# CORPORATION FALCONBRIDGE COPPER



DATE: À TO:	July 11, 1984 A. J. Davidson	827182	
COPIES À COPIES TO:	D. H. Watkins		
DE FROM:	D. Lefebure		
SUJET SUBJECT:	PEM/DEEPEM SURVEY, MT. SICKER PROJECT, JU	NE 1984 N	TS 92B/13

Crone Geophysics Ltd. completed a PEM survey of 4 holes (MTS 1, 2, 4 and 5) and DEEPEM surveys from 4 loops (16.1 km) over the period from June 4th to June 22nd. Four days were lost due to equipment problems (June 9, 11, 12 and 14) and two days were spent repeating borehole surveys on MTS 4 and 5 (June 21 and 22). Doug Croft operated the data logger and supervised the survey.

#### Deepem Survey

Surface loops measuring 600m. by 300m. were laid out with the long dimension roughly parallel to the strike of the map units (Figure 1). The anomalies are listed in Table 1 four loops were used during the survey.

- a) Loop #1 laid out to test AJD DIGHEM anomaly to the south and 1983 DEEPEM anomalies which were distant from the loop
- b) Loop #2 used to test the Lenora-Tyee and Postuk-Fulton trench anomalies to the west
- c) Loop #3 set out to test DIGHEM anomaly at Northeast Copper
- d) Loop #4 set out to test eastern extension of Postuk-Fulton trench anomaly

All the DEEPEM anomalies warrant further examination in the field. Anomalies "T", "J" and "F" are highest priority followed by "A", "C" and "H".

#### PEM Surveys

MTS 1 and MTS 2 were surveyed with a 300 X 600m located 250m to the south. No anomalies were found in these holes. For MTS 4 and MTS 5, D.

Croft used multiple 100m by 100m loops to survey the holes. The initial surveys of holes 4 and 5 encountered problems with the primary pulse data. After resurveying these holes, the problem was located in the field computer which was not plotting the primary pulse data correctly. Apparently the first figure of the primary pulse was not being used, only the final three figures. Crone will send us copies of the profiles for these two holes plotted correctly.

#### Recommendations

- 1) Make sure we get an experienced Crone operator.
- 2) Get Crone to print good profiles for several DEEPEM lines to see if we are missing subtle anomalies in field plots.
- 3) Have F. Hiebert review all the data.

per D. Lefebure

DVL/ik

## Table 1 LIST OF DEEPEM ANOMALIES, JUNE 1984 SURVEY MT. SICKER

· . . .

Anomaly	<u># of</u>	# of	Geology	Comments
	Channels*	Lines		
			Loop #1	
A	1-3	4	- central. pannel. of	- coincidental with
			felsic schists	AJD DIGHEM
				anomaly
		•		
В	1-2	5	- mafic to int.	- chaining errors
			volcanics	could be reason
				for offset on line
				1 E
C	2 (	<i>L</i>		to all DICUEN
U	2-4	4	- 1010	anomaly on 2
				flight lines
D	2-3	4	- felsic to int.	
			volcanics	
			Loop #2	
E	2-6(?)	4	- felsic to int.	- picked up on line
			volcanics south of	6W of 1983 survey
			Mine fault	- 6 channel anomaly
F	1-3	4&3	- felsic volcanics	- coincident with
			and diorite	AJD DIGHEM
				anomaly and the
				western
				extension of a
				1983 DEEPEM
				anomaly

G	1-2	4	- diorite	
Н	3–4	3	- crosses Nugget Ck - in felsic tuffs	- good broad anomaly with cross-overs on vertical component

.

.

. . :

### Loop #3

I	2-4	7	- at QP/chert/mafic	- best 1984 DEEPEM
			volcanic contact	anomaly
			- massive sulphides	- weak cross-overs
			associated with	on vertical on
			chert	lines 21 to 24E
				- correlated with
				DIGHEM and
				UTEM anomalies
J	2	2	- in mafic volcanics	- may correlate
			possibly associated	with UTEM
			with chert	anomaly at depth
				on line 22E
К	2-3	3	- not parallel to strike	
			of units	
			- felsic volcanics?	

\* picked from horizontal profiles

