



Mr. K. B. Blakey,

Deputy Minister,

Dept. of Mines and Petroleum Resources.

Soof

71.

May 20th

Re: Proposed Park at Conkle Lake

Many claims have been staked in the past in the vicinity of Conkle Lake and four currently in good standing are within the proposed park area. We have no information on mineral deposits on these claims. The area is one of complex geology.

No reserve should be placed on the area until we have made a field examination this summer if possible.

> James T. Fyles, Ph.D., P. Eng., Geologist.

JTF:rm

то	Dr.	s.s.	Holland	

Re: Conkle Lake Proposed Park Area

FROM THE

DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES

VICTORIA, B.C., Sept. 17, 19.71

TO FILE NO

WHEN REPLYING PLEASE REFER

I spent parts of two days reviewing the geology and mineral potential of the area around Conkle Lake that has been proposed as a Class A park (see map attached).

The northern lake shore and an extensive area to the north is covered by a deep Pleistocene gravel and sand terrace and by smaller deposits of sand and gravel related to the present streams. The bedrock consists of a body of porphyritic quartz-monzonite along the eastern margin of which there is a narrow band of older aphanitic basaltic rocks (not shown on the map). South and east of the porphyritic quartz-monzonite are Tertiary porphyritic andesites comprising flows of the lower part of the Marron formation. Many dykes of syenite and dark aphanitic rock, commonly trending north and associated with the Tertiary volcanics are present in the granitic rocks. On the west side of the lake the rocks are light colored non-porphyritic quartz monzonite forming the eastern part of a major batholith.

The porphyritic quartz monzonite is shattered along one or more fault planes and this shattered rock along the canyon of Conkle Creek just north of the lake is locally rusty or coated with haematite. The quartz monzonite west of the lake forms open outcrops and where I examined it is barren of veins or sulphides. The Tertiary lavas are not known to be mineralized and do not constitute a good prospecting bet. (Niel Church, who worked in this area with H.W. Little confirms this observation). Thus, on geological grounds, in my opinion the mineral potential of the proposed park area is low.

Four mineral claims at the north end of the lake are within the proposed park area and were recorded on October 20, 1970. Unfortunately I could not find the location posts on the ground and Mr. J. Boicey who staked the claims was not around to show them or any showings to me. I thoroughly traversed the area of the claims, as indicated on the map, and found no evidence of recent work having been done.

In 1968 one claim (Satire#1) covering part of the present claim group was cancelled at the request of Mr. Boicey (who owns a lot and lives part time on the lake shore) after an inspection by Phil Olson.

I conclude that a mineral reserve can be placed over the proposed park area without seriously affecting the mineral resources of the region. Before this is done, however, we should contact Mr. Boicey regarding the location of the claims and any showings on them.

James T. Fyles, Ph.D., P.Eng.,

Geologist

JTF/pg Encl: Map of Conkle Lake Area Mr. K. B. Blakey,

DEPUTY MINISTER

October 5th

. 2

800A

71

Re: PROPOSED PARK - CONKLE LAKE

GEOLOGY:

The geology of the area is described in the attached memo to Dr. Holland of September 17th.

MINERAL POTENTIAL:

The mineral potential is low and the petroleum potential is nil.

MINERAL CLAIMS:

Within the area are 4 claims, which according to Form A were staked by J. J. Boicey, agent for R. Carlson, on October 13th 1970. When I visitied the area on September 13th and 14th, I could not find the claim posts or claim lines. Mr. Boicey is currently negotiating a sale of his nearby property with the Parks Branch. T was unable to contact him to find the location of the claims on September 13th or 14th 1971, although I did see his caretaker who stated he did not know anything about the claims. I returned to Conkle Lake on September 27th 1971 and saw another caretaker, and again on October 2nd and met Boicey, who showed me the #2 posts of the Aina #3 and Aina #4 claims, on the shore of Conkle Lake. The location lines were clearly marked with large pieces of orange plastic tape and blazed trees in an area I had thoroughly traversed on September 13th and 14th. The lines had been marked recently, as alder brush cut along the line still had green leaves and the plastic tape enclosed green needles on small tamaracks (which shed their needles during the winter). The tags on the posts are marked as follows and their location is shown on the attached map:

Map Loc.	Tag No.	Claim Name	Post	Locator	Agent for	To Left	To Right	Date
С	788 6 9M	Aina #1	#1	R. Carlson		1500'		0ct.13 70
C	78880M	Aina #2	#1	R. Carlson	N		1500'	97
В	78869M	Aina #1	#2	R. Carlson				\$\$
В	78870M	Aina #2	#2	R. Carlson				88

Mr. K. B. Blakey

October 5th 1971

Map. Loc.	Tag No.	Claim Name	Post	Locator	Agent for	To L.	To R.	Date
B	78871M	Aina #3	#1	R. Carlson		1500'		Oct. 13/70
3	78872M	Aina #4	#1	R. Carlson			1500'	82
A	78871M	Aina #3	#2	J. Boicey	R.Carlson			80
A	78872M	Aina #4	#2	J. Boicey	R. Carlson			98

-2-

I conclude that the claims were not staked on October 13th 1970 as recorded but were staked between September 14th and October 2nd 1971 and it is not certain whether Boicey or Carlson staked them. Boicey does not know of any showings on the claims and has done no work. In my opinion he believes that he may be able to obtain money for the claims in his dealings with the Park s Branch. He told me that he would not mind if a mineral reserve were placed on the area provided he got"compensation for the work done on the claims, say \$400". This statement in my opinion has no meaning because he has done no work.

RECOMMENDATION:

The mineral reserve requested by the Parks Branch should be established. The four mineral claims referred to should be cancelled.

> JAMES T. FYLES Geologist

JTF:bg

Encl:

Map Copy memo JTF to SSH. 17.9.71 Legal description

PROM THE DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES VICTORIA, B.C., October 7 , 1971

WHEN REPLYING PLEASE REFER

TO FILE NO.....

2923

Re: Investigation under Section 80, "Mineral Act" in the matter of Mineral Claims named Aina #1 to 4 inc., Record Nos. 34246 to 34269 inc., Greenwood Mining Division.

I enclose herewith a copy of my Order with

reference to the above investigation.

1A. DEPT. OF MINES AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES Frank Richter, Minister. Rec'd OCT 1 5 1971 19 C . 5---



VICTORIA

ť.

1.

į.

i,

IN THE MATTER OF THE "MINERAL ACT" BEING CHAPTER 244, R.S.B.C. 1960 AND AMENDMENTS THERETO

and

IN THE MATTER OF A REPORT MADE UNDER SECTION 80 OF THE "MINERAL ACT"

and

IN THE MATTER OF MINERAL CLAIMS NAMED AINA # 1 TO 4 INCLUSIVE, RECORD NOS. 34246 to 34249 INCLUSIVE, IN THE GREENWOOD MINING DIVISION.

ORDER OF THE MINISTER

On September 13th and 14th and again on September 27th, 1971 Mr. James T. Fyles, P. Eng. and Geologist, being an officer of the Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, investigated, pursuant to Section 80 of the "Mineral Act", the staking of the Aina #1 to 4 inclusive mineral claims, held in the name of R. Carlson of North Vancouver, British Columbia.

On October 6th, a report of the investigation was received from Mr. Fyles.

Upon reading the report it is my decision that the Aina #1 to 4 inclusive mineral claims, Record Nos. 34246 to 34249 inclusive, were not staked in accordance with the requirements of the "Mineral Act" and it is, therefore, ordered that the records of the said mineral claims be cancelled and the same are hereby declared void ab initio.

· la Frank Richter,

Frank Richter, Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources.

Dated at Victoria this 7th day of October, 1971.

CONKLE LAKE

"Commencing at a point on the southwest corner of Lot 1886S, S.D.Y.D.; thence west 32 chains; thence N. $13^{\circ}E$. a distance of 220 chains; thence N. $40^{\circ}E$. a distance of 70 chains; thence S. $52^{\circ}E$. a distance of 100 chains; thence S. $23^{\circ}W$. a distance of 220 chains more or less to a point east of the most southerly south-east corner of Lot 1886S; thence west to the said southeast corner; thence westerly along the south boundary of Lot 1886S to the point of commencement, save and excepting Block "A" of Lot 1886S and the fractional east half of Lot 1889S, and containing approximately 2,500 acres."

B.P.