March 7, 1973.

GRW:W

assume that the geological map is only partial. It would be helpful to see whether there is much unexposed ground in the ridge area which would be an obvious source of water-transported metal concentrated on the slopes.

Areas of interest for further investigation other than the ridge area itself would most apparently be the west side of the ridge and the area south of the grid area. Have drainages in these areas been sampled? If not, they could be, to see whether the area of interest should be enlarged much beyond the grid area.

Do you need any of the material you sent to me or can I hang on to it for the time being?

We are just starting to emerge from the winter(?) here and I will be able to take the lining out of my raincoat any day now. The crocuses are just starting to bloom.

Yours sincerely,

Roger

G. R. Webber

W/

RECEIVED MAR 1 2 1973

B.R.G.M. B.P. 6009 45018 Orléans Cedex France

March 7, 1973

Mr. G. S. W. Bruce
Dome Exploration (Canada) Limited
Suite 702 - 360 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2V9

Dear Wally:

Re: Luc Syndicate Specific Project "Burn"

Thanks for your letter and for the maps and other data on the property.

In reply to your questions:

- 1. This does look like a very intriguing anomaly and it is my opinion that further work would be justified. It is quite possible that the anomalies are transported and that the drilling did not test the source area.
- 2. I could be available for about a week in August.
- 3. It would be very helpful if you could have a look at the property in June. There are several things that could be looked at. I note that the report says that the area is poorly drained. It would be of interest to visit some of the sample sites to see whether the anomalies are located in swampy parts of the slope.

 Molybdenum and copper can accumulate in these conditions and could give high readings from sources of lower concentration.

The report says that dioritic rocks are relatively well exposed on the ridge trending south from the camp area. This is not shown on the geological map and I

B.R.G.M. B.P. 6009 45018 Orleans Cedex France

May 4, 1973

Mr. G.S.W. Bruce
Dome Exploration (Canada) Limited
Suite 702 - 360 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2V9

Dear Wally:

Thanks for your letter of April 9. I have been away on a trip to Spain until recently and therefore received your letter only after I returned.

With regard to specific suggestions for some sampling when you and Lorne are out west, I would suggest a line of soil samples, say at 200' intervals, at sample depths as close to bedrock as feasible, along the western slope of the hill which has the existing anomalies on its eastern slope. This might indicate whether there is something of interest in that direction. Drainage sediment samples along that slope would also be of interest. It would be helpful if you could visit the sample sites which had high copper values near D.D.H. 72-9 to see whether they are located in drainage areas which might be followed uphill further.

Tentatively it looks as if eventually soil sampling could be extended to the south and to the west of the existing sample grid. I am not clear from the report how much drainage sampling has been done, but if it has not been done in detail it would be desirable.

RECEIVED MAY 1973

Yours sincerely,

GRW:w

G. R. Webber

And the second state of M5H 2V9 AIR MAIL February 12th, 1973 Dr. Roger Webber. c/o B.R.G.M., B.P. 6009. 45018 Orleans Cedex, FRANCE. Dear Roger: Re: Luc Syndicate Specific Project "Burn" Many thanks for your prompt reply to my letter on this subject. I am taking the liberty of sending under separate cover a compilation of the various data - topographical. geological and geochemical, on this property. As things stand at the moment our Partners feel that this property has had a fair test and they tend to favour "farming the property out" to outsiders. On the other hand we are not convinced that the anomaly has really been explained. Dome would certainly consider taking over the property from the Syndicate and it is to help us to make this decision that we are asking your advice. You mention that you may be available for a week in August. If it would help, Lorne and I could go out to the property, say in June, and take other samples or obtain other information which might be most helpful to you in your appraisal. If we did this, on your instruction, could you schedule a week or so in August to make what field study you think may be necessary? Your recommendations at that stage would guide us in our decision. After you have had a chance to study the data which we are sending herewith, we would greatly

- 2 - February 12th, 1973 Dr. Hoger Webber appreciate hearing from you. In the first instance, we would like to know if you feel that we are justified in considering further work on this anomaly. Secondly, we would like to know if there is any preliminary field work which Lorne and I could do early in the summer to aid your appraisal. In the third place, we wonder if you could be available in August, as you suggested. We look forward very much to your reply. With kindest personal regards, Yours sincerely, DOME EXPLORATION (CANADA) LIMITED G.S.W. Bruce GSWB/db

M5H 2V9 January 10th, 1973 Dr. G. R. Webber. BRGM. BP 6009. 45 Orleans - 02, France. Dear Roger: Re: Luc Syndicate -Specific Project "Burn" As I think you know, we participated in an exploration programme in central British Columbia, headed by Bill Bacon and Jack Crowhurst. Last year, 1972, was the second year of this programme and we propose to continue with it. During 1971 the personnel involved in this Luc Syndicate programme found a geochemical anomaly in the area between Babine Lake and Germansen Landing. Subsequent detailed soil sampling on a large grid showed this to be a very large anomaly indeed. Anomalous metals were copper and molybdenum. Only a few scattered outcrops exist in the anomaly area. The anomaly itself covers an area of approximately 8000 feet by 4000 feet with much of this area exceeding 20 p.p.m. Mo; at a brief inspection I think that the peak Mo value is about 250 p.p.m.; regional background may be about 1 p.p.m. The copper geochemical anomaly is approximately coincident with the molybdenum anomaly and has rather extensive areas of 100-300 p.p.m., with a number of "highs" over 1000 p.p.m. copper. During 1972, a drilling programme was carried out to test the areas generally beneath these geochemical anomalies. It is my feeling from reading the reports that metal values encountered in the drill holes did not in any way explain the soil anomalies. More important still, Mr. Redpath has been on the property, has seen some of the few outcrops available as well as some of

Mr. Redpath and I have discussed this quite a lot. The other participants in the Luc Syndicate seem to feel quite satisfied and are ready to move on to other things. We feel, though, that this is a tremendous anomaly and one which we should track down. We would like very much to have advice from you as to how to go about this.

I do not know what your plans are, and whether you will remain in France over the coming field season. If you are going to be in Canada during the field season do you think there is a possibility of your tackling this problem?

If, however, you will not be returning to Canada until next Fall, is it possible that you might have two or three days to spare so that you could fly over here and take a look at the maps and give us your opinion as to whether further work is justified, what form it should take, and when we could do it? We would certainly feel much more confident if you were actually able to plan and supervise the necessary programme to run this anomaly to earth.

We would certainly like very much to hear how you are enjoying your stay. All of us here at Dome pass our best regards to you and Joan.

Yours sincerely,
DOME EXPLORATION (CANADA) LIMITED

GSWB/db

G.S.W. Bruce