

September 11/78

Granite

Mine Personnel -

Jim Ghalmeus	Chief Mine Engineer
Louis Tsang	Mine Geologist
Don James	Chief Exploration Geologist
Bob Johnston	Mill Superintendent

Geology -

Excellent References

- (a) Fahrni, Kim, Klein, Porter
 CIMM Special Volume #15 - Paper 23.
- (b) Porter, GEM.
- (c) Kirkham, Ec Geol 1971

PROPERTY FILE

Granite

93L146 (16E) - 07

From K. Northcote's Files

Diamond Drill Holes -

Total drilling resulted in a pattern with some intervening holes. old spacing \approx 200 ft - 1. Most of these ^{DDH's} are vertical with exception of early holes by Cominos which are inclined -

The 1970 series deepest - down to 1500' elevation some down to bench 33 & still in ore 0.30 (+) Depth 1615' and greater - in ore grade & weaker at bottom. In pit as well as around pit in 1970 program T.D. 1715' or \approx 900' below pit bottom.

Percussion hole fill-in 1971-72 - assayed cuttings - Cu representation good - Mo probably not so good - is not as representative.

Assays every 5' interval - these assays have been composited into 35' sections to correspond to benches.

Blast holes

Pattern - 15' x 30' staggered grid depth to proper elevation for bench below - the order of 35' (\pm)

Assays used to develop isopleth maps for each bench.

Because ore grade is quite ^{uniformly} continuous downwards it is possible to predict grade on lower benches from isopleths of higher benches -

Granite (2)

Mineralization -

Ore grade dependent upon fracture density and thickness of fracture filling -
Ore grade is less dependent upon differences in lithology.

Edge of orebody - sharp drop off of grade across 100' from ore to waste.
Cores from 0.4 to 0.2 within 50 ft.

Pit -

Doug Pitcair - slope stability study.

Stability dependent upon fracture density & altitude w/ pit wall.

Pit slope 45° to 50 or 51° in places.
looking at 40° over all (allowing for haulage roads?) Benches are @ $35'$ intervals.

Lake level is at bench # 10.

Lowest planned level is # 33. ultimately 23 benches below lake level.

Presently on # 19 or $315'$ below lake level.

Pit Deepening possibilities -

Might get in with a substantial tonnage of 0.6% Fe - The maximum that would be obtained would be $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 m.t.

Reserve Calculations:

Geometric means of averaging rather than arithmetic methods.

Computer method - inverse square - computer blocks
taking into consideration assays from surrounding holes & intervals above and below

Discrepancy between predicted reserves & mined ore - } Resulted in too great a volume of ore at sharp cut offs.

SEE GRANISLE (5)

History of Reserve Calculations.

Marginal material - not planning to mine it until much later

Marginal grade or marginal material in side of pit?? ←

Ore Control:

All - blasthole control. little or no visual control of ore to mill - (gauge)

Isopleth maps

Make up isopleth maps on basis of blast hole assays at _____ ft intervals averaged over 35' interval. for each bench level

Attempted to predict grades on next bench down because of the vertical continuity of ore concept which has been developed empirically during mining.

Reserves depleted - production discrepancy is now minimized

Granish (3)

Stripping Ratio

Waste required for building tailings ponds - no waste dumps

at start at top of hill - there was no stripping ratio - i.e. 0

Last year (1977) stripping ratio was 2.5:1 will peak in a few years at $\approx 2.57+ : 1$ Over all stripping ratio expected to be about 1.6 or 1.7 : 1.

Critical stripping ratio - is balance between sufficient mill feed & waste. Generally no problem supplying ore. 2:1 ratio / block - sometimes close during primary benches.

Grades

Assays - atomic absorption (incl Au & Ag) No fire assay - touchy.

Mo some in about the range of 26 t/ton 50% of deposit 0.008 & 0.01% Mo

Au & Ag - currently smelter return of 11 to 12% of gross revenue.

- associated with higher grade copper but has spatial distribution in pit

Au	high	.378 to .580	oz Au / ton conc.
		.227 to .377	" " / ton conc.
		.122 to .226	" " / " "

Ag		4.72 → 3.59	oz Ag / ton conc
		3.58 → 2.35	oz " / " "
		1.97 → 2.34	oz " / " "

Au & Ag high in middle of ore body - not associated with pyrite.

Costs

Hydro \$400,000 / year - [increasing
± 13% a year (Bell Copper)]

Shutdown costs would be about \$2,000,000.00

10 months ended July 1978

lost \$884,000 accumulated deficit

forecast deficit \$68,000

depreciation \$1.7 to 1.8 million

Mining costs ≈ 52.3¢ / ton.

? ← Milling 3.389¢ / ton

Revenue / ton of ore milled \$5.165

? ← Expenses 63.7¢ / lb Cu - includes deprec
(?) 429.5¢ / lb Cu.

Production

Milled 421,000 more tons than predicted
with Cu production same -
head grades down, recovery down but
increased throughput resulted in same Cu
production.

Recovery -

Molybdenum - 60% is recovered in
milk concentrate, 40% of that is
recovered with re-grind
?? so finally get .0024% recoverable
Molybdenum

Heads 0.44% Cu Tailings 0.050% Cu

Cu recovery 88.8%

Dependent on settlement assay Au / Ag

Shipping Cu: 70% to Japan
20% to Germany
10% to Spain

} contracts run
for maximum
of 3 years

Molybdenum - not separated in concentrate
form.

Granish (4)

Problems

Review philosophy of
"windfall" profits

(1) Taxes generally -
also income taxes - personal & corporate
sales taxes on equipment.
lease payments.

stumpage - timber too small
a quantity to market -
- burn and bury it -

(2) Number and range of disciplines
that an operating company has to
deal with - Talking about
while operating not talking about
when trying to get into production.
See Table of leases, licenses & Permits.
Annual payments \$25,602,80.

(3) Co-operation with Ministry of Mines
is fairly good.

(4) Statistical forms - consolidate them -
report the same thing to several
different ministries

What does the government do with all the
information??

Note - Labour relations are excellent.

Equipment - everything electric.
11 Electrohaul trucks = 9 a shift 110 tons.
4 shovels

700 gal/minute pump to dewater bottom
of pit. -

?? 3 or 4 catapillars 1 wheel, 3 D8's.
2 dulls w 9" bit.

Operating Time 24 hrs/day 7 days a week
with no holidays.

Water - recover 70 to 75% of the
water from tailings pond - (25%
added from lake?)

? { Stockpiles Marginal 6.2 million tons
with average grade of 0.269 in
A and L. stockpiles. (is still
to be mined?)
A stockpile 5 1/2 mt marginal 0.225% Fe
plus oversize blocks (Note - no
secondary blasting at present time).

L stockpile - currently dormant.

Reclamation

400,000 tons overburden -
reclamation - active seeding program.

Granite (5)

History of Reserves Calculations

1966 Keith Fahmi

area of influence computer application
stage - 2 1/2 million tons reserves.

1970-71 > 60,000 ft drilling to 1500 ft elevation
drilled deeper holes.

Computer calculation Dick Hewlett -
calculated in blocks - algorithm Tucson

* $\approx 895 \text{ m.t}$ $> 0.3\% \text{ Cu}$
with marginal material 0.3 \rightarrow 0.2 range

1975

weighted average -

consider blocks 50 x 50 x bench height
of take into consideration up two
benches, down two benches of
diameter of 300 ft - getting a
weighted average.

Had a smoothing effect and created
a pattern that wasn't there.

At margin of ore body grade could drop from 0.4 to 0.2
within 50 ft. Computer tends to extend ore reserves
outwards.

June 1976

Ordinary sphere of influence method
ie Polygonal method -

Theoretical extraction by volume -

mining, milling + close correspondence
(+) stockpile to within 5%.

(complaints come to within 2%)

- Computer experience negative -

Now: Use DDH data to estimate reserves of grade
Use blasthole data (assays) to develop isopleths
used for mill grade control and short range
planning

Present reserves to July 1/78.

48,670,453 tons reserves in place
grade 0.424 % Cu based
on DDH

← 48,796,000 tons reserves including
the stockpile of millable material

48,796,000

48,670,453

125,547

tons stockpile?

Using cut off of 0.25 % Cu.

Note Actual mining showed 10% less tons
of 10% higher grade above computed
value.

As of Oct 1/78 cut off will be 0.2% Cu
& there will be no material going to the stockpile.

There is in the order of 17 mt of 0.2 to 0.3% Cu

[? of that material the 0.2 to 0.25 material
will be going to the mill instead of to stockpile]

Note < 50,000 tons of oversize material requiring
secondary blasting goes directly to
low grade pile (?)

Foreign contamination, goes directly to
waste — although some can go
through the mill in small quantities.

Polygonal
Method
Sphere
Influence

See description
6.3 mt 0.25% Cu

what value?

Granite (6)

Milling - Sept 30 / 77 - (Fiscal year 1976-77)

Heads 0.44% Cu.

Tails 0.050% Cu.

Total 5,002,664 tons milled

Rec 88.8% Cu.

Produced 57,436 tons concentrate
containing 34.3% Cu.

[Milling rate 10,000 to 15,000 tons/day.]

Possible Additional Reserves.

Material below planned pit has some potential for additional ore

(a) steepening pit walls (?)

(b) underground if economic

situation ever justifies it.
Might get in with a substantial tonnage of 0.6% Cu
but maximum obtainable is 1 1/2 - 2 mt.

Other similar geological environments
have been investigated around the mine
but no additional reserves indicated

Space problem - on an island so
therefore limited regarding where a
search could be carried out.

From

CIMM.

Milling of Copper & Copper-Molybdenum Ores in Canada

Granite

J. Lawson, Mine Manager

R. J. Johnston, Mill Superintendent

W. N. Jeffery - Metallurgist

Mill - 14,000 tons/day. Cu ore - current
refinements -

1966 - 5,000 t/d

1968 6,000 t/d

1973 13,000 t/d

Minerals - Cu , bornite (Au, Ag)

Pyrite also around ore body -

Minor occurrences of MoS_2