

Legend

~~Paleocene and Eocene~~
~~Tertiary~~
~~Batholith Intrusions~~
 quartz monzonite - quartz latite
 - ~~porphyritic~~ granodiorite, ~~granodiorite~~
 aplite

7

Upper Jurassic
 Bowser Group
~~Red Rose~~ mudstone, siltstone, smeywacke (conglomerate)
 and hornfels equivalents

6

Pre-Upper Jurassic

5

granodiorite

4

porcelaneous felsite

3

massive, spherulitic and banded felsite

2

Hazelton Group
 mainly ~~tuffaceous~~ intermediate pyroclastic rocks and hornfelsic
 - quartz, feldspar-rich ~~probable Jurassic equivalent~~
 [2A] - ~~probable Jurassic equivalent~~

1

mainly massive, intermediate and basic volcanic
 rocks; some felsite, and hornfelsic equivalents
 probably Jurassic

Symbols

Geological boundary: defined, assumed

Bedding

Flow banding

Fault

Thrust fault: defined, concealed

Adit

Road

glacier

150

A

80° U

160

A A

Approx. structural
 contour
 of intrusion
 Limit of
 on surface

805248

Geology 741

Metamorphism of Ores

By way of background, study the translation of pp. 36-76 of Ramdohr's "Die Erzminerale und ihre Verwachsungen". Corresponding pages of the translation are 37-76. Study the illustrations, captions of which are given in translation in the folder.

The systematic analysis of metamorphic processes and the types of metamorphism recognized in the study of metamorphosed silicate rocks should be the same for ores. However, as Ramdohr points out, much less is known about the metamorphism of ores, particularly sulfide ores.

At the moment, studies of the metamorphism of ore mineral in North America are focussed on the massive sulfide deposits formed in the Appalachians from New Brunswick to east Tennessee, in southeastern Ontario, and in the Shasta district of California. Actually there are two separate questions involved, but answers given to the one question to some extent depend on answers to the other. The questions are:

- (1) Are the deposits hydrothermal in the classical sense, or are they volcanic exhalative?
- (2) Are the present textures of the ores primary, metamorphic, or both?

For background on this controversy, read Kallioikoski, J.,

Kallioikoski, J., 1965, Metamorphic features in North American massive sulfide deposits, Econ. Geol., vol. 60, p. 485-505. Has a good list of references.

Känkel, A. R., 1962, The Ore Knob massive sulfide deposit, North Carolina, Econ. Geol., v. 57, p. 1116-1121.

Carpenter, R. H., 1965, A study of the ore minerals in cupriferous pyrrhotite deposits in the southern Appalachians, Univ. of Wis. thesis (Ph.D.).

On shelf in Room 9.