

SINTA 93M/9

Aug. 15/72

Cam/Ferry:

671258

We failed to notice when you were in last Thurs that the two highest silts were ~~so~~ very near to each other (W-399 - 467 ppm; W-501 - 400 ppm). Consequently we placed 4 claims around these silts, adjoining the previous SINTA claims to the south. We then prospected in detail all around the two ticks, but found no copper at all. ^(all volcanics) Both high silts are in fact at the end of swamps, so perhaps the geochem. anomaly is really due to hydrothermal accumulation.

We noticed also that there seemed to be no correlation between submicro results + lab analysis, both W-399 and W-501 showing

relatively poor rubianics, while samples running approx 150 ppm showed a very dark blue.

~~We are therefore less enthusiastic about the property, + are hesitant to extend the claims without further silt results. (We hope some will come in today)~~

Also, do you still want me to change the silt dates from Aug 2 + 3 to Aug 12 + 13?

In conclusion! !? ! *

~~Therefore we plan to soil the 20 SINTA claims we have, + possibly stake Alpha the Mag Low - rubianic anomaly to the west, hoping to have more silt results by the time that is done.~~

Aug. 17.

More boronite + dalco
outcrops + float have
been to the NW +
~~E~~ Southeast. We

also have a quartz
vein approx. strike
 332° , dipping 48° .

~~The~~ Our anomaly is
therefore running \approx
from SSE to NNW.

~~the~~ We should have
42 claims when we
have staked all we
want.

(SF 97)

P.S. we did find this green mineral, tentatively identified as melanterite ($\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$) in which we note "(u commonly substitutes for Fe^{2+} " Unfortunately the colour never extends to blue as it does when copper is present.