## CYprus Exploration Corporation ltd.

## vancouver office

To:
To: C. A. Mark
From: J.B.P. Sawyer
Subject:
SCUM LAKE DRILLING

Date: August 22, 1972

Ref. 1919-CVL

I enclose herewith copies of drill logs for DDH 479-1-72 through 479-10-72 completed on the Scum Lake property during the present season. Also enclosed are assay sheets for all the assaying completed to date on core from these holes.

As you can see, the geological picture did, in fact, turn out to be a valid porphyry situation as suspected on the basis of my prospect examination last year, however, its copper content is certainly not of economic grade. Apart from the drilling problems encountered which undoubtedly put the cost of the project higher than expected, I feel satisfied that it was a worthwhile try at a valid target. As I have already mentioned, there are undoubtedly other similar situations in the Cariboo-Chilcotin area, and I think a worthwhile reconnaissance project would involve aeromagnetic surveying of other parts of this area to search for similar porphyry situations. I can't think of any good reason why there should not be another Gibraltar somewhere in this area. It is unfortunate that there are no Federal Government aeromagnetic maps of this general area available. A reconnaissance program of this type would be fairly expensive and is perhaps the type of thing we could consider doing on a joint venture basis with a partner. One possible candidate, I think, would be Imperial Oil.

We don't have drill sections, etc. drawn up at the present time. These will be prepared in due course after the field season is over. On the basis of present information, I think the proper decision would be to abandon the joint venture with Woodcock and Livingstone, however, since we have until December 3lst to give this notice, I would suggest we hold on for the time being until we have all the data properly assembled and ready for presentation.


| Hole No. | Location | Azimuth | Dip | Final Depth | Started | Finished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 479-1-72 | $\begin{aligned} & 118 \mathrm{E} \\ & 200 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $270^{\circ}$ | $-60^{\circ}$ | 592 ft . | May 18/72 | May 20/72 |
| 479-2-72 | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \mathrm{E} \\ & 215 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $180^{\circ}$ | $-60^{\circ}$ | 284 ft . | May 22/72 | May $26 / 72$ |
| 479-3-72 | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \mathrm{E} \\ & 192 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $360^{\circ}$ | $-60^{\circ}$ | 695 ft . | May 28,72 | June 1/72 |
| 479-4-72 | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \mathrm{E} \\ & 210 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | - - | $-90^{\circ}$ | 721 ft . | June 18/72 | June 23/72 |
| 479-5-72 | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \mathrm{E} \\ & 203 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | - | $-90^{\circ}$ | $24{ }^{\text {i }}$ ft. | June 24/72 | July 1/72 |
| 479-6-72 | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \mathrm{E} \\ & 205 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | - - | $-90^{\circ}$ | 927 ft . | July 2/72 | July 9/72 |
| 479-7-72 | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \mathrm{E} \\ & 212 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | - | $-90^{\circ}$ | $\underline{639.5 \mathrm{ft.}}$ | July 10/72 | July 14/72 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4110 \\ & 1201 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5311 |  |  |

