

1. neg. views of URGM work re PER.
Sceptical

- originally intended (@ Buttle Lake) to be linked or related to specific samples - { identified then sectioned
- Andrews Hamilton M.Sc. through Buttle, associa with URGM group - Andrews thought to log - identify rocks - process samples -

According to Walker, Hamilton pushed to 'publish', bc part of show & left before he had any data/results - quit course.

2. URGM in trouble with ^{Mojo} (Estray - released data without/ Corona prior to receiving approval of Corona.

3. Thinks data first, analysis last approach faulty.
- " Rio Tinto data fallible - says so called K spar contents not compatible with any known rock types in area.

4. Thinks PER has possible value as a tool but does not detract from value of a replace Na₂O, H₂O, H₂SiO₃, Ba depletion / enrichments / ratios.

5. Thinks thrust contact may be questionable, poss. of 'extension' faults, or detachment. Beaufort Range 'Horst' steps off to east - why not on west. Ref. to Fort Albert fault - 'extension'?, evidence of Fed. deep drilling seismic? data

6. Critical of BL MGR expression of unsubstantiated theories to detriment of private company exptn. concepts

7. Cheat - Jasper - magnetite zones sig. - manifestation of hydrothermal system - may be up to 800' above NS of Buttle Lk.

8. Thinks double slanting anticline valid.