

# KERR-ADDISON GOLD MINES LIMITED

(FOR INTER-OFFICE USE ONLY)

104.K  
825923

A	N
W.S.R.	
K.C.G.	
F.M.A.	✓
C.K.W.	✓
G.M.M.	
R.D.S.	
B.D.B.	
D.W.P.	
G.P.R.	
E.L.D.	
J.P.	
EG!	

To.....W. M. Sirola.....From.....P. M. Kavanagh.....  
Subject.....The Erickson-Ashly Silver Prospect,.....Date.....December 15, 1961.....  
Atlin Mining Division, (104K)

This is to confirm our verbal understanding in Vancouver  
that we will take no further interest in this prospect.

Paul M. Kavanagh  
Chief Geologist - Exploration

PMK:ry


# KERR-ADDISON GOLD MINES LIMITED

(FOR INTER-OFFICE USE ONLY)

A	N
	W.S.R.
	R.C.G.
✓	P.M.K.
	C.K.W. ✓
	G.H.N.
	B.C.P.
	D.W.P.
	E.L.L.
	J.H.S.
	E.C.I.

To..... P. M. Kavanagh..... From..... C. K. Wilton.....  
Subject..... The Erickson-Ashly Silver Prospect,..... Date..... November 9, 1961.....  
Atlin Mining Division (104K)

The one hole drilled by CM&S penetrated the zone about 1500 feet below its surface showings. Obviously this test does not eliminate possible downward extension of the zone. If the grade indicated (about \$10 in gold and silver recoverable) would be economic for a larger tonnage then it appears more work is justified. Perhaps more information could be obtained from CM&S about their work.



C. K. Wilton  
Senior Geologist - Exploration

CKW:ry

# KERR-ADDISON GOLD MINES LIMITED

(FOR INTER-OFFICE USE ONLY)

REC'D OCT 30 1961

A	
W.E.E.	
K.C.C.	
P.M.E.	
L.L.A.	✓
G.W.E.	
B.A.E.	
D.M.P.	
G.T.D.	
E.L.R.	
M.B.	
E.O.J.	

To..... P. M. KAVANAGH..... From..... WILLIAM M. SIROLA.....  
Subject..... ERICKSON-ASHBY SILVER PROSPECT,..... Date..... October 26th, 1961.  
ATLIN MINING DIVISION,  
104-K

Please find enclosed a map showing a plan and sections of the above property.

The Consolidated Mining & Smelting Company had an option on the property in 1951 and did a detailed mapping and sampling job, and drilled one drill hole as shown on section XY. This drill hole was apparently totally negative.

My impressions of this property are simply this:

- (1) The sampled grade is patently too low to be economic
- (2) The tonnage assigned by C. M. & S. to this deposit is probably a maximum tonnage for the particular mineralized zone which they sampled.
- (3) Additional diamond drilling might locate more mineralization at depth but I see no particular reason to feel that this mineralization, if found, would be sufficiently high grade to make the deposit economic.

The intriguing aspect of the deposit is, of course, the fact that the mineralized lens has an average width of approximately 40'.

Conceivably, my treatment of this prospect is a little harsh and you may have different sentiments about it. Should this be the case, will you please so advise me.

I concede that if considerably more tonnage could be found the situation would be greatly enhanced.

*WMS*

Enc.

WMS:rl