

812257

Nov 22/81

460-CHAVIEW Rd RR4

Kelowna B.C.

V1Y 7R3.

Dear Dave.

Thanks for your letter of disappointment but that's the life of a prospector Dave, but we are a hardy breed and we keep looking for the big one the pie in the sky. "Oh" well maybe the next one will be it.

Thanks for returning my data, but some where in your mass of papers there is a report by Mrs. D. L. Cook of Union Carbide dated Nov. 1973 on the Tungsten show.

Mr. Cook, Mr. Walker, Mr. Nebocat, reports all say the Skarn Horizon should be drilled for depth, would you go along with their thinking Dave.


What I have in mind is to have a small Company that has a few dollars to do some drilling if not maybe my partners or I will try say 3 holes about 100 ft deep.

Enclosed is a Geology map by Hudson Bay Exploration, Dave I would appreciate it if you would pick out 3 drilling stations most likely to cut the Skarn zones Etc also the drill angle.

I tried to drill 2 years ago through the Quartzite but its to hard going and to costly on the bits.

2.  
The Quessel lake venture fell through Dave  
in some way. I wrote the chap at  
Williams lake regarding our trip we had  
planned, but to this day I haven't heard  
from him, so some where along the way  
he didn't like the deal. I guess.

There is a possible chance next year I will  
go in the area and check it out Dave if  
I do will let you know what I found out.

Yours Truly  
Charles D. Brett  


November 10, 1981

Mr. Charles I. Brett  
Box 460  
R.R. 4, Okaview Road  
Kelowna, B.C.  
V1Y 7R3

Dear Charlie,

It is with considerable embarrassment that I put this report on your Inez Group together. Upon return from our field examination in the spring, I got caught up in the day to day crush of the summer's program and have only recently returned from the north. My apologies for the inexcusable delay.

I enclose my assessment of the Inez on the geological sketch map. The Inez is a typical tungsten skarn, in my opinion, with limited potential by virtue of its size. Initially, the possibility of stratiform tungsten mineralization in the Old Tom/Shoemaker package seemed attractive as a possibility from previous written accounts of the geology (returned herewith). Our examination of the Inez suggested little in common with stratiform deposits such as Mittersill (Felbertal, per enclosed paper by Plimer). Basically, the quartzites and volcanic rocks are not tungsten-bearing as we saw.

Tungsten is contained only in the pyroxene skarn (see map) from all presently available data. The main exposure of the skarn appears to have a maximum length of some 40 meters, certainly with some potential toward the west end of the property. Without more extensive mapping, little can be said as to the significance of this area. However, your most easterly trench (samples 7304, 7305, 7306 and I-5) clearly shows the skarn "tonguing out" into the quartzites and volcanic rocks of the Old Tom/Shoemaker defining the easterly limit of mineralization. This is different than Nebocat's interpretation and certainly doesn't enhance matters. While the skarn certainly extends to depth and could "blossom" in this direction, the surface extent, type and patchy style of mineralization does not look encouraging enough for Cyprus Anvil to pursue the property further.

With my sketch map, I enclose a copy of my field notes, a description of the samples submitted for assay and a copy of the assay results.

... 2

Mr. C. I. Brett

November 10, 1981

Inspection of the assay results shows you what you already know -- that there are interesting, but erratic, tungsten values in the skarn with no supporting values in gold, silver, molybdenum, lead, zinc or tin. One sample, I-6, shows some copper (seen as chalcopyrite) content, but not in economic concentrations.

Looking at the moly values for the plutonic rocks, we did not duplicate the reported 0.19%  $\text{MoS}_2$  section by Getty; in fact, our sample I-2 comes in at 0.002% Mo which equals 0.003%  $\text{MoS}_2$  -- far from an exciting result. The most attractive moly value comes from sample I-3b, the thin aplite dike cross-cutting the main phase of the intrusive. This was the sample with the visible moly rosettes you found. Our investigation showed little fracturing or alteration and quartz veining in the main part of the intrusive, and only a few widely-spaced aplite dikes.

By now you're certainly fed up with the negative waves on Inez and probably me, so I'll turn to a more positive note -- the Quesnel Lake samples. From the assay results, you can see they're not much on tungsten. Certainly one of the samples you had in Kelowna fluoresced well, but the values just aren't there. Possibly another mineral is being misidentified for scheelite?? At any rate, the lead-zinc picture for these samples looks fairly interesting, particularly I-3. I-3 was the sample I mentioned as having significant light brown sphalerite content -- it certainly does. While the style of mineralization in the QL 1 - 3 samples does not appear stratiform, they look interesting. If you still feel up to pursuing them, they might be worthwhile. Let me know your reaction.

In closing, I again apologize for my tardy reply and thank you for your property submissions.

Yours truly,

CYPRUS ANVIL MINING CORPORATION

D. S. Jennings  
Chief Geologist

DSJ/ck  
Encl.