## WESTERN EXPLORATION CO., LTD.

NON-PERSONAL LIABILITY

## SILVERTON, B.C.

September 10,1955.

Mr. Charles C. Starr, P.O.Box 514, Vancouver B.C.

Dear Charlie;

Have yours of the seventh and note that Rose had returned and that your trip was not to bad.

Re your second paragraph. It has been some time since I figured returns as per the Trail schedules on lead and zinc, so I am sending you both lead and zinc schedule at Trail. These schedules were effective in October 1952 and there have been some changes since, which you will note I have amended in the schedules attached. With zinc at 13¢ per 1b. my memory is that we would receive close to 5½Cents net per 1b., and in the case of lead roughly with lead at 15¢ about 10¢ net. Silver I believe you could figure about 15% off the market price. However with these schedules you will be able to figure it out to your own satisfaction. You might return these schedules when you are finished with them.

Re Verne McKee; He started work with us in March 1951 and left our employ December 15th 1952.

There is nothing new to report. With very kind regards and best wishes to both Rose and yourself.

Sincerely A.M.Ham. ----- 413 Granville Street

September 16, 1955

Mr. A. M. Ham, Manager Western Exploration Co., Ltd Silverton, BC

Dear Art:

Your letter of September 10th. enclosing smelter schedules received, also your check of later date. Thank you.

In figuring net smelter returns for the three metals I had to make certain assumptions which I am none too sure are close enough to the truth, as follows:

Mill recoveries Lead 95%, Zinc 87%, Silver 95% Lead Conc.contains 85% of the Silver, Zinc conc.15% of the Silver Lead conc. assays 65% Lead, 5% Silica, 10% moisture Zinc conc. assays 58% Zinc

No Lead in Zinc conc. paid for No Zinc in Lead conc. paid for

No Cadmium paid for. No freight paid. (I have no idea of the rate.)

My results follow, with your rates from memory in parenthesis:

Net payments: Lead 9.25¢ per 1b. (10¢). Zinc 5.75 per 1b. (5½¢). Silver 78.53 per oz. (76.5¢).

If any of my assumptions are radically wrong, I would be glad to revise my calculations if you will send me the proper assumptions.

I enclose a sketch showing averages of the samples to September 1st., from the Hecla 5000 drift, and the value of the ore based on my above figures which are supposed to represent smelter payments reduced to cover everything except mining, milling and overhead costs.

Yours very sincerely

Calculation of net Receipts from Ores reduced to concentrates. Smelter from Sept 15, 1955 Assumed: mill recoveries Lead 95% Zinc 87% Silver 95% Lead Cone contains 85% proloer, Inc cone. 15% of Age Zinc " 58% Zn no lead paid for in gine conc. Silver in lead Concentrate 95% saved 85% of this in Lead = 80.75% of which 95% is paid for = 76.71% less 100 at 90 cents less 1 cent = 89% Silver in Inc Concentrate 95% caved 15% of this in zinc = 14.25% of which 80% is paid for = 11.4% at 900 per og. Silver Combined 76.71% less 103 at 89 cents = 6827.19 = 1026.00 7853119 88.11% of one away 7853:100 = 78.53 cents per of original assay less 10 (approx) Aniel recovery 87% at 85% faid for = 74% at (134 less 44) = 94 full assuming Cone, 58% zn = 1160 lb and 85% paid for = 986 lb paid for 986 lb paid for at 94 = \$88.74 less treatment \$12.00 = \$76.74 met palue per tou Cone.

Based on total 2n in ore = 1160 lb = 87% = 1333 lb the met per parent is \$76.74 = 1333 lb = 5.75 \$4 per ton

Lead mile recovery 95% less 1% deduction = 94% at 92.5/of aid for = 86.95% 86.95% at 154 less 3/2 = 11.5 & per ll

Base charge \$15.00

X cess over 307. Pb. 3.50 cr (around 65% Pb)

Silizar & lime .70 (" 5%)

Moristure 1.00 (11 10%)

16.00 4.20

411.80 Treatment

Assuming Concentrate 65% Pb & 921, % paid for = 1202.5 lb Pb

1202.5 lb Pb paid for at 11.5 & per found = \$138.29

\$138.29 less 11.80 treatment = \$126,49 net value \$15 per for Cover.

Since on the assumption \$265% Pb in the Concentrate there would be 1300 lbs of lead, and 1300lb; 95% in the ore = 1368 lb.

\$126.49 - 1368 = 9.25 cents for pound.