## ANALYTICAL DATA COMPILATION Lara-Chemainus Properties

- I. BLUE FOLDER
- Drill Hole Data <u>ONLY</u>
- Metals ONLY
- 'Assay' Data (Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, Au, Ba)
   Lithogeochem data [SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO, MgO, Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O, FeO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> + (Al, MgNa, CuZn)]
- Minnova a) Assay Data and b) Lithogeochem Data are listed in separate sections. [(a) and (b) sourced from separate computer files].
- Falconbridge Assay and Lithogeochem are listed together, distinguished only by Assay # Prefix, A - Assay; V - Whole Rock
- Both Minnova and Falconbridge data are listed in order of 1. Drill hole number
  2. Drill hole footage (hole coordinates not listed)

II.	<b>RED FOLDER</b>	- Drill Hole and Surface Data

- 'Oxides' and metals
- Minnova Drill Hole 'Oxides' ] Minnova Surface Sample 'Oxides' ] s Minnova Surface Sample Metals ]

separate sections

] separate sections

- Minnova surface samples are identified by assay # which may be:
  - \*number only (no coordinates) by year
  - \*number including trench reference (no coordinates) by year
  - number including grid coordinates (few only) by year

Therefore, considerable work is needed to obtain coordinates for all samples.

- Falconbridge Drill Hole Oxides Falconbridge Surface Metals

Falconbridge Surface Oxides

\* No serious attempt has been made to identify on maps, reports and it is not known if this is possible from the data in our possession.

- Falconbridge surface samples identified by:
  - numbercoordinates
    - nates ] Kidd Creek
  - rock type ] rock codes
  - alteration

## III. ASSAYING/ANALYSES

----

..

۲

	٨	Minnova/Aberm	in					
•	л.	1985	a)	Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag Au	HNO <sub>3</sub> Fire A	-HCl Hot extr. Assay	+	AA Fire Assay AA
			b)	<ul> <li>(Ref. B. Smee report - lithogeochem of drill samples)</li> <li>21 of 58 holes selected for lithogeochem</li> <li>rep. core samples from HW and FW cut by diamond saw - '2-metre shavings' (kraft bag size sample) Samples taken at least 10m into HW and FW in all 21 holes</li> <li>Analysed by Mauratte Res. &amp; Services, Calgary <ul> <li>[+ - 150 mesh</li> <li>X-ray fluorescence</li> <li>[+ Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, Ba, As, S</li> <li>[SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, FeO, MnO, CaO,</li> <li>[MgO, Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
		1986						
		$\downarrow$	?					
	B. Falconbridge Analytical Procedure varied between 1987 and 1990.							
		1987	a)	Bondar Clegg Cu, Pb, Zn, Mo, A	g, Fe, N	<b>Mn, Cd, Co, N</b> i	, As	- HNO <sub>3</sub> -HCL Hot extr.
								DC Plasma
				Au				- Fire Assay + AA
				Ba				- X-ray fluorescence
				Base metals > 3000ppm re-analysed by standard assay to Ag > 30ppm re-analysed by standard assay tech Au > 1000ppm re-analysed by standard assay to b				
			<ul> <li>b) X-Ray Assay Labs - Don Mills</li> <li>Lithogeochemical analyses</li> <li>'Standard major oxide' package including</li> </ul>				g Cu, 2	Zn, Ba
		1988	Sar	me as 1987				
		1989	a)	Bondar Clegg HNO <sub>3</sub> -HCl hot ext Au - 10g fire assa Ba - XRF			СР	
				Automatic assayin	g for	Cu, Pb, Zn Au Ag		Юррт Юррb ppm

ţ

b) X-Ray Assay Labs Lithogeochem analyses - 17 element + Cu, Zn, Ni

1990

a) Bondar Clegg, as above, but note ref. from Falconbridge 1990 report: "Inherent to the ICP method is interference between certain elements to produce incorrect results. A high calcium content may cause erroneously high values as As, Ce, Co, and Sr, but there should be no effect on the Cu or Zn values" (Robert Szava-Kovats, Bondar-Clegg; pers. comm. March 8, 1990).

At the beginning of the program erroneously high copper and lead ICP results were received which did not correspond with assay results (sample shipments 90-1 and 90-2). Subsequent re-analysis (ICP) of these samples appears to have corrected the problem, but the copper, silver, gold, cobalt, lead, and arsenic values for the standards are still too high. Analyses of standards in later shipments correspond reasonably well with the accepted mean values except for lead which is consistently roughly 50% too low. The only lead assay received during this program (VB00078) shows that the corresponding ICP analysis is 3.6 times too high. Analyses of the standards are listed in Table 10 (Appendix L) along with the accepted correct mean values for comparison.

b) Cominco Exploration Labs
 Whole rock analyses - 16 element + Cu, Zn, Ni package
 Sample - 30cm composites from 3m sections spaced < 30m</li>

Misc. Notes (Samples < 1.2% Na<sub>2</sub>O - possibly altered)

Classification of rock types by SiO<sub>2</sub> content Mafic < 54% Intermed 54-65% Felsic > 65%

Geochemical results at or above the following thresholds were considered to be anomalous:

Elements of Primary Interest								
Cu >500ppr	n <b>Zn</b>	>1000ppm	Ag > 2.0ppm	Au > 100ppb				
Pb > 35ppr		> 50ppm	Co > 20ppm	Mn > 400ppm				
Ni > 45ppr	n Ba(XRF)	>2000ppm	Ba(ICP) > 300ppm	Sc > 10ppm				
Elements of Secondary Interest								
CR >150pp	n Ga	> 100ppm	Be > 20ppm	Li > 20ppm				
Nb > 30ppr	n Rb	> 500ppm	Sb > 50ppm	V > 100ppm				
Bi > 40pp	n Cđ	> 30ppm	Ce > 30ppm	La > 30ppm				
Mo > 30pp	n Sn	> 50ppm	Sr > 50ppm	Ta > 50ppm				
Te > 50pp	n Y	> 30ppm	Zr > 20ppm	••				