671794

November 4th, 1974.

Dome Mines Limited, 600 - 365 Bay St., Toronto, Ont. M5H 2V4

Attention: Mr. G.S.W. Bruce

Dear Wally:

## Re: LION, CUB and BURN Groups

Please forgive me for not sending you a memorandum on the above before now. I note our meeting was on October 18th and here it is November 4th. I was, however, in Nevada for a full week.

I believe what you asked me was to suggest an answer to Brascan on the above claim groups, and here is what I suggest.

1. LION, CUB

We wish to thank you for your advice concerning your examination of the LION Group and the geological situation on the CUB Group.

It is our view that these groups do not indicate sufficiently interesting mineralization to warrant our participation in your recommended program.

2. BURN

Our feeling on the BURN Group has not changed essentially from the recommendations outlined in Dr. Webber's report of September 7th, 1973.

I think we all believe that work should be carried out in the southwest corner of the grid, i.e. in the general vicinity of your Target #2. Where we apparently differ, however, is in the amount of work and here we feel that lines should be cut from Line 4000N to about 2000S, a magnetometer and an LP survey should be undertaken, soil sampling conducted where none was done previously and drilling should be provided for upon completion of the mapping and survey work. We would like to have this work done under the direction of Dr. W.R. Bacon because of his wide experience with porphyry deposits in B.C. and elsewhere.

The program, as we envisage it, could cost \$100,000 and we feel that it would be unwise for us to budget for less - 50% for Dome and 50% for Brascan - if you wish to continue with the BURN project.

The above, of course, merely constitute suggestions for your reply to Brascan.

With kindest personal regards,

WRB/ic

Yours very truly,

W.R. Bacon, Manager, LUC Syndicate