Environmental Assessment Act* became law.

August 9, 1995—The Project Committee met again with Huckleberry Mines Ltd. to review comments on the project report received from government agencies, First Nations and the public.

THE PROJECT COMMITTEE

The *Environmental Assessment Act* (section 9(2)) enables the establishment of a Project Committee which reviews projects as specified. Representation on the Project Committee is open to government agencies that have jurisdictional or policy-based interests in the review of specific projects. This includes provincial government ministries and agencies, the federal government, any municipality or regional district in the vicinity of the project or in which the project is located; any First Nation whose traditional territory includes the site of the project or is in the vicinity of the project; and any of B.C.'s neighbouring jurisdictions in the vicinity of the project.

For Huckleberry Mines Ltd.'s mine development proposal, the following government agencies are represented on the Project Committee:

Canada

- Department of Fisheries and Oceans
- Environment Canada
- Health Canada

British Columbia

- Environmental Assessment Office (chair)
- Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources (responsible ministry)
- Ministry of Attorney General
- Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks
- Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food
- Ministry of Education
- Ministry of Health
- Ministry of Forests
- Ministry of Transportation and Highways
- Ministry of Social Services
- Ministry of Skills, Training and Labour
- Ministry of Municipal Affairs
- Ministry of Small Business, Tourism and Culture

Local Authorities

- District of Houston
- Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako
- Town of Smithers

First Nations Representation

- Cheslatta Carrier Nation
- Carrier-Sekani Tribal Council
- Office of the Wet'suwet'en Hereditary Chiefs
- Kitamaat Village Council

INFORMATION AVAILABILITY (PROJECT REGISTRY)

An important feature of the new EA process is the creation of a Project Registry, which houses key project documentation and public, agency and First Nations comments received on the documentation by the EAO during review of a project. For the Huckleberry Copper Project review, this material is being made available at the central Project Registry in Victoria, and also at four satellite repositories in the region of development. The addresses and telephone numbers for the central Project Registry and the four satellite repositories are:

Environmental Assessment Office Project Registry

1st floor, 1810 Blanshard Street
Victoria, B.C. V8V 1X4
tel: (604) 952-0575
fax: (604) 952-0589

Burns Lake Public Library

PO Box 449
Burns Lake, B.C. V0J 1E0
tel: (604) 692-3192

Houston Public Library

PO Box 840
Houston, B.C. V0J 1Z0
tel: (604) 845-2256

Smithers Public Library

PO Box 55
Smithers, B.C. V0J 2N0
tel: (604) 847-3043

Terrace Public Library

4610 Park Ave.
Terrace, B.C. V8G 1V6
tel: (604) 638-8177

The project report submitted by the proponent contains nine volumes of information:

- Project Report, Volume I, Executive Summary, April 1995
- Project Report, Volume II, Project Description, April 1995
- Project Report, Volume III, Environmental Description, April 1995
- Project Report, Volume IV, Access Road and Power Line, April 1995
- Project Report, Volume V, Environmental Assessment and Mitigation, April 1995
- Project Report, Volume VI, Regional Socio-economic Analysis, April 1995
- Project Report, Volume VIIa, Appendix to Vol. II & III, April 1995
- Project Report, Volume VIIb, Appendix to Volume II and IV, April 1995
- Project Report, Volume VIII, Appendix III To Volume II and V, April 1995

Information provided in these documents includes:

- an environmental description
- an access road and powerline requirements review
- a description of a multiple phase static and kinetic test program consisting of overburden, waste rock, ore, tailings and open-pit wall rock
- a geological description of the region, property and each of the two ore bodies (East Zone and Main Zone) and a description of the main rock types

- comprehensive set of cross-section and drill-hole plans for each of the two ore bodies showing major geological features, drill hole locations, copper grade and acid-base accounting (ABA) sample sites
- a detailed listing of all ABA samples, including drill-hole location (section and diamond drill-hole number), interval, rock type, copper grade, past pH, % Total Sulfur, % Sulphate-Sulfur, neutralization potential (NP), acid production potential (AP), net neutralization potential (NNP) and NP/AP ratios
- detailed statistical analyses of ore, low-grade ore, granodiorite porphyry and Hazelton volcanics for each ore zone.
- histograms (frequency distribution) of NNP and NP/AP ratios for ore, low-grade ore, granodiorite porphyry and Hazelton volcanics for each ore zone
- results of humidity-cell kinetic testing of East Zone low-grade ore and Main Zone intrusive waste
- results of column leach testing of waste, cleaner tailings and combined tailings under submerged and exposed deposition scenarios
- results of whole rock and multi-elemental scan of waste, ore and tailings
- results from simulated leaching (special waste extraction procedure [SWEP] and rainwater) of tailings solids and,
- results of chemical and biological (acute and chronic) testing of tailings supernatant.

ISSUES IDENTIFIED TO DATE

The Project Committee is considering the following concerns and is working with the company to try to resolve outstanding issues prior to making recommendations on project approval:

- Wildlife habitat capability mapping
- End-of-pipe impacts on water quality and aquatic life in Tatsha Reach
- Public accessibility and review of material
- Compensation issues pertaining to fish and wildlife
- Acid rock drainage
- Location of load out facilities
- Aboriginal rights
- Concurrent permitting and,
- Other regulatory permitting.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

As part of the *Environmental Assessment Act* and the Mine Development Assessment Process, Huckleberry Mines Ltd. initiated three series of open house presentations in Burns Lake, Houston and Smithers. The first series, held March 24-26, 1993, presented the Huckleberry prospectus. Between the first and second open house presentations, the East Zone pit was discovered, resulting in significant changes to the mine plan. These changes were outlined at the second series of open houses, held November 22-24, 1994. At these open houses, New Canamin Resources Ltd. announced the release of the company's pre-application for a Mine Development Certificate. Finally, as part of the release of the application for a Mine Development Certificate, a third series of open houses was held in June 1995. In addition, an open house presentation, requested by the Wet'suwet'en Hereditary Chiefs, was held in Moricetown on March 30, 1995.

Comments and responses to questionnaires received during the March 1993 and November 1994 open houses are available through the EAO Project Registry in Victoria and are contained in two reports entitled "Huckleberry Project Public Consultation Program—Results from Open House Presentations on the Project Prospectus March 24 to 26, 1993" and "Huckleberry Project Open Houses 1994", both prepared for New Canamin Resources Ltd.

The following information and summaries focus on the public responses

received during the June 1995 series of open houses. A more detailed analysis of the public comments is contained in the "Huckleberry Mines Ltd., Public Consultation Report—Results from Open House Presentations on the Mine Development Certificate Application Report June 27, 28 and 29, 1995" report, available through the Project Registry and satellite repositories.

Initial environmental concerns identified at the earlier open houses were addressed by the company through changes to the mine plan and the cancellation of plans to dam and/or divert water from Kasalka Creek. The plans for a low-grade stock pile were eliminated and further consultation addressed residents' concerns with regard to the management of acid rock drainage.

At the June 1995 open houses, the following comments pertaining to environmental issues were submitted:

- "Appears to have addressed ecological concerns and should be an important feature in this area."
- "Proposed method of having a camp is good for minimizing environmental impact."
- "If this project is environmentally sound, which as far as I can see, is, let's get it going and create some jobs."
- "Environmental concerns is a concern. Reclamation is a concern."
- "Keep the environmental end up to par and the mine will create good feelings and employment in the valley."

None of the survey respondents identified concerns regarding social effects. A letter from the Houston and District Chamber of Commerce did, however, express some concern regarding the increase of traffic on Houston's main road. The following is an excerpt from this letter:

"The fact that there will be an increase of traffic on the access road to the mine from Houston is cause for concern. It is imperative that road maintenance receive top priority. The route to the mine is not only used by local industry, but is also used by the numerous local residents. The increased traffic on this access road will also impact local tourist opportunities, due to the fact that a local circle tour regularly uses this route."

Two of the three letters submitted by the Houston and District Chamber of Commerce to the EAO also indicated concerns regarding the ability of existing health facilities to accommodate any new population growth in the area. While generally supportive of the project, both the economic development manager and the vice-president indicated that an influx of workers will attract further economic spin-offs and investments. The Houston and District Chamber of Commerce submitted three letters of support to the EAO.

One negative comment with regard to the mine's potential was noted: "Mine tonnage per day is not consistent with ore grade. I don't believe that in actual fact there will be a 17-year mine life unless more ore is established."

Beyond the written comments, many people attending the open house presentations were interested in obtaining more information on the development schedule and length of time for the government review process.

None of the public respondents identified concerns regarding potential cultural or heritage effects.

NEXT STEPS

The remaining step at the project report stage of the environmental assessment process referred to as "Project Committee Report and Recommendations," ends on Sept. 15, 1995. During this period, the Project Committee will be working with Huckleberry Mines Ltd. to attempt to resolve all outstanding policy and technical issues to the satisfaction of all agencies represented on the Project Committee.

At the end of the Project Committee report and recommendations step, the Project Committee will make one of three recommendations:

- that the project undergo further review;
- that a project approval certificate be issued; or
- that a project approval certificate be denied.

The EAO will subsequently forward the Project Committee's recommendations to the Minister of Environment, Lands and Parks and the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources for final determination on the EA review of the Huckleberry proposal.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

If you would like to be on the mailing list for additional information about the Huckleberry Copper project review and/or the environmental assessment process, please fill in the information form below and send it to the Environmental Assessment Office, temporarily located at:

Environmental Assessment Office

3rd Floor, 1810 Blanshard Street

Victoria, B.C. V8V 1X4

Phone: (604) 952-0575 Fax: (604) 952-0589

I would like to be on the mailing list for the review of the Huckleberry Copper project:

Name _____

Title: _____

Organization: _____

Mailing Address: _____

Telephone # _____ Fax # _____

E-Mail Address: _____

I would like information on: ☐ Huckleberry Copper project review
☐ Environmental Assessment Process

☐ *Please check if you wish to be taken off our existing mailing list and return this form with your mailing label.*

NEWSLETTER

The Huckleberry Copper Project P R O P O S A L

Environmental
Assessment Office



Province of
British Columbia

October 1995

Number 2

884114

This is the second newsletter about the environmental assessment review of the Huckleberry Mines Ltd. proposal to develop the Huckleberry Property, a porphyry-copper deposit located in west-central British Columbia, approximately 86 km southwest of Houston. This newsletter outlines:

- the project;
- an update on the progress of the Project Committee and its working groups; and
- the next steps in the review process.

THE HUCKLEBERRY MINES LTD. PROPOSAL

Huckleberry Mines Ltd. (the company) is proposing to develop two open pits, the "Main Zone" and the "East Zone". The mill has been designed for a capacity of a nominal 15 500 tonnes per day for the East Zone and 14 000 tonnes per day for the Main Zone. In total, the mine would be expected to produce a total of 60 million pounds of copper per year over a 17-year span.

Bulk copper concentrate from the proposed mine would be shipped at a rate of eight 40-ton trucks per day, seven days per week, from the mine site via an upgraded and extended forest

service road to Houston and then by Highway 16 to either Prince Rupert or Kitimat. There would also be up to 15 truck loads per week of supply deliveries to the mine. The company estimates that the construction phase would create 220 jobs for a period of about 18 months, followed by between 180 and 200 full-time positions over the life of the mine.

The company has indicated that if approval is given, they would begin site preparation, construction of road access and powerline installation. The mine would come into production approximately 18 months later.

HUCKLEBERRY PROJECT COMMITTEE

The *Environmental Assessment Act* (s. 9(2)) enables the province to establish a Project Committee which reviews projects specified in the Act. Representation on the Project Committee is open to government agencies that have legislative or policy-based interests in the review of the projects. This includes provincial government ministries and agencies, the Government of Canada, local

municipalities or regional districts; any First Nation whose traditional territory includes the site of the project or is in the vicinity of the project; and any of B.C.'s neighbouring jurisdictions in the vicinity of the project.

The Huckleberry Project Committee has met five times since March 1995 to identify and discuss concerns identified by government agencies,

STATUS OF THE HUCKLEBERRY COPPER PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

First Nations and the public. Working groups were formed to address the more complex issues of acid rock drainage, fisheries habitat, aboriginal interests, and cumulative effects.

The company submitted its project report to the Environmental Assessment Office (EAO) on May 19, 1995. This document has since been available for review by the public and members of the Project Committee. The 45-day review of the project report was initiated with public notification and the distribution of an eight-volume document and concluded on July 7, 1995.

Between July 7—14, 1995, the EAO compiled written comments received from the public, First Nations, interest groups and the Project Committee members. These comments were summarized according to the six major categories set out under the *Environmental Assessment Act*: environmental, social, economic, cultural, heritage and health effects. The summary and all original correspondence on the project report was subsequently assembled into a "Project Committee and Public Comments Report," which was submitted to the company with an invitation to respond.

In early August, the company provided a written response to the comments report. At the August and September meetings of the Project Committee held in Smithers, the company clarified its written response and addressed additional questions from the Project Committee members.

At the September 13—14 meeting, the Project Committee identified the issues that remained to be resolved during the remainder of the project report stage of the environmental assessment process. This stage ran for 70 days (the limit allowed under legislation), ending on September 15, 1995.

At the end of the two-day meeting, the Project Committee determined that five certification issues remained on the table for resolution. Given that these issues could not be resolved by September 15, the Project Committee agreed through consensus to seek a six-week extension from the Minister of Environment, Lands and Parks to October 30, 1995. The additional time is requested so that the following certification issues can be fully addressed before a final recommendation can be put forward:

Load-Out Facility The company needs to use a port facility to ship copper and gold concentrate to world markets. Two potential port locations were identified in the company's project report. The Project Committee concluded that these off-mine site facilities were an integral component of the proposed mining project because federal and provincial lands might be required, and this facility, depending on its final design and location, could have environmental, social, economic, heritage, cultural and health effects that merit an assessment.

The company is considering its options for locating a load-out facility in either Kitimat, Stewart or Prince Rupert. The Project Committee has asked the company to

provide additional information about the load-out facility before a final determination can be made of potential effects. The provincial and federal governments will further clarify the level of information required prior to the next meeting.

Aboriginal Interests An aboriginal interests working group, consisting of members of the Project Committee with legislative or policy interest in this topic, has been formed to review possible aboriginal interests in the area of the proposed project. The working group has concluded that at least three weeks are required to bring this issue to closure.

Acid Rock Drainage Acid rock drainage (ARD) occurs when sulfide minerals in rock are exposed to the weathering effects of oxygen and water, changing the sulphur to sulphuric acid. This reaction yields low pH, or acidic water. Drainage passing through the disturbed rock can cause a detrimental impact on water quality in the receiving environment. ARD can be naturally occurring but is obviously enhanced by highway and logging road construction and mining because the development processes break the sulfide-bearing rock apart, greatly increasing the surface exposure, and therefore the potential for producing acid.

An acid rock drainage working group was formed several months ago to facilitate focused technical discussions on this important topic. The ARD working group also concluded that an additional three weeks would be required to bring this issue to closure.

Fisheries Habitat The Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) is the "Responsible Authority" for the Huckleberry Copper Project under s. 35(2) of the federal *Fisheries Act* which prohibits the destruction of fisheries habitat without prior authorization by DFO. Authorization may be granted by DFO subject to the completion of a satisfactory habitat compensation plan and its approval by federal and provincial authorities.

A fisheries habitat working group was also formed to review a compensation plan prepared by the company and to determine compensation measures required to achieve "no net loss" of fish habitat.

Cumulative Effects In compliance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* (CEAA), federal participants on the Project Committee are conducting a cumulative effects assessment of the proposed copper mine at Huckleberry Mountain. The Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Environment Canada and Health Canada representatives will be using information currently available in the review to determine potential combined and/or additive effects of the minesite and other existing or planned activities or works on the environment. Examples of existing or planned works/projects which have impacted or could impact the environment and require considerations in cumulative effects assessment include the past flooding of Tahtsa Reach and the proposed salvage of timber from Tahtsa Reach.

The cumulative effects study produced by the federal representatives on the

Project Committee will be incorporated in the "Recommendations Report" to be submitted to provincial and federal ministers for independent consideration in compliance with provincial and federal laws.

PROJECT REVIEW UPDATE

On October 11 and 12, the Huckleberry Project Committee met in Smithers. The purpose of the two-day meeting was to bring closure to the outstanding issues. The results of the meeting are as follows:

- Reports were given by the chairs of the working groups on acid rock drainage, fisheries habitat compensation planning, aboriginal rights, cumulative effects and load-out facility options on the west coast of B.C.
- The ARD working group indicated it was moving through the remaining certification issues and was concurrently drafting terms and conditions for certification.
- The Fisheries Habitat Compensation working group reported that a preliminary habitat compensation plan, developed by a consultant on behalf of the company, would be finalized as a certification requirement.
- The cumulative effects issue was reviewed by the federal Project Committee members who indicated their assessment of cumulative effects was ongoing and would be completed as soon as possible.
- The Aboriginal Interests working group reported that it was working towards closure on identification of aboriginal interests held in the

proposed mine area. The aboriginal interests identified to date were non-exclusive, meaning that these could co-exist with the proposed mine development. Accommodation for the temporary displacement of the aboriginal interests required additional discussion.

- The Load-Out Facility Options working group reported that the company will be required to submit a brief report as an addendum to the project report. This report will address any potential environmental, social, economic, heritage, health and cultural effects identified for the Kitimat, Prince Rupert and Stewart load-out options under consideration by the company. The Project Committee will review this report before bringing closure to this final certification issue.

With approval of the six-week extension by the Minister of Environment, Lands and Parks, the Huckleberry Copper Project Committee will have up to October 30, 1995 to submit its recommendation.

Under the B.C. Environmental Assessment Act, the Minister of Environment, Lands and Parks and the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources (the "Responsible Minister") have up to 45 days to make their determination once the Project Committee submits its recommendation. The ministers have three options under the Act. They can approve the project subject to specific terms and conditions, reject the project, or indicate that the review should be taken to a public hearing.

HUCKLEBERRY PROJECT COMMITTEE WORKING GROUPS

Acid Rock Drainage

To facilitate a comprehensive technical review of this topic, a working group was organized consisting of representatives from the ministries of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, and Environment, Lands and Parks, Environment Canada, the Office of the Wet'suwer'en Hereditary Chiefs, and the Cheslatta Carrier First Nation.

The working group has met six times since August 8th (August 8 and 9, September 8, 13 and 25, and October 10). At each meeting, specific technical issues and information deficiencies were identified and categorized with respect to certification of the project. These issues were forwarded to the company and were addressed by the submission of several additional documents. These documents contain additional test results, revised pit sequencing and materials handling plans, conceptual treatment plant design and further commitment to ARD mitigation at the mine. As a result, certification issues are being resolved and certificate conditions are being developed.

Aboriginal Interests

An important aspect of the review is to ensure that aboriginal interests are not infringed by the proposed development. The Project Committee has worked with First Nations to address this issue.

Through the environmental assessment process, local First Nations are full members of the Project Committee, not only to ensure that they are aware of the project and have an opportunity to have their concerns addressed, but also to seek valuable local knowledge

in its design, wherever practicable. This is a balanced process which keeps in mind the rights of the proponent, the public and the First Nations and the responsibilities of the federal and provincial governments.

Where First Nations request assistance in analyzing technical documentation, the province provides participant assistance funding for independent experts to interpret the issues and how they will be dealt with by the review process. Also, special archaeological and ethnographic studies are conducted to identify any cultural or spiritual sites, or traditional hunting or gathering activities, which could be affected by the proposed development.

Once the project assessment starts drawing to a close it becomes possible to assess the results of this research, together with agency review comments, to define whether there would be any potential impacts, and whether approval and permit requirements would protect the identified aboriginal resources. This point has now been reached in the review of the Huckleberry project.

The Project Committee has formed a working group to assess the Huckleberry proposal, focusing on First Nations issues. After identifying where provincial legislation will automatically afford protection, the working group must then pinpoint any aboriginal issues which will require special resolution. Solutions range from (ideally) economically-feasible modifications to the proposal to (least acceptable) recommendations for compensation where impacts prove unavoidable. These solutions are then

discussed with the appropriate First Nations for mutual resolution. The Ministry of Attorney General is then consulted to ensure that issue identification and resolution has been properly conducted prior to a recommendation for approval of the project.

Local First Nations are now working closely with Project Committee members to ensure resolution of issues in time for inclusion in an overall committee recommendation.

PROJECT REGISTRY

A major goal of the *Environmental Assessment Act* is to:

"provide an open, accountable and neutrally administered process for the assessment of reviewable projects and activities."

To provide for an open process, an environmental assessment Project Registry has been established to facilitate public access to project documentation. Many of the documents reviewed by the Huckleberry

Project Committee have been placed in the Project Registry including proponent documents such as the prospectus, Project Committee reports, agency and public comments, Project Committee meeting minutes, memos, public notices and news releases. For a complete index listing of Huckleberry project documents available on the Registry, please contact the Registry at the address and/or phone number listed in this newsletter. For toll-free calls, please call Enquiry B.C. and ask for 356-7441.

LOCATION OF THE PROJECT REGISTRY AND SATELLITE REPOSITORIES

For the Huckleberry project review, this material is being made available at the new location of the central Project Registry in Victoria, and also at four satellite repositories in the region of the proposed development. The addresses and telephone numbers for the central Project Registry and the four satellite repositories are as follows:

***Environmental Assessment Office
Project Registry***

1st Floor, 836 Yates Street
Victoria, BC V8V 1X4
Telephone (604) 356-7441
Facsimile (604) 356-7440

hours: 8.30 a.m. to 4.30 p.m.
Monday to Friday, excluding
government holidays

Burns Lake Public Library
Telephone (604) 692-3192

Houston Public Library
Telephone (604) 845-2256

Smithers Public Library
Telephone (604) 847-3043

Terrace Public Library
Telephone (604) 638-8177

E-mail

The EA Office is also on E-mail. Questions, comments and formal submissions about the project can be sent to:
eaoinfo@galaxy.gov.bc.ca

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

If you would like to receive updates on the Huckleberry Copper project review and/or general information on the environmental assessment process, please fill in the information form below and send it to the Environmental Assessment Office Project Registry at:

Environmental Assessment Office Project Registry
2nd Floor, 836 Yates Street
Victoria, B.C. V8V 1X4
Telephone (604) 356-7441 Facsimile (604) 356-7440

I would like to be on the mailing list for the review of the Huckleberry Copper project:

Name _____

Title: _____

Organization: _____

Mailing Address: _____

Telephone # _____ Fax # _____

E-Mail Address: _____

I would like information on: ☐ Huckleberry Copper project review
☐ Environmental Assessment Process

☐ *Please check if you wish to be taken off our existing mailing list and return this form with your mailing label.*
