



TO:

Graeme McLaren

FROM:

H. Paul Wilton

SUBJECT:

Giant Copper Property — Uranium

DATE:

22 Feb 89

FILE:

- |  |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For Your Information | <input type="checkbox"/> Please O.K. and Return   | <input type="checkbox"/> Please Discuss With Me | <input type="checkbox"/> Per Your Request | <input type="checkbox"/> For Your Signature |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Please Process                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Return With More Details | <input type="checkbox"/> Investigate and Report | <input type="checkbox"/> Please Answer    | <input type="checkbox"/> For Your File      |

It appears that the Giant Copper property (and more specifically the AM breccia) has not been identified as a designated area or designated site under the uranium regulations.

Shelagh researched the available literature and was unable to find any uranium assays higher than the 0.052 % U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> figure that you showed me previously. That assay re-calculates to 0.044 % U which is below the magic threshold.

However, since U is present and at least one assay is near the threshold, one of us should make a point to visit the property this year and scout the underground workings and available drill core with a scintillometer. This is in line with an obligation placed on

REPLY:

the D.G.'s by the Chief Geologist when the regs. were enacted.

I've learned that Terry Vaughan-Thomas is presently re-writing the uranium regulations so the goal posts may have moved by the time we get to this. Keep me informed of your plans re a Skagit study in '89.