

WILLIAM M. SHARP, P. ENG.  
CONSULTING GEOLOGICAL ENGINEER

801355  
Stellako

STE. 808, 900 WEST HASTINGS ST.  
VANCOUVER 1, B. C.

February 7th, 1967

Mr. J. R. Trepanier,  
Managing Director,  
Stellako Mining Co. Ltd.,  
716 - 602 West Hastings Street,  
Vancouver 2, B.C.

Dear Mr. Trepanier:

Re: Check Determinations for Total  
Copper, Roscoe Lake, Geochemical  
Exploration

#### Preliminary

Check determinations for p.p.m. total Cu were performed on 144 soil samples selected by the writer. This was carried out at Bio Metals' laboratory on samples previously run by them during the course of the recent general geochemical exploration program. A similar analytical procedure - Cu extraction by hot acid and quantitative determination of the vapourized metal solution by absorption spectrometer - was followed on these checks.

Apparent discrepancies in laboratory results were noticed most frequently during Bio Metals initial period of operations; these could be attributed to frequent changes in laboratory personnel and, possibly, to a general preliminary unfamiliarity with the highly-sensitive equipment (and procedures) newly acquired by them. During the latter weeks, with the laboratory work apparently being much more closely controlled, results from line-to-line appeared to be much more consistent and logical.

For the above reasons, the writer has selected most of the check samples from the earlier-run south-central part of the over-all grid. Fortunately, the general series of soil samples and results derived from, and delineating the principally-anomalous areas in the north half of the grid appear to be satisfactorily consistent and representative.

#### Discussion of Results

A copy of Bio Metals' results of their check-determinations accompanies this report. For consistency, comparisons of check, and original determinations follow the order of their report, rather than the sequence of grid lines on the geochemical

map. On the basis that consecutive increments of soil, both taken from the same general soil sample submitted, may be expected to differ to some degree in total metal content, comparisons of original, and check determinations are made on complete lines or sequences. The accompanying sheet shows computed averages of sequences of check, and original determinations.

Sample Sequence	(a) Average of re-runs p.p.m.	(b) Average of orig. run p.p.m.	Difference	% Change
44S, 1-30W	37.2	26.7	+10.5	+39.2
40S, 8-16W	24.8	24.2	+ 0.6	+ 2.5
52S, 1-10W	26.0	18.8	+ 7.2	+38.2
68S, 1-12W	21.5	15.6	+ 5.9	+38.0
56N, 21-26W	41.8	43.5	- 1.7	- 4.0
6S, 6-14W	40.7	24.3	+16.4	+67.8 *
34S, 6-16W	26.7	31.3	- 4.6	-14.8
26S, 5-16W	44.1	45.5	- 1.4	- 3.0
14S, 6-12W	31.6	32.4	- 0.8	- 2.5
22S, 6-16W	26.4	31.7	- 5.3	-16.7
30S, 6-16W	25.5	22.6	+ 2.9	+12.8
22N, 4-20W	59.4	51.9	+ 7.5	+14.3
68S, 1-14E	43.6	30.2	+13.4	<u>+44.5</u>
		<u>Net average change</u> -----		+16.6%

Conclusions:

The indicated 16.6% higher average results obtained by re-running of the selected sample groups would, in the writer's opinion, constitute a logical minimum increment to be added to all of the original Cu determinations from the initial sampling conducted within the southerly section of the Roscoe Lake grid. This might

exclude more recent determinations from subsequent fill-in sampling, by reason of the apparent improvement in the accuracy of the laboratory work with experience and personnel changes.

Most importantly, the higher average Cu concentrations obtained indicate that the currently-indicated anomalous areas represent conservative delineations of areal extent and copper content.

With regard to the presence of additional un-checked lines with apparently sub-normal copper values, a visual approximation of average p.p.m. Cu within these sequences, with appropriate upward adjustments (+1/6 to + 1/3) seems to rule out the possibility that significant anomalies have been missed.

If further checking is considered, the preliminary Noranda determinations within the 0-30W, 64N-24S section on lines at 400' N-S intervals would have to be included - the main basis for this being that Noranda - Bio Metals analytical methods are quite different, and that Noranda's determinations are generally stated only to the nearest 25 p.p.m. Cu. Subsequent determinations on samples from intermediate, or fill-in lines emphasize these differences.

For the present, the scope of soil-sampling and Cu determinations appears adequate. However, some information concerning MoS<sub>2</sub> distribution, as related to that of Cu, would be desirable in the principal anomalous section of the grid. In the event that the property is optioned by another exploration group, the analytical checks for MoS<sub>2</sub> should be one of their obligations.

The writer suggests that all soil samples at the Bio Metals laboratory be picked up and safely stored for future reference.

Respectfully submitted,

---

W.M. Sharp, P.Eng.

WILLIAM M. SHARP, P. ENG.  
CONSULTING GEOLOGICAL ENGINEER

STE. 808, 900 WEST HASTINGS ST.  
VANCOUVER 1, B. C.

February 7th, 1967

Mr. J. R. Trepanier,  
Managing Director,  
Stellako Mining Co. Ltd.,  
716 - 602 West Hastings Street,  
Vancouver 2, B.C.

Dear Mr. Trepanier:

Re: Check Determinations for Total  
Copper, Roscoe Lake, Geochemical  
Exploration

### Preliminary

Check determinations for p.p.m. total Cu were performed on 144 soil samples selected by the writer. This was carried out at Bio Metals' laboratory on samples previously run by them during the course of the recent general geochemical exploration program. A similar analytical procedure - Cu extraction by hot acid and quantitative determination of the vapourized metal solution by absorption spectrometer - was followed on these checks.

Apparent discrepancies in laboratory results were noticed most frequently during Bio Metals initial period of operations; these could be attributed to frequent changes in laboratory personnel and, possibly, to a general preliminary unfamiliarity with the highly-sensitive equipment (and procedures) newly acquired by them. During the latter weeks, with the laboratory work apparently being much more closely controlled, results from line-to-line appeared to be much more consistent and logical.

For the above reasons, the writer has selected most of the check samples from the earlier-run south-central part of the over-all grid. Fortunately, the general series of soil samples and results derived from, and delineating the principally-anomalous areas in the north half of the grid appear to be satisfactorily consistent and representative.

### Discussion of Results

A copy of Bio Metals' results of their check-determinations accompanies this report. For consistency, comparisons of check, and original determinations follow the order of their report, rather than the sequence of grid lines on the geochemical

map. On the basis that consecutive increments of soil, both taken from the same general soil sample submitted, may be expected to differ to some degree in total metal content, comparisons of original, and check determinations are made on complete lines or sequences. The accompanying sheet shows computed averages of sequences of check, and original determinations.

Sample Sequence	(a) Average of re-runs p.p.m.	(b) Average of orig. run p.p.m.	Difference	% Change
44S, 1-30W	37.2	26.7	+10.5	+39.2
40S, 8-16W	24.8	24.2	+ 0.6	+ 2.5
52S, 1-10W	26.0	18.8	+ 7.2	+38.2
68S, 1-12W	21.5	15.6	+ 5.9	+38.0
56N, 21-26W	41.8	43.5	- 1.7	- 4.0
6S, 6-14W	40.7	24.3	+16.4	+67.8 *
34S, 6-16W	26.7	31.3	- 4.6	-14.8
26S, 5-16W	44.1	45.5	- 1.4	- 3.0
14S, 6-12W	31.6	32.4	- 0.8	- 2.5
22S, 6-16W	26.4	31.7	- 5.3	-16.7
30S, 6-16W	25.5	22.6	+ 2.9	+12.8
22N, 4-20W	59.4	51.9	+ 7.5	+14.3
68S, 1-14E	43.6	30.2	+13.4	+44.5
<u>Net average change -----</u>				+16.6%

Conclusions:

The indicated 16.6% higher average results obtained by re-running of the selected sample groups would, in the writer's opinion, constitute a logical minimum increment to be added to all of the original Cu determinations from the initial sampling conducted within the southerly section of the Roscoe Lake grid. This might

exclude more recent determinations from subsequent fill-in sampling, by reason of the apparent improvement in the accuracy of the laboratory work with experience and personnel changes.

Most importantly, the higher average Cu concentrations obtained indicate that the currently-indicated anomalous areas represent conservative delineations of areal extent and copper content.

With regard to the presence of additional un-checked lines with apparently sub-normal copper values, a visual approximation of average p.p.m. Cu within these sequences, with appropriate upward adjustments (+1/6 to + 1/3) seems to rule out the possibility that significant anomalies have been missed.

If further checking is considered, the preliminary Noranda determinations within the 0-30W, 64N-24S section on lines at 400' N-S intervals would have to be included - the main basis for this being that Noranda - Bio Metals analytical methods are quite different, and that Noranda's determinations are generally stated only to the nearest 25 p.p.m. Cu. Subsequent determinations on samples from intermediate, or fill-in lines emphasize these differences.

For the present, the scope of soil-sampling and Cu determinations appears adequate. However, some information concerning MoS<sub>2</sub> distribution, as related to that of Cu, would be desirable in the principal anomalous section of the grid. In the event that the property is optioned by another exploration group, the analytical checks for MoS<sub>2</sub> should be one of their obligations.

The writer suggests that all soil samples at the Bio Metals laboratory be picked up and safely stored for future reference.

Respectfully submitted,

---

W.M. Sharp, P.Eng.

*WMS copy*  
*144 samples*

# GEO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT

PROJECT: <i>Stellaco - Roscoe</i>	REPORT NO.:	SHEET: <i>1</i> OF: <i>6</i>
DATE: <i>Jan. 30 / 1967 Peru</i>	APPROVED: <i>E. Fryberg</i>	

SAMPLE IDENT.	REF. NO.	Cu		First Run							
		PPM		PPM							
1	<i>445-16</i>	<i>A 2232</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>-</i>					
2	<i>2</i>	<i>2233</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>✓</i>					
3	<i>3</i>	<i>2234</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>✓</i>					
4	<i>4</i>	<i>2235</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>✓</i>					
5	<i>5</i>	<i>2236</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>✓</i>					
6	<i>6</i>	<i>2237</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>✓</i>					
7	<i>7</i>	<i>2238</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>✓</i>					
8	<i>8</i>	<i>2239</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>✓</i>					
9	<i>9</i>	<i>2230</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>✓</i>					
10	<i>10</i>	<i>2241</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>✓</i>					
11	<i>11</i>	<i>2242</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>✓</i>					
12	<i>12</i>	<i>2243</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>✓</i>					
13	<i>13</i>	<i>2244</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>✓</i>					
14	<i>14</i>	<i>2245</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>✓</i>					
15	<i>15</i>	<i>2246</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>✓</i>					
16	<i>16</i>	<i>2247</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>✓</i>					
17	<i>17</i>	<i>2248</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>✓</i>					
18	<i>18</i>	<i>2249</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>✓</i>					
19	<i>19</i>	<i>2250</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>✓</i>					
20	<i>20</i>	<i>2251</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>✓</i>					
21	<i>21</i>	<i>2252</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>✓</i>					
22	<i>22</i>	<i>2253</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>✓</i>					
23	<i>23</i>	<i>2254</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>✓</i>					
24	<i>24</i>	<i>2255</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>✓</i>					
25	<i>25</i>	<i>2256</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>✓</i>					
26	<i>26</i>	<i>2257</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>✓</i>					
27	<i>27</i>	<i>2258</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>82</i>	<i>✓</i>					
28	<i>28</i>	<i>2259</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>✓</i>					
29	<i>29</i>	<i>2260</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>✓</i>					
30	<i>30W</i>	<i>A 2261</i>	<i>(a) 50</i>	<i>(b)</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>Totals: 1114</i>		<i>801</i>		
							<i>Avg. 1-30W: 37.2</i>		<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>	<i>26.7</i>

# GEO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT

PROJECT: *Stellaca - Roscoe*

REPORT NO:

SHEET: *2*  
OF: *6*

DATE: *Jan. 30 / 1967 Rerun*

APPROVED: *E. Fryberg*

	SAMPLE IDENT.	REF. NO.	Cu		First Run					
			PPM		PPM					
1	<i>405-166</i>	<i>6890</i>	<i>20</i>	✓	<i>12</i>					
2	<i>15</i>	<i>6891</i>	<i>8</i>	✓	<i>12</i>					
3	<i>14</i>	<i>6892</i>	<i>4</i>	✓	<i>3</i>					
4	<i>13</i>	<i>no sample</i>		✓						
5	<i>12</i>	<i>6893</i>	<i>20</i>	✓	<i>22</i>					
6	<i>11</i>	<i>6894</i>	<i>25</i>	✓	<i>25</i>					
7	<i>10'</i>	<i>6895</i>	<i>51</i>	✓	<i>11</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>198</i>			<i>193</i>
8	<i>9</i>	<i>6896</i>	<i>40</i>	✓	<i>66</i>		<i>(a)</i>			<i>(b)</i>
9	<i>8 W</i>	<i>6897</i>	<i>(a) 30</i>	✓	<i>(b) 42</i>	<i>AVG. 8-10 W = 24.8</i>				<i>24.2</i>
10	<i>525 1 W</i>	<i>6782</i>	<i>20</i>	✓	<i>2</i>					
11	<i>2</i>	<i>6783</i>	<i>27</i>	✓	<i>29</i>					
12	<i>3</i>	<i>6784</i>	<i>18</i>	✓	<i>12</i>					
13	<i>4</i>	<i>6785</i>	<i>32</i>	✓	<i>24</i>					
14	<i>5</i>	<i>6786</i>	<i>22</i>	✓	<i>5</i>					
15	<i>6</i>	<i>6787</i>	<i>25</i>	✓	<i>NIL</i>					
16	<i>7</i>	<i>6788</i>	<i>37</i>	✓	<i>29</i>					
17	<i>8</i>	<i>6789</i>	<i>22</i>	✓	<i>12</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>260</i>			<i>188</i>
18	<i>9</i>	<i>6790</i>	<i>32</i>	✓	<i>42</i>		<i>(a)</i>			<i>(b)</i>
19	<i>10 W</i>	<i>6791</i>	<i>(a) 25</i>	✓	<i>(b) 33</i>	<i>AVG. 1-10 W = 26.0</i>				<i>18.8</i>
20	<i>685 1 W</i>	<i>6846</i>	<i>12</i>	✓	<i>8</i>					
21	<i>2</i>	<i>6845</i>	<i>16</i>	✓	<i>5</i>					
22	<i>3</i>	<i>6844</i>	<i>10</i>	✓	<i>8</i>					
23	<i>4</i>	<i>6843</i>	<i>18</i>	✓	<i>8</i>					
24	<i>5</i>	<i>6842</i>	<i>12</i>	✓	<i>12</i>					
25	<i>6</i>	<i>6841</i>	<i>25</i>	✓	<i>8</i>					
26	<i>7</i>	<i>6840</i>	<i>18</i>	✓	<i>12</i>					
27	<i>8</i>	<i>6839</i>	<i>32</i>	✓	<i>16</i>					
28	<i>9</i>	<i>6838</i>	<i>16</i>	✓	<i>16</i>					
29	<i>10</i>	<i>6837</i>	<i>14</i>	✓	<i>5</i>					
30	<i>11 W</i>	<i>6836</i>	<i>67</i>	✓	<i>65</i>					



# GEO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT

PROJECT: *Stellaco - Roscoe*

REPORT NO:

SHEET: *3*  
OF: *6*

DATE: *Jan. 30 / 1967 Rerun*

APPROVED: *E. Fryberg*

	SAMPLE IDENT.	REF. NO.	Cu		First Run					
				PPM		PPM				
1	<i>68S-12W</i>	<i>6835</i>	<i>(a)</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>(b)</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>Aug 1-12W</i>	<i>258</i> <i>(a)</i>	<i>187</i> <i>(b)</i>
2	<i>56N-21W</i>	<i>A 3229</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>59</i>			<i>21.5</i>	<i>15.6</i>
3	<i>22</i>	<i>3230</i>	<i>no residue</i>							
4	<i>23</i>	<i>3231</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>32</i>				
5	<i>24</i>	<i>3232</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>✓</i>		<i>(167)</i>	<i>(174)</i>
6	<i>25</i>	<i>3233</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>✓</i>		<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>
7	<i>26W</i>	<i>A 3234</i>	<i>no residue</i>				<i>Aug 21-26W</i>		<i>41.8</i>	<i>43.5</i>
8	<i>6S-6W</i>	<i>A 2320</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>5</i>				
9	<i>7</i>	<i>2321</i>	<i>These</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>11</i>				
10	<i>8</i>	<i>2322</i>	<i>rept</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>11</i>				
11	<i>9</i>	<i>2323</i>	<i>Rerun</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>11</i>				
12	<i>10</i>	<i>2324</i>	<i>Nov.</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>5</i>				
13	<i>11</i>	<i>2325</i>	<i>14/66</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>11</i>				
14	<i>12</i>	<i>2326</i>		<i>18</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>✓</i>		<i>366</i>	<i>219</i>
15	<i>13</i>	<i>2327</i>		<i>89</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>✓</i>		<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>
16	<i>14 W</i>	<i>A 2328</i>		<i>35</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>Aug 6-14 W</i>		<i>40.7</i>	<i>24.3</i>
17	<i>34S-6W</i>	<i>2060</i>		<i>57</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>78</i>				
18	<i>7</i>	<i>2061</i>		<i>25</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>32</i>				
19	<i>8</i>	<i>2062</i>		<i>22</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>20</i>				
20	<i>9</i>	<i>2063</i>		<i>12</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>16</i>				
21	<i>10</i>	<i>no sample</i>								
22	<i>11</i>	<i>2064</i>		<i>30</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>37</i>				
23	<i>12</i>	<i>2065</i>		<i>14</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>16</i>				
24	<i>13</i>	<i>2066</i>		<i>32</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>20</i>				
25	<i>14</i>	<i>2067</i>		<i>15</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>✓</i>		<i>267</i>	<i>313</i>
26	<i>15</i>	<i>2068</i>		<i>18</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>✓</i>		<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>
27	<i>16 W</i>	<i>2009</i>		<i>42</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>Aug 6-16 W</i>		<i>26.7</i>	<i>31.3</i>
28	<i>26S-5W</i>	<i>2033</i>		<i>48</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>50</i>				
29	<i>6</i>	<i>2034</i>		<i>58</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>68</i>				
30	<i>7 W</i>	<i>2035</i>		<i>31</i>	<i>✓</i>	<i>39</i>				

# GEO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT

PROJECT: *Stellaco - Roscoe*

REPORT NO:

SHEET: 4  
OF: 6

DATE: *Jan. 30 / 1967 Rerun*

APPROVED: *E. Fryberg*

	SAMPLE IDENT.	REF. NO.	Ca		First Run					
			PPM <i>137</i>		PPM <i>137</i>					
1	265-8W	2036		44 ✓		41				
2	9	2037		32 ✓		31				
3	10	no sample								
4	11	no sample								
5	12	2038		58 ✓		66				
6	13	2039		39 ✓		41				
7	14	2040		35 ✓		37	<i>Σ - -</i>		44.1	45.5
8	15	2041		48 ✓		41	↑		(a)	(b)
9	16 W	2042		48 ✓		41	<i>Aug. 5-16W</i>		44.1	45.5
10	145-6 W	2027	✓	26 ✓	✓	28				
11	7	2028	✓	30 ✓	✓	26				
12	8	2082	✓	44 ✓	✓	41				
13	9	2083	✓	28 ✓	✓	30				
14	10	2084	✓	29 ✓	✓	32	<i>Σ -</i>		22.1	22.6
15	11	2085	✓	18 ✓	✓	28	↑		(a)	(b)
16	12 W	2086	✓	46 ✓	✓	41	<i>Aug. 6-12W</i>		31.6	32.4
17	225-6 W	1954	✓	18 ✓	✓	22				
18	7	1955		no residue						
19	8	1956		52 ✓	✓	55				
20	9	1957		29 ✓	✓	34				
21	10	1958		55 ✓	✓	64				
22	11	1959		34 ✓	✓	32				
23	12	1960		12 ✓	✓	18				
24	13	1961		26 ✓	✓	34				
25	14	1962		10 ✓	✓	16	<i>Σ - -</i>		26.4	31.7
26	15	1963		12 ✓	✓	18	↑		(a)	(b)
27	16 W	1964		16 ✓	✓	24	<i>Aug 6-16W</i>		26.4	31.7
28	305-6 W	1926		8 ✓	✓	12				
29	7 W	1927		2 ✓	✓	6				
30	8 W	no sample								

# GEO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT

PROJECT: *Stellaco - Roscoe*

REPORT NO:

SHEET: *5*  
OF: *6*

DATE: *Jan. 30 / 1967 Perun*

APPROVED: *E. Fryberg*

	SAMPLE IDENT.	REF. NO.	Cu		First Run							
			PPM <sub>10</sub>		PPM <sub>18</sub>							
1	30S-96	1928	34	✓	20							
2	10	1929	24	✓	28							
3	11	no sample		✓								
4	12	1930	26	✓	24							
5	13	1931	32	✓	28							
6	14	1932	28	✓	20							
7	15	1933	36	✓	28							
8	16 W	1934	39	✓	37							
9	22N 4W	A 2857	116	✓	101							
10	5	2858	70	✓	67							
11	6	2859	45	✓	41							
12	7	2860	75	✓	21							
13	8	2862	40	✓	38							
14	14	2877	64	✓	82							
15	15	2878	60	✓	51							
16	16	2879	29	✓	31							
17	17	2880	91	✓	81							
18	18	2881	35	✓	31							
19	19	2882	38	✓	34							
20	20 W	A 2883	50	✓	45							
21	68S-1 E	7070	40	✓	38							
22	2	7071	60	✓	48							
23	3	7072	18	✓	14							
24	4	7073	60	✓	40							
25	5	7074	60	✓	41							
26	6	7075	35	✓	25							
27	7	7076	75	✓	48							
28	8	7077	35	✓	25							
29	9	7078	16	✓	16							
30	10 E	7079	14	✓	13							

# GEO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT

PROJECT: <i>Stellaco - Roscoe</i>	REPORT NO:	SHEET: <i>6</i> OF: <i>6</i>
DATE: <i>Jan. 30/1967 Rerun</i>	APPROVED: <i>E. Fryberg</i>	

SAMPLE IDENT.	REF. NO.	Ca		First Run					
		PPM <i>413</i>		PPM <i>308</i>					
1	<i>68S-11 E</i>	<i>7080</i>	<i>72</i>	✓	<i>30</i>				
2	<i>12  </i>	<i>7081</i>	<i>no residue</i>	✓	<i>5</i>	<i>---</i>	<i>567</i>	<i>393</i>	
3	<i>13  </i>	<i>7082</i>	<i>45</i>	✓	<i>30</i>	↑	<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>	
4	<i>14 E</i>	<i>7083</i>	<i>37</i>	✓	<i>25</i>	<i>Aug 1-14 E</i>	<i>43.6</i>	<i>30.2</i>	
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									

*M.M.S.*