# MINNOVA

825890 Property Examination-MEMORANDUM Trinity Project

DATE:

March 26, 1990

TO:

A. J. Davidson

COPIES À

I. D. Pirie

DE FROM: C. Burge

SWET SUBJECT: Trinity Project, Pitt Island NTS 103H/12W

## Location

The property is located 75 miles south of Prince Rupert. The showing is 3.5 km from tidewater and there is no road to previous drill set-ups. The 1989 program was serviced by barge, an eight hour journey from Prince Rupert (cost \$1500). The property is extremely rugged making it difficult to manoeuvre drilling equipment. The contours on attached maps are in metres.

#### 1989 Program

Six holes were drilled last year for a total of 500 meters. The program was managed by Bob Cann (682-2706) and the contractor was Falcon Drilling. All equipment and fuel was barged to the island and helicopter support was required for machine moves. Bob claims all-in drilling cots were \$35 per foot (\$115/m) which he considers cheap (due to the barge contribution). Drill site preparation required falling trees, blasting and cribbing, etc. The core is stored on the property. They also had a lot of trouble supplying water to the drill sites.

### Geology

A strong fabric exists in all rock types with lineations trending 045° N. No cordierite or anthophyllite was seen in core. The alteration is described as a biotite-muscovite schist. According to Bob Cann, rock units mapped as gneissic quartz diorite are unmistakably intrusive in nature.

#### Recommendations

I would recommend no further action be taken on these claims despite interesting grades and down dip potential, for the following reasons:

- 1. The intersection widths are not true widths; therefore this is a very narrow sheet.
- Costly exploration
- 3. Distance from power grid
- 4. Not in our traditional exploration pastures.
- 5. Small machines may have difficulty reaching target depths as we discovered at Furry Creek
- 6. No evidence to support volcanogenic massive sulphide genesis
- 7. Property subject to 3% NSR and the following cash payments would have to be assumed:

\$40K 25/3/1990 \$50K 25/3/1991 \$200K 25/3/1992









