

826448

letter sent.

NTS.

Date: Mar. 13 /92

To : file

From: G.S. Wells

Subject: Westpine Metals - Taseko project : NTS 92 O/3W.

Owner - Westpine Metals - 34% owned by Westley Mines
- 34% owned by Alpine Exploration.

Claims - 282 units wholly owned
44 units under option from Westmin - Homestake.

Location: 225 km North of Vancouver; 270 km by road from Williams Lk.
- 30 km south of Fish Lake - all work in valley - thin o/b + trees.

Geology: mineralization hosted in qtz diorite /granodiorites and adjacent altered sediments /volcanics.

alteration = qtz - andalusite - pyrophyllite
qtz
qtz - magnetite.

Mineralization.

1. Empress Zone - 3 areas of mineralization.

a. 76 Zone

b. Lower North

c. Upper North.

- total reserve estimate: 11.1 m tons @ 0.61% Cu, 0.023 opt Au

- mineralization 450- 700 ft - high stripping ratio 5.9-1.

2. Buzzer Zone - 10,000 ft east of Empress
5.5 m tons @ 0.35% Cu, 0.031% Mo.

3. Rowbottom Zone - 7000 ft south of Empress - altered gneiss monzonite
 - drilled by Sumitomo in 1970 : hole S-22: 185 ft @ 0.41% Cu, 0.034% Mo.

4. East Zone - 4000 ft east of Empress.

hole 91-38 : 35 ft @ 0.26% Cu, 0.017 opt Au

91-39 : 47 ft @ 0.60% Cu, 0.022 opt Au

5. Granite Creek - extension of Lower North zone

hole 91-43 : 746-800 ft = 0.21% Cu, 0.014 opt Au over 54 ft.

91-49 : 611-903 ft = 0.23% Cu, 0.008 opt over 291 ft.

Conclusions

- extensive low grade Cu-Au mineralization on property.
- most of better values at depths > 500 ft
 \therefore high stripping ratio.

- to be economic need 100 million tons + of Empress type grades near surface.

Recommendation

- no further action.

Mar. 10/92

Taseko property - Westpine Metals.

- 1991 - 20 DDH - 12,572 ft.

- location 225 km N of Vanc, 270 km by road from Williams Lk.
30 km S of Fish L & just E of Taseko L.
- property 278 claim units.
 - owned by Westpine subject to 25% NSR (up to \$1.5 m) to NewWorld
Nines Development Ltd.
 - option with Asarco terminated.

- geol

mineralization = diss cp + Au, py, mt in altered volcanics adjacent to
qtz diorite / granodiorite intrusion.

Lower North Zone : 7.4 m tons @ 0.73% Cu, 0.024 opt Au using 0.15% Cu
cutoff

Total for Empress area - 3 zones = 0.76

@ Lower North

③ Upper North

Total reserve estimate - 11.1 m tons @ 0.61% Cu 0.023 opt Au (0.4% Cu
cutoff)

Prelim metallurgy - recoveries = 97.1% for Cu
69.3% for Au.

Other zones of mineralization

① Buzzard Zone - 5.5 m tons @ 0.35% Cu, 0.031% Au.
- 10,000 ft east of Empress just east of Taseko R.

② Rawbottom Zone - 7,000 ft south of Empress along Rawbottom Cr.

'in altered qtz monzonite. - drilled by Sumitomo in 1970.

i.e. hole S-22 : 185 ft @ 0.41% Cu, 0.034% Au.

- 1990 airborne survey. - define signature of Empress area + locate other similarly anomalous areas.

- East Zone - 4000 ft east of Empress

2 holes 91-38: 35 ft 0.26% Cu, 0.017 opt Au.

91-39: 47 ft 0.60% Cu, 0.022 opt Au.

+ holes 54 & 55

Granite Creek Zone (extension of Lower North Zone).

hole 91-43 - 746-800 ft = 0.21% Cu, 0.014 opt Au over 51 ft.

hole 91-49 - 291 ft of 0.23% Cu, .008 opt Au. 611-903 ft

'gypsum veins associated with No.'

Conclusion:

- problem with Empress Zone: depth of mineralization = high stripping ratios.

top of Lower North Zone = 450-550 ft deep.

- all 3 zones open to east.

- positive correlation between Cu-Au min + qtz-mt rocks.

MINNOVA

Minnova Inc.
3rd Floor
311 Water Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6B 1B8
Telephone (604) 681-3771
Telecopier (604) 681-3360

March 13, 1992

Willis Osborne
Westpine Minerals Ltd.
900 - 475 Howe St.
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 2B3

Dear Mr. Osborne:

Thank you very much for the presentation you gave Ian Pirie and I earlier this week. I have reviewed the 1991 summary report again now that I have a better feeling for the distribution and location of the mineralized zones. While there is extensive Cu-Au mineralization on the property, your most developed zone - the Empress, is too small and too deep to^{b2}economically mined. A lot of additional work and funding is required to firm up reserves in the vicinity of the other showings. At this time, Minnova is not interested in becoming involved with your Taseko project due to budget constraints and commitments elsewhere. Good luck with future exploration on the property and if you substantially increase the reserves on the property, we would be happy to review our position.

Yours sincerely,

G. S. Wells
Senior Project Geologist

GSW/gh

Willis Osborne
Westpine Metals Ltd.
900-475 Howe St.
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 2B3

Dear Mr. Osborne

Thank you very much for the presentation which you gave Dan Pirie and I earlier this week. I have reviewed the 1991 summary report again now that I have a better feeling for the distribution and location of the mineralized zones.

While there is extensive Cu-Au mineralization on the property, your most developed zone - the Empress, is too small and too deep to be economically mined. A lot of additional work ^{and funding} is required to firm up reserves in the vicinity of the other showings. At this time, Minnova is not interested in becoming involved with your Tasiko project due to budget constraints and commitments elsewhere. Good luck with future exploration on the property and if you

substantially increase the reserves on the property, we would be
happy to review our position.

Yours sincerely

G.Z.