

Agreed verbally, 2-9

REC'D FEB 9 1955
Action Date

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

FROM C. H. E. Stewart

CITY Toronto, Ontario.

TO Evan Just

SUBJECT STEAMBOAT MOUNTAIN - PROPOSAL NO. 12

A C		
E J	1	2-11
H K		
DATE	Feb. 7th, 1955.	
L W		2-9

△ = Action C = Comment
□ = Information

811564

While the above proposal represents a good instance of geological reasoning, it is classed as rather a long shot bet.

It is doubtful whether the Loop-Frame method would be efficacious in locating ore bodies of the type likely to be encountered.

In view of the amount of surface trenching, blasting, test pitting and stripping that has taken place on the Giant Mascot, I think a geochemical test run over this ore body would be useless. Contamination of the surface is almost bound to have occurred and would vitiate any results.

All factors considered, it is suggested that we release this proposal to Kidd, et al, for disposition elsewhere if he desires.

CHES:bMcK.

REC'D FEB 14 1955
Action Date

A C		
E J	1	2-15
H K		
L W	1	2-15

A = Action C = Comment
I = Information

February 11th, 1955.

Mr. D. F. Kidd,
Proposal Development (1954) Limited,
604 - 789 West Pender Street,
Vancouver 1, B. C.

Subject: Steamboat Mountain - Proposal #12

Dear Cap,


While the above referenced proposal is considered to have some inherent potentials, it is classed as a rather long shot bet.

The concensus of opinion in both the New York and Toronto office is that we do not wish to proceed with this investigation.

Please therefore feel free to submit it to any other interests that you may wish to contact.

Yours very truly,

CYPRUS EXPLORATION CORPORATION LIMITED


Vice President.

CHES:bmck.
cc:E.Just ✓

C

O

P

Y

2-7-55

E. J.

Steamboat Mountain

This is a well gotten up presentation; nevertheless I cannot feel confident that there is any hope for another Giant Mascot (no prize in itself) in the area outlined by Dahlstrom. We had a chance at G.M., which HSM was very cool about. Consequently, we might have difficulty generating any enthusiasm in L.A. were the odds in favor of a prize were greater than presented. My enthusiasm is at a very low state for this Proposal No. 12. Dahlstrom is a good structural thinker untempered as yet by hard economics, though he begins to show signs.

R.W.

See top of P. 7

LW Comment ?
COPY

Verbal answer to
REC'D FEB 4 1955
Action Date
CLASS - No

A C		
E J	A	
R K		
L W	1	2-7

A = Action C = Comment
I = Information

February 2, 1955

Mr. C.H.E. Stewart
Cyprus Exploration Corporation
802 - 80 Richmond St. W.
TORONTO. Ont.

Dear Cy:


In the detailed instructions you furnished us regarding staking of claims at Ainsworth I note you do not state what you wanted the claims named. This seems to be the only detail you have overlooked. I could not refrain twitting you on that one.

Seraphim and a reliable bush man of our acquaintance are on the ground now staking. Dahlstrom and another good bush man left Vancouver this morning to join them. The job should be pretty well complete by the week-end. Some ground had been staked sometime ago but had been allowed to lapse. The decision on the follow-up on the staking will have to wait till Spring.

I think it may be possible to get some communication of the C.M. & S. results before making any further ~~demand~~ *decision*. This is just a hope though.

I enclose Proposal No. 12 - Steamboat Mountain, East Kootenay prepared by C. D. A. Dahlstrom. It is not our intention to bury you in a shower of proposals as we fade gracefully from the scene. We are however not overlooking the fact that we are in the employ of Cyprus until the end of February and as such any research work brought to the point of a specific proposal has to be presented to you and has to go through the formality of rejection (you surprised us with the Ainsworth one).

Yours sincerely,



Desmond F. Kidd.

DFK:c
encl.

cc - Mr. Evan Just
Cyprus Mines Corporation
161 - East 42nd St.
New York 17, N.Y.
U. S. A.