

FALCONBRIDGE LIMITED 202 - 856 Homer Street Vancouver, B.C. V6B 2W2

GEOPHYSICAL REPORT BOREHOLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY BAY PROJECT KAMLOOPS MINING DISTRICT, B.C. BY DELTA GEOSCIENCE LTD.

SEPT. 4,1989 G.A. HENDRICKSON, P.GEOPH.

WARENDUIE COPS

GEOPHYSICAL REPORT

BOREHOLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY

ON THE

BAY PROJECT

BAY CLAIM GROUP

KAMLOOPS MINING DISTRICT, B.C.

NTS SHEET 82M/4

BY

DELTA GEOSCIENCE LTD.

SEPTEMBER 4, 1989.

G.A. HENDRICKSON, P.GEOPH.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	Page 1.
Location Map	Fig. #1.
Location Map of Claims & Geology	Fig. #2.
Location Maps of Surface Loops	Figs #3 - 8.
Personnel	Page 2.
Equipment	Page 2.
Data Presentation	Page 3.
Loop Location and Hole Co-ordinates	Page 4.
Survey Procedure	Page 5.
Discussion of the Data	Page 6,7 & 8.
Theoretical Response	Figs #9 & 10.
Conclusion and Recommendations	Page 9.
References	Page 10
Statement of Qualification	Page 11.
APPENDIX:	
Electromagnetic Profiles of	

()

O)

Drill Holes.. .. .. .. .. Pockets 1 & 2.

#### INTRODUCTION

This report is concerned with a borehole electromagnetic logging program using the Remi-Melis system developed by the Bureau de Recherches Geologiques et Minieres (B.R.G.M.) of France.

The main application of the Remi-Melis system is in mining exploration, where massive to semi-massive sulphide bodies (conductors) missed by drill holes can be detected up to 100m. from the hole.

Falconbridge Ltd., the operator of the Bay Project, contracted Delta Geoscience Ltd. to log several holes during the period July 27 to August 6, 1989. The Bay Project is a joint venture comprised of Falconbridge Ltd., Cominco Ltd., and Westmin Resources Ltd. The owners of the claims are Cominco Ltd., and Westmin Resources Ltd. The objective of the work program was the detection of any conductive zones around the drill holes.

The Remi-Melis system is a hole to surface frequency EM method that aims at detecting conductive targets located around a drill hole. Basically, the system consists of transmitting an alternating current into a loop positioned on the surface of the ground and encircling the borehole, and in measuring the component of the magnetic field along the borehole axis.

Secondary currents induced by the primary field of the loop into any nearby conductive bodies will produce secondary magnetic fields which, in addition to the primary field, are measured by the down hole Remi probe. The in-phase and out of phase components of the total axial (borehole) field with respect to the primary field, are determined through a reference magnetic sensor located on the surface adjacent to the drill hole.

















#### PERSONNEL

Scott Cosman - Geophysicist/Crew Chief Tim Huttemann - Geophysicist Grant Hendrickson - Senior Geophysicist/Supervisor

#### EQUIPMENT

1 -	BRGM Melis	Receiver: two channel frequency EM	
	receiver	(frequency range 0.12hz to 8000hz).,	
	connecte	d to the downhole and surface sensors.	

- 1 TX1000 BRGM Transmitter: frequency EM transmitter, 0.11hz to 7500hz, powered by a 220 volt, 60hz, 3.5kva Honda Motor Generator.
- 1 Surface Loop: 1200m. electric wire with a total resistance of 8 ohms.

1 - Remi Borehole Probe: downhole CMS magnetic sensor, frequency range is 2hz to 8hz, with a sensitivity of 50 mV/X.

- 1 Godek Winch Downhole System, with a 4 conductor armoured shield cable (610m).
- 1 Sheave wheel assembly for depth in hole measurement, attaches to hole collar.
- 2 King VHF Radios.
- 1 4x4 Toyota Truck.
- 1 Toshiba 3100 Field Computer.
- 1 Toshiba Printer.

#### DATA PRESENTATION

Data is presented as EM profiles of the borehole. Four profiles of each hole are presented as follows:

- 3' -

- a) in-phase/reference.
- b) out of phase/reference.
- Evence. AMPLITUDE =  $\left| \left( \frac{I \cdot P}{R} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{Q}{R} \right)^2 \right|^{1/2}$ c) amplitude/reference.
- NOTE:
- d) phase angle.
  - Phase Angle = ARCTAN (Q/I.P) is in degrees. NOTE:

Scales are presented on the profiles as the normalized electromagnetic response, versus depth in meters.

In addition, the phase angle data at the four frequencies is combined into one map of stacked phase angle profiles for each hole at 1:500 scale.

Drill hole location maps are provided showing the location of the surface loops and the boreholes.

# LOOP LOCATION AND HOLE CO-ORDINATES

 $\bigcirc$ 

<u>Hole Number</u>	<u>Co-ordinates</u>	Depth
BA89-1 BA89-2 BA89-3 BA89-4 BA89-5 BA89-6 BA89-7 BA89-8 BA89-9	34+54.ON 36+04.8W 33+25.8N 35+96.0W 28+10.1N 34+00.0W 29+48.2N 40+00.0W 28+10.8N 39+99.0W 29+41.1N 43+00.0W 30+65.8N 42+99.0W 36+79.1N 42+00.0W 43+57.4N 35+00.0W	162.71 101.47 147.52 170.42 99.97 99.97 175.87 214.88 160.63
Loop #1 co-ordinates:	29+00N, 26+00N, 33+00W, DDH #3.	36+00W.
Loop #2 co-ordinates:	34+80N, 31+80N, 37+00W, DDH #1, DDH #2.	34+00W.
Loop #3 co-ordinates:	44+00N, 41+00N. 34+00W, DDH #9.	37+00W.
Loop #4 co-ordinates:	40+00W, 43+00W, 34+50N, DDH #8.	37+50N.
Loop #5 co-ordinates:	44+00W, 41+00W. 31+20N, DDH #6, DDH #7.	28+20N.
Loop #6 co-ordinates:	29+50N, 26+50N, 42+00W, DDH #4, DDH #5.	39+00W.

- 4 -

#### SURVEY PROCEDURE

Surface loops 300m x 300m were laid out to encompass the drill holes. The spatial distribution of drill holes required six separate loop setups. All drill holes and their horizontal projection lie within their respective loops. The enclosed location maps illustrate the location of drill holes relative to their transmitting loops.

The reference sensor was set up approx. 10m. from each drill hole. This reference was fixed for the duration of the logging of that hole.

Holes were logged from the bottom to the top, in increments of 5 to 10 meters, depending on the detail desired. At each depth increment, four frequencies were transmitting in sequence: 90hz, 700hz, 1798hz and 3623hz. At each frequency the Melis receiver measured the in-phase (I.P) and out of phase(Q) components of the borehole axial field in amps/meter and the amplitude of the reference R also in amps/meter.

Note: That a full choice of frequencies from .1hz to 7500hz, with 10 frequencies per decade is available to the operator. This full frequency capability allows the geophysicist to design the survey to suit the range of conductivities expected for the mineralization and host rock, an important consideration in conductive terrains.

At the end of each day, the Melis receiver transferred the data to the Toshiba 3100, where the data was edited, normalized and plotted. This final step could easily be done on site if results were urgently needed.

#### DISCUSSION OF THE DATA

In-hole responses are indicated by positive deflections on the out-of-phase and phase profiles. If the conductor is strong enough, these deflections will be accompanied by negative deflections on the in-phase and amplitude profiles.

- 6 -

Off-hole responses are indicated by negative deflections on the out-of-phase and phase profiles. If the conductor is strong enough, these deflections will be accompanied by positive deflections on the in-phase and amplitude profiles.

The accompanying diagrams illustrate the in-hole and off-hole phase angle responses for a moderate and near conductor. The three degree phase response for a conductor off-hole 60 meters, although modest, is still 30 times the resolution ability of the REMI system.

The Melis receiver continuously monitors the electromagnetic noise in the hole and gives the operator two measurements of this noise: (a) standard deviation in %, and (b) quality factor in %. The quality coefficient depends on the noise observed at frequencies close to the analysis frequency; the standard deviation parameters depend on the noise observed at the frequency of the signal itself.

During the course of this survey, we were able to keep the standard deviation below 5% and the quality factor over 97% by continuously monitoring the noise at each frequency. Sometimes a longer stack was required to reduce the noise level. Resolution of the phase angle response is generally excellent (.1 degree).

The narrow, in-hole and off-hole conductors detected by this survey may be due to sulphide mineralization and/or thin graphitic horizons, possibly fault zones.

Broad, weak in-hole conductors are most likely due to carbonaceous argillite horizons. Another possibility is thin conductive horizons sub-parallel to the drill hole.

DDH 2 - Significant off-hole response at 0+45m likely within 15m. of the hole.

- Another significant off-hole response is starting to show up at the end of this show. The way the amplitude is building towards the bottom of the hole is very anomalous. This off-hole conductor most likely is 10 to 20 meters past the end of the hole. Since this hole is in section with DDH 1, the section should be studied closely to see if DDH 1 intersected the downdip area of this off-hole response.
- DDH 3 Significant off-hole/in-hole edge type response occurs at 0+25m in an area mapped as deformed sericite schists.
  - A modest narrow in-hole response occurs at 0+74m and is within 10m. of the hole and most likely downdip.
- DDH 4 A significant off-hole response occurs at 1+35m approx. 30m. from the hole and most likely up dip.
  Some minor weak in-hole conductivity is noted in the first 50m. of this hole.
- DDH 5 Weak, in-hole response at 0+25m. - Weak, off-hole response at 0+65m approx. 20m from the hole and most likely downdip.
- DDH 6 Weak, off-hole response at 0+57m within 10m. of the hole.
  - Another weak, off-hole response is starting to show up at the end of the hole at approx. 1+00m, again quite close to the hole.
- DDH 7 Weak, broad in-hole responses occur at 0+72m and 1+15m, neither of which appear very significant.
- DDH 8 Modest in-hole response at 0+80m is likely due to a fair conductor of limited size.
  - Off-hole response at 1+50m, again is likely due to a fair conductor of limited size approx. 5m from the hole. The symmetrical nature of this response suggests this hole is at right angles to the zone.

 $\bigcirc$ 

- DDH 9 Significant off-hole response very close to the surface at 0+10m. The geology for the first part of the hole should be checked carefully. Conductor appears to be approx. 20m from the hole, however it's difficult to judge since this response occurs so close to the surface. Possible casing effect cannot be ruled out.
  - Off-hole response at 1+50m is modest yet significant. Again this conductive zone is close to the hole (15-20m), however without the whole wavelength (zone is at the end of the hole), it's difficult to evaluate.





#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Correlating these borehole EM results with the detailed geology of each hole is essential to fully understand the significance of off-hole and in-hole responses recorded by the Remi system.

Most of the weak responses are likely due to argillite horizons.

Off-hole responses created by conductors a long way from the hole have a long, low amplitude wavelength that at times is difficult to separate accurately from the background response of the hole, particularly for relatively short holes.

The off-hole response indicated at the end of Hole #2 is significant and deserves careful consideration.

Grant A. Hendrickson, P.Geoph.

#### REFERENCES

)

- Bernard, J., January 1988: Test of Remi Hole to Surface Electromagnetic Equipment at Chemainus, B.C., Canada. B.R.G.M. Report.
- B.R.G.M. Report, September 1988: Operating Procedures of Remi Hole to Surface Frequency EM Method.
- Borehole Geophysics for Mining and Geotechnical Applications, Geological Survey of Canada Paper 85-27. Edited by P.G. Killeen, 1986.

#### STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION

### Grant A. Hendrickson

- B.Science, U.B.C. 1971, Geophysics option.
- For the past 18 years, I have been actively involved in mineral exploration projects throughout Canada, the United States, Europe and Central and South America.
- I am a registered Professional Geophysicist with the Association of Professional Engineers, Geologists and Geophysicists of Alberta.
- I am an active member of the S.E.G., E.A.E.G., and B.C.G.S.

Dated at Delta, British Columbia, this  $\underline{4}$  day of  $\underline{\sqrt{47}}$ , 1989.

Grant A. Hendrickson, P.Geoph.



	-150			
FALCONBRIDGE LTD BAY PROJECT, ADAMS PLATEAU BAY GRID, DDH 1 BOREHOLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY PHASE ANGLE PROFILES. (1 cm = 5 deg)	-200		,	
90 hz solid line, 700 hz long dashes 1800 hz dotted line, 3620 hz short dashes SCALE 1:500 DELTA GEOSCIENCE LTD	-250			





•



.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 



1.20



		-150			
			4		
	FALCONBRIDGE LTD	-200			
	RAY PROJECT ADAMS PLATEAU				
	BAY GRID, DDH 2 BOREHOLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY PHASE ANGLE PROFILES, (1 cm = 5 deg)				
•	90 hz solid line, 700 hz long dashes 1800 hz dotted line, 3620 hz short dashes SCALE 1:500				
	DELTA GEOSCIENCE LTD	-250			

Q



-











19. 19. 19. 19. 19.



•



1 . .



 $_{n}t,$ 



-



. 1





.





	-150
FALCONBRIDGE LTD	-200
BAY PROJECT, ADAMS PLATEAU BAY GRID, DDH 5 BOREHOLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY PHASE ANGLE PROFILES, (1 cm = 5 deg)	
90 hz solid line, 700 hz long dashes 1800 hz dotted line, 3620 hz short dashes SCALE 1:500 DELTA GEOSCIENCE LTD	-250



- 4 \*







 $(\mathbf{x})$ 



	-150	
	· ·	
	·•	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-200	
FALCONBRIDGE LTD		
BAY PROJECT, ADAMS PLATEAU BAY GRID, DDH 6		
BUKEHULE ELECIKUMAGNEIIC SUKVEY PHASE ANGLE PROFILES, (1 cm = 5 deg)		
90 hz solid line, /00 hz long dashes 1800 hz dotted line, 3620 hz short dashes SCALE 1:500		
DELTA GEOSCIENCE LTD	-250	









x



J



. .

ъ., <sup>с</sup>

-1



















-150\_\_\_\_ ۰., -200 \_\_\_\_ FALCONBRIDGE LTD BAY PROJECT, ADAMS PLATEAU BAY GRID, DDH 9 BOREHOLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY PHASE ANGLE PROFILES, (1 cm = 5 deg) 90 hz solid line, 700 hz long dashes 1800 hz dotted line, 3620 hz short dashes SCALE 1:500 DELTA GEOSCIENCE LTD -250\_\_\_\_

Q



•1





