PREL TMIMARY REPORT UPON THE BOB CREEK MINING CLATMS LOCATED MEAR HOUSTON B. C. BY
(7. H. Campbell, Mill \& Metalluregical Ingineer.

## Gentlemen:

At your eequest I procoeded to Houston B. C. with the object of making a cursory examintation of your mining claims there.

The work done thereon to date has been confined to the Rex mineral claim consisting $k$ of two short tunnels of 20 and I5 feet, respectively, various open cuts and stripping. This work leads me to believe tha the best exploration results will be derived by driving a prospect tunnel at a poind 50 ft . below the present short tunnel at a point marked on the accompanying map or plan. At this point a diorite intrusion intersects the porphry dyke and a general enrichent is likely to occur. I sampled this point over 30 foet in width with the resultant assay thereof, attached thereto bears out this contention. The topography at this point in very favorable to tunnel dovelopment , 500 of backs being obtained in approx 300 feet, ample dump space being available.

The generable assays taken by me at intervals over a distance of $800 \mathrm{ft} .$, on the porphry dyke on the Rex mineral claim, whilst showing that free gold exists over the whole body, more exclusively point to the fact that future exploration work, should be carried on at the point of the dorite intrusion, and I therefore advise that future expenditure be confined for the time being in that direction.

The assays results of samples $/ 7$ and $\# 8$ show that as the intrusion is approached the possibilities of the development of a large body of commercial ore being proved up are very encouraging.

In order to bring this property to a state of initial production I estimate an expenditure of about forty thousand dollars ( $\$ 40.000$ ) should be provided for. This should include all plant and equipment required to dem velop the property to where sufficient ore could be mined to feed a mill of IOO tons per day capacity as may be designed in accordance with the flow aequirements, transportation, engineering and surveying costs.

As a result of my preliminary inspection I am fully of the opinion this property is well worth of the expenditure of considerable money and that a profitable mining undertaking will result therefrom. with further consideration of proving up a very large body of low grade ore over the porphry dyke, the value of the property may be very greatly snhanced.

My. inspection of this property was somewhat curtailed qwing to the snow conditions at the time, but I am of the opinion that the general conclusion I have arrived at is in accordance with geological structure encountered.

Yours faithfully, W. M. Campbell, Mill \& Metallutgical Engineer.

[^0]515 Shelly 3ldge, II9 Pender St.0 West. Wancouver, Be. G.

July TAthee / 56

This is a copy of a report made by Fred vells of the Cariboo cold quarts Mine made to lifr. George Ho Kilburn, of the Consoildated Mining and Smeltm ing Coe, Ltd., Trail Be Ce on the Gold Briek Group (BOB CRESK) Houston, Bo Ge

Dear Sir:
In the hope of obtaining late reports from the above property, I have delayed acknowledgment of your letter of april 2 until today. In the absence of any roport I am sending you the fillowing information which has a bearing on the No. one tumel.

Driven in 1936 for a distance of 35 feet, nexicyxsmanaikstrinumat waxmexnkmanginat this tunnel is in aolid porphry. Glose to the 30 it. mark, several stringers were intercepted. The four following samples were taken in cetober 7936 by fred wells and assayed by J. R. Williams of Vancouver.

No. I Tunnel Rt. side along main drift to to 15 roet vide
.012
Silver oz

- 03
- 30

No. I Tunnel face to loft of straight ahead 4 feet

- 45
I. 35

No. I Tunnel left face of tunnel 4 ft.
across face shows high grade - 45
-60
No. I Tunnel right face of tumiel
the $6^{\circ}$ to $8^{\prime \prime}$ stringer, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ Go - $33 \quad$ 10.20

Six more also by lir. :ق11s, picied from surface and open cuts, and marked ( Prospectors samples) showed the ithlouing values: *

| No.I | Gold oz - 28 | Silver 0z, 18.50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wo. 2 | - 70 | 7.40 |  |
| - 3 | - 08 | 26.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (tigh } \\ & \text { Antimony) } \end{aligned}$ |
| " 4 | 2.47 | 6.65 |  |
| - 5 | - 84 | 3.15 |  |
| * 6 | - 26 | 1.10 |  |

You will note that at the point where No. I tunnel, was driven ir. Towneend auggosted driving in 50 feet. I understand that some work has beon done on the property in the last month, and I shall keop you postod on any reporta I may receive from time to time.

Moanvhile if you decide to send a party to the district, I assure yo avery offort will be made to facilitate examination of the property. As you probably know a small sawnill was operated there some yours ago, and as a result some fine buildings now exost on those elains affording every convenience for a crew up to ten or twelve men.

Fresent option terms would probably have to be modified to conform to your usual form of contract, and I will bo glad to cgo oporated in any way to bring about an agreement between the present owners and your Company out of which no objections may arise ahould your oxamination, if and when made, prove favorable.

Failure to do this in the past has been a factor in bringing negotiations with at least one responsible Company to a deadloek. Such a situation is particulariy unfortunate for tho prospectors, who, I admit are not always the easieat people to deal witho

Thanking you, I amo

1954 Charles Strcet, Vancouver, B. C. pxil 17, 1940.

Wr. George H. Kilburn,
Consolidated Mining and Smelting Co. Ltd. Trail, B.0.

## RE GOLD BRTCK GROUP (BOB CRERK)

Dear Sir:
In the hope of obtaining Iote reponts from the above property. I have delayed acknowledement of your letter of April 2 until today/ In the sbscnce of any report I am sending you the following information, which hes a bearing on the No. 1 tunnel:

Driven in 2936 for a aistance of 35 ft . this tumnel is in solia poryhry. Close to the 30 ft mork, several stringers were intercepted. The four following samples were taken in Det. 1936 by Fred Mells, and assayed by J. R. illiams of vancouver,

No. 1 Tannel Rt. siate along main drift 10 to 25 Pt . wide No. 1 Tunnci. Face to left of straight ahead 4 ft.
U. OZ. MC. OZ.

1No. I Tunnel left face of tunnel 4 ft. across face. Shows
hight grade. $\quad .45$. 60

No. 1. Tunnel. Right face of tumnel. The $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $8^{\prime \prime}$ stringer H. G. $\quad .33$ 10.20

Six more, also by Mr. Wells, plcked from surface and open-cuts, and marked "Prospector's Somples" showed following values:

$$
\text { Ho. } 1
$$

AU. 02.
AG.OZ.
No. 2
.70
18.50

3
26.50 (Eigh ntimony)
$\begin{array}{lr}4 & 2.47 \\ 5 & .84\end{array}$
6 . 26
3.15
1.10

Pg. 2 tpril 17, 1940/
You will note thet at the point where No. I Tunnel was driven Mr. Townsend sugeested ariving in 50 et. I understand that some work has been done on the property in the last month, and I shall keep you posted on any reports I may receive from time to time.

Mesnthile if you should decide to send a party to the district, I assure you evexy elfort will be made to iacilitate examination of the above property. As you probably know, a smoll samill was operated there some years ago, and as a result some fine buildings now exist on these claims, sfiording every convenience for a orew up to ten or twelve men. be

Present option tems, would probably have tonmodified to conform to your usual form of contract, and I will be glad to co-operate in any way, to bring about an agreement between your company and the present owners, out of which no objections msy arise should your examination, if and when made, prove favourable. Failure to do this in the past has been a factor in bringing negotiations with at least one responsible Company to a deadlock. Such a situstion is partioularly unfortunato for the prospectors, Who, I admit, are not almays the easiest people to deal with.

Thanking you* I m,


[^0]:    Copied by Robt Bolam
    $5 I 5$ Shelly Bldg., Vanc. B. C.
    14. A. 8928

