600302

EXTRACTION TESTS ON WHITESAIL LAKE ORE

Mit of Aa Rose CIMM Quel Lateston. Egrago.

Submitted by:

D. MacKinnon R. Morton A. F. Seraphim

April 1945

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgments	l
Conclusions	2
Recommendations	3
Introduction	4
Preparation of Head Sample	6
Microscopic Examination	6 -
Grindability Test	7
Details of Tests	7
A. Flotation	8
B. Cyanidation	16
Appendix A - Methods of Assaying	19
Appendix B - Summary Chart of Flotation Tests	3

Acknowledgments - 1

This report is based on tests performed by Messrs D. MacKinnon, R. Morton and A. F. Seraphim.

We are deeply grateful to Professor G. A. Gillies for his patient guidance of our efforts in the laboratory. Without his recommendations and diligent determination of pH values, much of the work would have been impossible. Thanks are due B. Morrison for his work on the cyanidation. R. Manning saved us many tedious hours in the assay room. We are indebted to Dr. H. O. Warren for his microscopic and minerelogical determinations.

Conclusions - 2

Our conclusions are as follows:

1. All reagents 208, 301, 404, Aerofloat 15, carbanalid and thiocarbanalid give approximately the same results about 50% recovery of gold and 70% recovery of silver. This intimates that the above percentages of gold and silver are all that is floatable.

2. Because grinding to 98% - 200 mesh - gave no increase in recovery the gold must be finer than 200 mesh, or it is tarnighed, or present in tarnished sulphides.

 Gold is not in the pyrite - Acid pH floats more pyrite but percentage recovery is not increased.

4. Treating with Sodium Sulphide did not give higher results which means that the gold is not present in the tarnished sulphides.

5. Gold is probably in arsenopyrite which is difficult to float.

6. Cyanidation gives a high percentage recovery (90.98 %) and will probably prove a satisfactory method of extraction of the gold. Further work should be done on a cyanidation procedure.

Recommendations - 3

 Investigations should be made on recent literature on flotation reagents for arsenopyrite and oxidized ores.
Further tests should be made on the recovery from grinds below 200 mesh.

3. Investigations should be made on flotation results with alkaline pH 7 to 10

4. Further work should be done on cyanidation with respect to grind aeration and reagents.

Introduction - 4

Property

Optioned by Pioneer Gold mines of B.C. Ltd., 607 Rogers Building, Vancouver, B. C.

Location

Located in Tweedsmuir Park about four miles west of the west end of Whitesail Lake and lying to the north of Lindquist Lake and about half way along it.

The claims cover a ridge which forms the divide between the Lindquist Lake and Little Whitesail Lake drainages. Accessibility

The property is reached by road from Burns Lake to Ootsa Lake and Wistaria, a distance of some sixty miles. From Wistaria the route is by water via Ootsa Lake, Tahtsa River, Whitesail River, Sinclair Lake and Whitesail Lake, a distance of about sixty miles. From the head of Whitesail Lake a six-mile trail leads up to the foot of Lindquist Lake, thence up the slope on the north side of the lake to the property.

Topography and Physidal Features

The group of claims is in the Coast Range Mountains close to the summit on the easterly flank. Elevations on the property range from 2900 to 6500 feet above sea level. The principal showings are on the southern exposure of the small east-west range between Lindquist and Little Thitesail Lakes. The slopes are snow-covered for approximately eight months of the year.

Introduction Con't - 5

Regional Geology

The claims are in the eastern contact area of the Coast Range Batholith. Marshall (G.S.C. Summary Report 1922) has mapped the geology, geography and topography of the area but on a scale too large (1" - 4 miles)to include important detail. Marshall's map indicates the predominantly granodioritic batholith contact as cutting the Jurassic Hazelton Series of basaltic to rhyolithic volcanics and sediments. Actually in the area the intrusive rocks may be subdivided into diorite, quartz diorite, granite and feldspar porphyries, while "Hazelton Series" may be subdivided into the Jurassic H azelton series proper and early tertiary rhyolite stocks, flows and minor intrusives.

Structure and Mineral Deposits

The main structure containing most of the mineral showings on the property is a wide zone of fracturing in the massive diorite. The quartz lead is a well-defined quartz vein from five to ten or more feet wide with the vein quartz free from the diorite walls. The wall-rock shows no appreciable hydrothermal alteration. The vein is exposed at intervals by outcrop for several hundred feet along the strike and through a vertical range of 200 or more feet. It appears to have been either offset along its strike by cross-faulting or to have an echelon structure within the wide zone of weakness in which it occurs. The quartz lead is composed of a sugary quartz, varying from glossy to milky to rose in colour. The

Introduction Con't - 6

sulphides are, on the whole, sparsely distributed. They consist of pyrite and some fine-grained molybdenite at the lower end with seemingly increasing amounts of galena, sphalerite and chalcopyrite at the upper end. Also noted at the lower end is a soft, grey, silvery metallic identified by Dr. Warren as a bismuth telluride.

Preparation of the Head Sample

The method of obtaining the sample from the ore body is not known. The oxidized nature of the sample shown by the presence of much iron oxide indicates that it was taken near the sufface.

The sample was crushed to jinch size in a jaw crusher and subsequently reduced with rolls to -10 mesh. The sample was then reduced to about 10 pounds by coning and quartering. A sample cutter was used to reduce this to 2 pounds and a disk pulverizer to grind the 2 pounds to -100 mesh. The sample was then rolled on a rubber mat and two 1000 gram samples were carefully taken and put $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ labelled paper sample bags

Microscopic Examination

A polished section of ore was made and examined by Dr. H. V. Warren who determined the presence of arsenopyrite and pyrite. A blue-black metallic observed was tentatively labelled as a bismuth tetluride. The gangue mineral is chiefly quartz. Much iron oxide is present which is probably

Microscopic Examination Con't - 7

due to the oxidation of pyrite and arsenopyrite. The sulphides and metallic minerals compose only a small percentage -about 2% of the ore.

Grindability Test

The time of grinding necessary to reduce the -10 mesh feed to -200 mesh was found to be 20 minutes. The grinding to -200 mesh was carried out in a rod mill.

Specifications of rod mill

Length	11.5"	
Diameter	10 "	
24 rods	11"x7/8"	
Weight rods		
R.P.M.	43	

Charge to Rod Mill

Ore Water 1000 grams 1000 grams

Details of the Test

The tests were carried out with 1000 gram samples until a shortage of ore was anticipated for the completion of the tests. We then changed to 500 gram samples. The general method of treatment is outlined below.

The sample of -10 mesh ore and an equal weight of water (either 1000 grams or 500 grams) was charged in the rod mill and ground for 20 minutes at 43 r.p.m. The charge was then washed into a pan with as little dilution as possible and thence into a flotation cell which was kept running in order to prevent the solids from settling out. The pulp was diluted with

Details of the Test Con't -

water to a 3.5 to 1 ration and the reagents added and conditioned. Concentrates were collected in aluminum pans and failings removed by draining from the bottom of the machine into a large pan. The machine used was a \times

8

The pH of the pulps were determined by Professor Gillies who used a Beckman pH Metre.

Products were dried in a drying oven and carefully weighed and a sample taken for assaying.

(A) Flotation

Test details

In all tests conditioning was 10 minutes. All reagents added as 1bs per ton.

Test 1

Object: To find floating characteristics of the ore. Reagents:To the mill - 032 lbs. sodium silicate per ton To the cell - 0.5 lbs Reagent 301 0.161bs #5 pine oil per ton

Grind: 15 minutes Results: Clean concentrate of black sulphides and pyrite but froth was scant and brittle.

Test 2

Object: To find floating characteristics of the ore Reagents: To the mill - 0.32 lbs sodium silicate per ton - 0.5 lbs Reagent 301 To the cell - 0.16 lbs Aerofloat 15 Grind: 15 Minutes Results: Clean concentrate but poor froth. Sodium silicate gives a clean but poor froth Flotation Tests Con't - Pg. 9 Test 3 Object: To find floating characteristics of the ore Reagents: To the mill:-0.5 lbs Reagent 301 To the cell:-0.16 lbs Aerofloat 15 0.16 lbs sodium silicate Grind: 15 minutes Results: Good froth but concentrate contaminated with much silica. Addition of sodium silicate

killed froth but gave clean concentrate.

Test 4

Object:	To find results of using	Aerofloat 15 and
	Xanthate Reagent 301 in	combination
Reagents:	To the cell: - 0.16 lbs 0.5 lbs	Aerofloat 15 Reagent 301
Grind:	15 minutes	
Results:	Contaminated concentrate	and poor froth.

Test 6

Object:	To find effect of acid pH with	Reagent 208
Grind:	20 minutes	
(a)Reagents:	T o the mill: -0.5 lbs Reagent	208

To the cell: 0.16 lbs Aerofloat 15

2月:

pH:

Results: Fair froth but brittle. Gangue and sulphides up. Recovery 50.5% Gold and 71.8% silver.

(b)Reagents: To the cell: 2 lbs copper sulphate

Recovery 41%.

6.3

7.1

Results: Froth not so brittle. No additional recovery. (c)Reagents: To the cell: 4 lbs 10% HS04 Flotation Tests Con't - Pg. 10

Test 6 Con't

pH: 5.5

Results: Much pyrite floated and no increase in gold and silver recovery.

Test 7

Object: To find effect of using acid pH with Reagent 301 and 30 minute grind. Grind: 30 minutes

Reagents: To the cell: - 0.32 lbs pine oil 0.16 lbs Aerofloat 15 0.5 lbs Reagent 301

pH: 5.5

Results: Watery froth. Pyrite up at pH 5.5. 30 minute grind gave 6.3% increase in recovery.

Test 8

Sec. 1

Object: To try cresylic acid as a frother with pH 5.5 Grind: 30 minutes Reagents: T o the cell - 0.16 lbs cresylic acid 1.5 lbs Reagent 301 6.8 lbs 10% Sulphuric pH: 5.6 Results: Small brittle bubbles. Very little suphide floated. Not a success.

Test 9

Object: To test floating properties of Thiocarbanalid and Reagent 301 Grind: 30 minutes Reagents: To the mill: .025 lbs thiocarbanalid To the cell: .16 lbs pine oil

2 lbs Reagent 301

2.75

pH:

<u>Flotation Tests Con</u>t - Pg. 11 Test 9 Con't

Results: Watery froth with abundant gangue. Recovery 63.4% of gold and 76.6% of silver.

Test 10

Object: To test Thiocarbanalid with sodium.sulphide. To resulphidize tarnished sulphides. Grind: 30 minutes Reagents: To the mill: 0.25 lbs thiocarbanalid 0.35 lbs sodium sulphide

To the cell: 0.16 lbs pine oil

pH:

5.5

Results: Good froth loaded with black sulphides and pyrite but only 38% recovery. Sodium sulphide gave depressing action.

Test 11

Object:	To test floating characteristics	of	Reagent	404
	and the second	Sec. 18		
	with sodium sulphide.			

Grind: 30 minutes

6.6

Reagents: To the mill: 0.25 lbs sodium sulphide 0.25 lbs reagent 404

To the cell: 0.16 lbs pine oil

pH:

Results: Good froth with black sulphides and pyrite. Recovery 43.3% of gold and 42.2% of silver.

Test 13

Object:	σľ	test	thiocarbanalid	to	the	cell	and	acid pH.
Grind:	30	minut	es					

Reagents: To the cell: -0.32 lbs pine oil 0.25 lbs thiocarbanalid Flotation Tests Con't - Pg. 12

Test 13 Cont.

pH: 2.3

Results: Pulp too acid, froth poor. Recovery 59.2% of gold and 64.1% of silver.

Test 14

Object: To test sodium sulphide with Aerofloat 15. Grind: 30 minutes Reagents: To the mill: 0.15 lbs sodium sulphide

> To the cell: 0.16 lbs creoylic acid 0.5 lbs aerofloat 15

pH: 2.8

Results: Black sulphides and pyrite floated. Recovery

84% of gold and 51.5% of silver.

Test 15

. 0

wt of concentrate = 5.85 gms = reduction ratio of 171 To 1. looks like tellurides. G.F.G. Object: To test sodium sulphide with thiocarbanalid to the cell and cresylic acid frother

Grind: 30 minutes

Reagents: To mill: 0.15 lbs sodium sulphide

To cell: 0.32 lbs cresylic acid 0.25 lbs thiocarbanalid

pH: 6.3

Results: Good froth with much pyrite floated. Recovery 52.5% of gold and 60.8% of silver.

Test 16

Object: To test action of thiocarbanalid with cresylic acid and pine oil with acid pH Grind: 30 minutes Reagents: To cell: 0.16 lb pine oil 0.16 lb cresylic acid 7.0 lb sulphuric acid 0.05 lb thiocarbanalid 125 Flotation Test Con't - 13

Test 16 Cont

pH: 4.1

Results: No black sulphides came up, pyrite and gangue came up. Good froth. Low recovery.

Test 17

Object: To test action of thiocarbanalid with cresylic acid and aerofloat with varying pH.

(a)Reagants: To mill: 0.16 1b aerofloat 31

To cell: 0.16 lb cresylic 0.05 lb thiocarbanalid 185

pH: 5.05

Results: Black sulphides and pyrite came up

(b)Reagents: as above with addition of .1 lb thiocarbanalid 125 and acid.

pH: 1.87

Results: Good clean froth with small conc. of pyrite improved with addition of thiocarbanalid. Recovery 45.5% of gold and 62.9% of silver.

Test 19

Object: To test reagents 301 and 208 with cresylic acid and pine oil in an attempt to combine ability of 301 to float pyrite and 208 as a promoter for gold ores.

Reagents: To Mill: 1.12 lb reagent 208 .80 lb reagent 301

> To cell: .64 lb pine oil .80 lb cresylic .80 lb reagent 301 .80 lb reagent 208

Results: pH-9 froth disappeared, then two more drops cresylic added, pH 10.25 very watery froth.

Fbtation tests Con't - 14

Test 19 Con't

Very low recovery, 36.4% of gold and 50.4% of silver.

Test 20

Object: To test action of aerofloat 31 with cresylic acid as a promoter of silver sulphides and galena.

Reagents: To mill: 0.96 lb aerofloat 31

To cell: 0.32 lb cresylic acid

Results: With pH 5.5 black concentrate, tough froth. With pH-2 sulphides (pyrite) came up very slowly. Recovery 50% of gold and 68.7% of silver.

Test 21

Object: To test Aerofloat 31 alone to float silver and to try effect of lowering pH.

Reagents: To mill: 1.44 lb Aerofloat 31 To cell: Acid

(a) pH: 6.5

Results: Thick tough froth, both gangue and sulphides came up. Too much reagent was used. 54.7% of gold and 68.5 % of silver recovered.

(b) pH: 3.4

Results: Watery froth, pyrite floated. Recovery of 54.7% of gold and 74.6 of silver.

S Test 22

Object: To test Aerofloat 15 in acid, circuit for floating irot and silver sulphides

Reagents: To cell: 0.326 Aerofloat 15

H2SO4 acid

pH: 1.75

Flotation Tests Con't - 15

Test 22 Contt

Results: Watery froth pyrite floated. No improvement in recovery: gold 54.7% and silver 64.1%

Test 23

Object: To test reagent 425 with oxidized ore.

Reagents: To mill: 4 lb. reagent 405 0.96 lb aerofloat 31

To cell: 0.80 lb cresylic acid

Results: Copious black tough froth, Recovery of gold 59.2% . and silver 70.8%.

Test 24

Object: To test reagent 425 alone with oxidized ore and as a promoter of auriferous pyrite with acid pH Reagents: To mill: 2 lb reagent 425 To cell:.32 lb cresylic acid Results: Watery weak froth-pyrite floated but no increase over test 23 in recovery.

Test 25

Object: To test reagent 404 with aerofloat 31, reagents 301 and 208 as a promoter of auriferous pyrite. Reagents: To mill: .5 lb reagent 40

> To cell: .32 lb aerofloat 15 1.25 lb reagent 301 1.25 lb reagent 208 0.48 lb sodium sulphide

Results: Black tough froth with much gangue. Recovery of 59.2 gold and 66.2% silver.

Cyanidation - 16

Since the course of studies in mineral dressing is primarily a course in flotation the bulk of the work done on the Whitesail Lake ore(sample rejects) was devoted to flotation tests. Because the ore is a gold ore it was deemed necessary to do some cyanidation tests to make a complete report on the possible methods of extracting the gold.

Early in the fall term four unsuccessful cyanide tests (that were run simultaneously) were made. The results of these tests were very inconsistant. The results were a failure since (1) The cyaniding pulp could not be properly aerated with the equipment used.

(2) The alkalinity of the pulp could not be maintained.

The results of these tests are as follows:

		1	Mil.	L					Tank		
T	est		A DEPARTMENT OF THE PARTY OF		Grind	1	Cyan	ide	Lime Ag	gitation	Assay
				2#/ton			ģ	gm	0.5gm	24 hrs	
	2.2			11			17		ft	11	.09
	3.4	11		† †			13		11	11	.09
	4	11		17	40	21	1 1		28 2	1	.215

The inconsistency of results shows something is obviously remiss with the cyaniding technique.

Once again in the spring term, cyanidation tests were made; this time the results were very encouraging. The improved consistent results were due to improved methods of aeration and greater alkalinity control.

Apparatus

The apparatus used consisted a small motor with a glass rod impellar attached, set on a movable frame attached to a burette stand. The washed and filtered pulp from the rod

Cyanidation Con't -17

mill was added to a 1000 gm beaker with 500 cc of water, to the pulp solution; the lime and cyanide solution was added in the proportions shown in the table. The pulp was agitated for 36 hours. The alkalinity of the pulp was checked periodically until it remained constant. Portions of the pulp were removed at intervals (see table) and these portions were filtered and washed. The residues were assayed by the regular fire assay methods.

Test N	lo. Charge		Grind	Cyanide	Lime		Screen Time Analysb	
1	500	16#/ton	60	l∉/ton	2#/ton	0.02	36 19 99.65	
2	500	16#/ton	20	l∉/ton	2∦/ton		9 -200 mesh 36	
	Percentage	recovery	<u>.20</u> x	100 equ	als 90.	98%		

Cyanidation Con't

No difficulty was encountered in these two tests.

18

Further tests to determine the following should be made:

- a. Most efficient grind as far as cyanidation is concerned.
 - b. The most economical grind; balancing cost of grind and extraction.
- (2) Most economical and efficient quantities of reagents and methods of use.
- (3) Best aeration technique.

(4)

An attempt should be made to determine the reason for the relatively high tails assay. This may be a mineralogical consideration, however, if it is due to cyanidation some attempt should be made to remedy the fault.

It should be borne in mind that the 90.98% is an absolute recovery and in actual cyanide practice there is a circulating weakly charged gold solution that would undoubtedly lower the percentage recovery.

It is hoped that these few results will shed some light on the cyanidation of Whitesail Lake ore and that further more detailed work will ultimately determine the true worth of cyaniding as a method of treating the ore.

Appendix A

Assay Method

The ordinary fire assaying methods of collecting the gold and silver by slagging the ore with litharge in a crucible then cupelling the lead button in a "marganita" cupel.

Assay	Charge	(Tails)
Litharge		70 gm.
Soda Ash		30 gm
Borax		8 gm
Ore		1 AT.
Flour		2.4 gas.

Any assays of the concentrates were done by using a special charge and niter as required.

- 19

								1							1											÷				
					Τ	1	Rei	AGEI	NTS	70	M				Re.	9GE ^	VTS		Te	5	C	ELL				As	59 YS		1	
Test No Wr or Onellin)	WT OF CONET	Сонратонер	PULP DILUTION	Wr. or Pue	PH	GRIND.	NS	SoDIUM SILICATE	REAGENT 301	Rensen 208	Tunc account	Rocent Lot	Некоггонт 31	C R	Course Acro	REPORCAT 15	REAGENT 301	REAGENT 208	Cu SOA	Rengent 204	Nass	N/ 502	H2 JU4	I MIOCHKOMMICIO INO	Au oz /Ton	As at /Tom	Wr. or Conc.	Wr. or Conc.	of Recovery Aq.	НЕЛОЗ = 0.22 од. Ач 4.76 од. Ад.
1 1000		10	1.5:1		1,	5	,	Idap						Ida	*		.27gm								.05	2.25		77.	5 52.7	-
2 1000 13.5	.9	10	3.5:1		1,	5	10	drop .	27	-						1						,			.11	1.48		50	10 76.	Poor froth. Froth killed by Na25,0;
3 1000 20.	0.9	10	35:1		1	5-			27			1				1						,			.16	2.24		27.	3 52.9	Good froth until Na, 5.0, was added
4 1000 4.	1.9	10	3.5:1		1,	5			51		8	1				,	.27				9				.13	2.19				Froth poor . Ganque & Sulphides upper
(a) 5 1000		10	3.5': /	7	11 -	20			27	1	-					,				-	at.	1		~	,105	1.34				Fair brittle froth. Gongue & Sulphides upper
(6) 1000		10			.3 2				27		N. 199. 1							.27	2/4	~										Froth not as brittle
(c) 1000		10	7.5:1	5	1.5 2	20			27													20			105	1.3		50	5 77.8	Pyrite floated
6 1000 1.6	6.0	10	25.1			20										1,		.27								1.30				B harge no. of good bubbles
7 1000 17.		10			+									2		1,		.27					500			1.11				Watery froth . Pyrite up with pH 5.5
(a)			3.5.1	17	715				1					~		1						10	re							Small brittle bubbles. Very little sulphide. Not a succe
8 1000 9(b) 1000 67.		10			··· 7 3	30 30	+			+	50	-			1	1	.8/					6.			.08	1.28			34 -2	and the second second second second
9(b) (a) 2 10(b) 1000 131	28.2	10	3.5:1	1	70	30	50mg				50	T		1				25							.36					6 Water froth. No increase in pyrite. To much gangue
		10	3. 5:1			10	50.000				-	50		1,								+			1.35					6 Good bubbles, Loaded with black sulphides + pyr
- i - i	2.5	30	85.1				+															1	1			2.72				
(a) 52		+				30 5	Oms	+				50	3	1+		+			•	•		-			.120	1.80				2 Black sulphide, fair froth not very abundant
13 1000	+	30	3.5' : 1		2.3			+			-	+	+								-		50.	79	.09	1.7/		5	9.2 64.	1 Ryrite & gangue pour froth watery
	3.9				2.7			+			-	+				#31						+							Į,	Pyrite & gangue poor froth.
14 1000 54	8.5	10	3.5':1		2.8	30		-	-					200	+	30/10	A23	COM T			1	-	+	-	.03	2.31				5 Block Julphide + pyrite up. Froth small bubbles.
15 1000		30	3.5:1		6.3	30	-								2	#31					T		50		1.05	139	12.0			& Good froth. pyrite up.
(b) 1000		30	35:4		-				-			-	+		+	#51						2	25	1	.10	21		5	4.7 55.	8
16 500 (a)		30	JL: 1	•	A./	30	-				+	-		-	1	1						1.	J' AJ		.12	3.01		70	5.5 35.	2 No black sulphides. Good froth. pH - 6.9 black sulphides good froth
17 500		30	3.5:1	3	5.9	30										1							23	ing.	.12	1.22	8	95	5.5 54	6 Good froth - eleon small of prite
(6) ,		10		/	1.87	_	_					-				,							25		-		+	-		Additional 25 mg Thise Good froth. More Clean from
18		10		N.		30	5	Por.	LEC	,	-				-									1	-					pH 9.5 froth dissepeared added 2 more drops Ca
19 500		10		-	0.25	30	9	5 drop 7	dreps						13	50	sd				•			-	.14	2.30	6	36	4 50	A Prite floated Froth stick
20		10			2	30							61 24												.11	1.4	8	5	0.0 68	1 Pyrite Slow coming up Tough Froth.
(a) 21 500 3	38			6	5.5	60							90										-		10	1.1	6	5.	4.7 68.	5 Thick tough Froth , Gangare & sulphide
(6) 38	88	4			3.4 6	50		10							2		-						_		.10	1.5	, * .	5	4.7 74	6 Watery froth. pyrite floated
22 500 3	8			-	75 6	_									2						1				.10	34.0	-	54	4.7 64	
23 500 3	32.1					30		4	1ga) 1	tor	1 42	5.64		3										1.28	1.3	9	5	9.2 70	8 Copious Black froth.
24 500 40	0			11	3	30		R	igm,) 4	Hon	42:	51													14		55	9.2 70.	2 Watery froth.
25 500 18	84					30			123) 0.	5 \$ 10.	4	or	1							3000	,			.10	1.6	/	5	4.7 66	2 Watery froth. 2 Tough black froth. 600d sulphide carrying some gangue. 2 Froth good.
26 500 6	1					30		C.	25)	0.5	a tor	20	8	1											.09	1.6		5	72 4	R Froth good.