

672867  
Jordan River

Principles of Engineering, Geology and Geotechnics - Krynine & Judd  
Glossary of Geology and Related Sciences

10/10/70

DR DOLMAGE:

ATTACHED IS A COPY  
(WHICH YOU MAY KEEP)  
OF THE ROCK TESTING  
PROGRAM. THE COMPRESSIVE  
STRENGTH OF THE  
GABBRO IS LOW BECAUSE  
OF FAILURE ALONG  
HAIRLINE CRACKS IN THE  
SAMPLE NOT NOTICED  
BEFORE TESTING. THE  
RESULTS OF T3 & T4  
(ALSO LOW) WERE  
EXPECTED

<sup>AS</sup>  
I CAN PROBABLY LOCATE  
THE FAILED SAMPLES IF YOU WISH.

the above books returned to Dr. Dolmage, at his request, Oct. 22/70,



WARNOCK HERSEY  
INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

125 East 4th Ave., Vancouver 10, B.C. Phone 878-4111 - Telex 04-50353

COAST ELDRIDGE  
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES DIVISION

REPORT OF: Laboratory Tests FILE NO. C.2-J.1-68  
AT Vancouver DATE July 31, 1968  
PROJECT: Jordan River REPORT NO. 3/68  
REPORTED TO: International Power & Engineering Consultants Ltd., ORDER NO.  
Jordan River, B.C. ATTN: Mr. A. Imrie.  
International Power & Engineering Consultants Ltd.,  
Vancouver, ATTN: Mr. F. Siudut.

TESTING OF ROCK CORES

I PROGRAM

The Client has submitted a number of samples of rock cores for testing. The samples were received July 8, 1968.

Evaluations to be conducted included:

Compressive Strength  
Modulus of Elasticity  
Unit Weight as received

II SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

Samples were marked by the Client. A total of five samples were tested and these were numbered T1 to T5 inclusive.

III PROCEDURES

Cores were end-trimmed with a diamond saw.

The unit weight of the rock was determined by simple weighing and measuring of the core volume.

Compressive strength was determined on the sample cut to approximate length: diameter ratio of 2.0. Procedures were generally in accordance with the requirements of A.S.T.M. C42 where applicable.

/.....2



The modulus elasticity in compression was determined using a compressometer coupled to an automatic stress-strain recorder. The structure of the compressometer was similar to that detailed in A.S.T.M. C469. For the purposes of these tests it is assumed that the tangent modulus would be the most suitable. (Note)

**IV RESULTS**

The results are presented in the attached tabulation.

---

**Note:** For most cores, the stress-strain relationship was virtually linear and the selection of the type of modulus would not be significant.

COAST ELDRIDGE

P.T. Seabrook, P.Eng.,  
Materials Engineer.

PTS/aj

Jordan River  
File No. C.2-J.1-68  
July 31, 1968

Sample No.	DDH	Footage	Rock Type	Core $\phi$ ins.	Core Length ins.	Core Weight gms.	Core Density lbs/cu.ft.	Compression Load lbs.	Compression Strength p.s.i.	Compression Modulus of Elasticity p.s.i.
T1	PH3	50/50.8	Fine grained gabbro	2.150"	4.250	769.0	189.8	47,950	13,200	12.75 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
T2	E4	35/36.3	Flow breccia (volcanic)	2.135"	4.260	742.2	185.6	71,500	20,000	11.56 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
T3	E4A	45.8/46.9	Flow breccia (volcanic)	2.145"	4.250	749.5	186.0	30,250	8,370	9.23 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
T4	E4A	65.5/66.5	Massive basalt	2.15"	4.285	765.7	187.6	101,000	27,820	11.25 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
T5	E7	77.6/78.6	Epidotized basalt	2.145"	4.285	764.3	188.4	21,900	6,060	11.02 x 10 <sup>6</sup>



W. SNOOK HERVEY INTERNATIONAL LIMITED  
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES DIVISION  
COAST FLORIDA