

LOCATION SURVEYTINTO VIEW

- DWB

Sept 30 / 83

- details of survey on attached Map

- survey consisted on walking points A to E, E to F + E to G.

Distances were topofilled + slope corrected + an attempt was made to tie in to Map # 105490 (Old Govt survey map) which shows details of surveyed lots.

Results

① - Tied in creek, lines 13 + 11 + Baseline - the locations of these need to be adjusted on base

② Tied in Tinto view claim - should be adjusted on base
- precise location remains in question

Uncertainties

Several inconsistencies exist between Location survey + original govt survey. For this reason the precise location of Tinto view is still in question. Problems are as follows:

① Post (ii) is 1P for L 202 which is north of Tinto View

A good cut line extends from here to post IV (1P L 202 P4) + is both the same distance + az shown on gov't map.

Hence L 202 is defined properly - N. Boundary of

L 56 (7R) was also located + ~~der~~ extending E from Post (IV). Lots 202 + 56 are therefore accurate.

② Tinto view is problematic for ^{several} reasons

(i) corner post should be \approx 16m SE of Post ii - it wasn't located. A cut line which should extend SW from here was not located. A possible line was located in

the vicinity but could not be traced.

- An actual cut line does extend from Post (ii) SW to Post (iii) unlike what is shown on gov't map.

(ii) Post (iii) says 1P L 65 (Tinto View) & hence may define NE edge of Tinto View. A cut line extends to the NW from this point. According to gov't map, a cut line defining S boundary of L 56 should extend to SE from post (iii) but no line ^{should occur} was noted to NW. In fact, the opposite is true - i.e. a line exists to the NW but not to the SE.


Conclusions

① Cut line extending SE from band in road is ^{known with certainty to be the} S boundary of Lot 202. According to Gov't map, it is also N boundary of Tinto View. Post (ii) however suggests that this boundary is 108m farther south.

② Location of Tinto View is not precisely known. Either of Post ii or iii can be used to define the NE corner of the claim. Tinto View can be fudged in on this basis.

Recommendations

- start @ post (iii) + follow cut lines to NW & SW (top of hill) to define precise boundaries & locate other posts.




Tinto View Location Svy DB Oct Sapt 30/83

- Post(i) FP 327980 - old post
- Post (ii) Old Triangular post in cairn Facing 240° (SW) IP L202 P3 - also an old broken rotten post lying down / no markings
- Post(iii) Old Triangular post in cairn Facing 100° (E) L56 Facing 340° (N) IP L65 Facing 220° (S) Bk 1249 #8
- Post(iv) Old Triangular post right @ edge of clearing - is 32m @ 118° (E) from BL 00 @ 11+00 W Facing 322° (N) Bk 532 P16 Facing 180° (S) IP L 202 P4

Lithologic Units
Suxco Group


- 1A Andesite crystal and lapilli tuffs, massive to dark green, strongly foliated.
 - 2A Quartz eye Rhyolite, pale green to white, with quartz veins up to 1 cm diameter in a finely crystalline to siliceous groundmass.
 - 2D Quartz sericite schist
- x claim post



Revision of Base.
 - Location Svy tied in Lines 11, 13 + BL as shown - should revise
 - also creek
 - also Tinto view shifts as shown in red.

NB: All distances noted on map are topofilled + slope corrected

- AB 94m
 - BC 16m
 - CD 68m
 - DE 46m
 - EF 348m
 - EG 108m
 - EE' 234m
 - E'F 94m
- A - starting point of svy by road
 - B - L 13+00W, 2+68S
 - C - creek
 - D - on Line - perpendicular to post(i) Line to post(i) = 9.5m
 - E post i'
 - F post iv
 - G post iii
 - E' L 11+00W 1+09S



TO ACCOMPANY REPORT NO. _____ BY _____

ABERFORD RESOURCES LTD.			
Tinto View Crown Grant Lot 78 G			
FAR EAST GRID LARA PROJECT,			
DATE	SCALE	NTS	DRAWING NO.
	1:2500	92 B/13	C-